	Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 F	iled 05/21/19 Page 1 of 30	
1	Neel Chatterjee (SBN 173985) nchatterjee@goodwinlaw.com	Vasudha Talla (SBN 316219) <i>vtalla@aclunc.org</i> Jamie L. Crook (SBN 245757)	
2	Andrew Ong (SBN 267889) aong@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP	jcrook@aclunc.org AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION	
3	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 601 Marshall Street	FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN	
4	Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: +1 650 752 3100	CALIFORNIA 39 Drumm Street	
5	Fax: +1 650 853 1038	San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: +1 415 621 2493	
6	Hayes P. Hyde (SBN 308031)	Fax: +1 415 255 8437	
7	hhyde@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP	Andrea Scripa (pro hac vice forthcoming)* ascripa@goodwinlaw.com	
8	Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP	
9	Tel: +1 415 733 6000 Fax: +1 415 677 9041	The New York Times Building 620 Eighth Avenue	
		New York, NY 10018 Tel: +1 212 459 7073	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Fax: +1 617 321 4418 *Admitted in MA only; working under the	
11		supervision of Goodwin partners in NY with admission pending	
12			
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND DIVISION		
15	SAN FKANCISCU-	UAKLAND DIVISION	
16	FLORICEL LIBORIO RAMOS,	Case No. 3:19-cv-2757	
17	MARIA DE JESUS MEDINA RAMIREZ, MARIA VALDOVINOS DIAZ,	COMPLAINT FOR ASSAULT, BATTERY,	
18	YOLANDA VALLEJO MEDRANO,	NEGLIGENCE, AND NEGLIGENT AND INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF	
19	Plaintiffs,	EMOTIONAL DISTRESS UNDER CALIFORNIA STATE LAW	
20	v.		
	G4S SECURE SOLUTIONS (USA), INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
21	Defendant.		
22 23			
23 24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	COMPLAINT		

#### Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 2 of 30

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Floricel Liborio Ramos, Maria Valdovinos Diaz, Maria de Jesús Medina Ramirez,
 and Yolanda Vallejo Medrano ("Plaintiffs") bring this suit after having suffered brutal and
 inhumane treatment by defendant G4S Secure Solutions (USA), Inc. ("G4S") in July 2017.
 Plaintiffs were in the care of G4S, a company contracted by the U.S. Department of Homeland
 Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") to drive individuals in ICE custody from
 one detention facility to another.

G4S has frequently and publicly come under scrutiny for abuses against individuals 8 2. 9 detained in its care. In July 2017, G4S drove Plaintiffs from one detention facility in Northern 10 California to another detention facility in Bakersfield, California, a distance of approximately 280 11 miles that under normal circumstances would take less than five hours to drive. Yet G4S 12 subjected Plaintiffs, along with several other women, to an arduous journey lasting over 24 hours. 13 G4S placed Plaintiffs in a dark, windowless van in suffocating heat for several hours. Plaintiffs 14 felt themselves suffocating because there was no air circulation in the compartment. Metal 15 shackles at their wrists and ankles, connected by a metal chain to their waists, made it difficult for 16 them to move. Women vomited and fainted. Plaintiffs' cries for help were ignored by the driver, 17 who turned up the radio to drown out their screams. The driver repeatedly swerved off the road 18 while texting on his phone, exacerbating Plaintiffs' pain and fears of an accident. Plaintiffs-who 19 are mothers and grandmothers-thought they would never see their families again and began to 20 pray.

3. 21 Throughout the ordeal, spanning more than a day, Plaintiffs were denied access to adequate food, water, sleep, and toilets. They were unjustifiably shackled at both the wrists and 22 23 ankles while they were moved between vehicles and facilities. Ms. Vallejo Medrano was 24 intentionally assaulted by an officer during her transfer from a vehicle to a building in San 25 Francisco because she was unable to move to the officer's satisfaction while shackled at the 26 ankles. G4S also denied Ms. Vallejo Medrano, who suffers from asthma, access to her inhaler 27 during the transport, including while shut into a hot, airless van. G4S knowingly deprived Ms. Medina Ramirez of critical diabetes medication throughout the transfer, along with appropriate 28

COMPLAINT

1

1	nutrition to manage her diabetic condition.		
2	4. As a result of G4S's actions, Plaintiffs suffered symptoms of heat stroke and		
3	dehydration, and almost died while caged in an unsafe, extremely hot, and dangerously-driven		
4	vehicle without sufficient air for hours on end. Plaintiffs seek compensation for their injuries and		
5	to prevent continued abuses from being committed against other detainees, despite fearing		
6	retaliation from ICE for this suit. G4S must be held accountable for the harm it has caused these		
7	women through its egregious conduct.		
8	PARTIES		
9	5. Plaintiff Floricel Liborio Ramos is a Mexican citizen residing in California. She is		
10	thirty-nine years old.		
11	6. Plaintiff Maria de Jesús Medina Ramirez is a Mexican citizen residing in		
12	California. She is sixty-six years old.		
13	7. Plaintiff Maria Valdovinos Diaz is a Mexican citizen residing in California. She is		
14	forty-six years old.		
15	8. Plaintiff Yolanda Vallejo Medrano is a Mexican citizen residing in Mexico. She is		
16	forty-four years old.		
17	9. Defendant G4S Secure Solutions (USA), Inc. is a Delaware company		
18	headquartered in Jupiter, Florida. At all times relevant to the allegations in this complaint, G4S		
19	provided transportation services to ICE in the state of California, including within ICE's San		
20	Francisco Area of Responsibility ("AOR"), pursuant to a contract with ICE dated July 24, 2012.		
21	SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION		
22	10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
23	§ 1332.		
24	11. Defendant G4S is a citizen of the state of Delaware and the state of Florida for		
25	purposes of subject matter jurisdiction. No Plaintiff is a citizen of Delaware and no Plaintiff is a		
26	citizen of Florida.		
27	12. The amount in controversy between each Plaintiff and G4S exceeds \$75,000		
28	because each Plaintiff individually seeks and is legally entitled to recover more than \$75,000 in		
	2		
	COMPLAINT		

#### Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 4 of 30

compensatory damages. In addition, each Plaintiff individually seeks and is legally entitled to recover more than \$75,000 in punitive damages.

3

1

2

### **PERSONAL JURISDICTION**

This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant G4S. At all times relevant to
the allegations in this complaint, G4S maintained contacts within this District by employing
individuals to provide transportation and related detention services in the ICE San Francisco AOR.
G4S employees and agents drove individuals in ICE custody throughout Northern California and
physically worked at ICE offices in San Francisco and San Jose. G4S employees and agents
provided these services pursuant to a contract with ICE dated July 24, 2012.

10

#### VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

11 14. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2). A substantial
12 part of the events giving rise to the claims in this action took place in this district, namely Contra
13 Costa County, the City and County of San Francisco, and Santa Clara County.

Pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(c) and (d), assignment to the San Francisco Division is
proper. A substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims in this action took place in San
Francisco County and Contra Costa County. On information and belief, Defendant G4S has an
office located in San Francisco.

18

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

19 16. On July 17, 2017, Plaintiffs were in the custody of ICE and housed at the West County Detention Facility ("WCDF") in Richmond, California. Before 4 a.m., officers woke 20 21 Plaintiffs and several other women abruptly so that they could be driven from WCDF to the Mesa Verde Detention Facility in Bakersfield, California. An ordinary drive from Richmond to 22 23 Bakersfield by car lasts no longer than 5 hours. However, Plaintiffs, in a group with several other 24 women, were shuffled through various facilities and vehicles on a journey that lasted over 24 25 hours. Plaintiffs were not booked and admitted to the Mesa Verde facility until the following 26 morning, on July 18, 2017. During this long trip, Defendant G4S subjected Plaintiffs and their 27 fellow passengers to unsafe and inhumane conditions, which resulted in physical pain, injuries, 28 and the exacerbation of medical conditions including asthma and diabetes; deprivation of adequate

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 5 of 30

1 food, water, sleep, and medication; and severe emotional trauma.

2 17. On information and belief, G4S employees and agents conducted all transportation 3 of Plaintiffs throughout the days of July 17, 2017 and July 18, 2017 and possessed custody of 4 Plaintiffs from the point at which they left WCDF to the point at which they arrived at Mesa 5 Verde Detention Facility in Bakersfield, California. A contract between ICE and G4S dated July 24, 2012 (the "Contract") obligated G4S to provide employees and agents to perform 6 7 transportation and detention officer services during the period of July 2017 in the San Francisco 8 AOR. Under the Contract, G4S provided transportation and detention officer services in at least 9 six offices within the San Francisco AOR, including San Francisco, San Jose, Bakersfield, Fresno, 10 Sacramento, and Stockton. Under the Contract, G4S drove Plaintiffs and held them in custody on 11 the days of July 17 and July 18, 2017.

12

#### **Transport from WCDF to San Francisco**

18. 13 Prior to leaving WCDF on the morning of July 17, 2017, Plaintiffs ate a small 14 breakfast and were told to gather their personal belongings. Plaintiffs changed into the clothes 15 they were wearing when ICE took them into custody.

16

19. Ms. Medina Ramirez, who is diabetic, was not given the dose of prescription 17 medication she routinely received at 6 a.m. for her diabetes.

18 20. Ms. Vallejo Medrano, who has asthma, had her inhaler taken away from her at 19 WCDF. Her asthma is significant, requiring her to use her inhaler every day. Her asthma attacks 20 are unpredictable and occur even when she feels calm and is not under any exertion. Ms. Vallejo 21 Medrano usually carries her inhaler with her at all times. When her inhaler was taken at WCDF, she asked to have her inhaler with her. Ms. Vallejo Medrano was told she could not have her 22 23 inhaler back until after she arrived at the other detention facility. She was denied her inhaler for the duration of the transfer. 24

25 21. G4S officers shackled Plaintiffs before they boarded the bus transporting them to San Francisco. G4S officers placed shackles on Plaintiffs' wrists, which were shackled together, 26 27 with a chain to the waist, and their ankles were shackled together. Plaintiffs' cuffs around their 28 ankles were tight and the chain separating them was short, making it difficult to walk. Some

Plaintiffs had a particularly difficult time walking while shackled because they were wearing
 heeled shoes.

3 22. G4S officers did not have any need to shackle or restrain Plaintiffs because they did
4 not display or threaten violent behavior, they possessed no relevant history of criminal activity,
5 and no likelihood of Plaintiffs' escape existed. There were also no exigent circumstances
6 justifying Plaintiffs' shackling and restraints.

7 23. G4S officers then loaded Plaintiffs into a bus at WCDF and drove them to San
8 Francisco.

9 24. Upon arriving in San Francisco, G4S officers did not remove Plaintiffs' shackles
10 before they exited the vehicle.

11 25. As Ms. Vallejo Medrano was exiting the vehicle while shackled, her shoes came 12 off and she was unable to adjust them due to her shackles. She slipped and rolled her ankle. The 13 metal shackles tightened and pushed forcefully into her ankles, resulting in bruising and swelling. 14 A G4S officer instructed Ms. Vallejo Medrano to walk towards the building, but she could not 15 walk because her shoes were not securely fastened. She leaned down to try to adjust her shoes. 16 She indicated to the officer that she could not walk because of her shoes, but the G4S officer 17 grabbed her and shook her. Ms. Vallejo Medrano leaned down a second time, at which point the G4S officer grabbed her roughly, lifted her up, twisted her body, pushed her forward, and insulted 18 19 her.

20 26. Other G4S officers witnessed Ms. Vallejo Medrano being grabbed, lifted, twisted,
21 and pushed by the G4S officer while she was exiting the vehicle.

22 27. Upon entering the San Francisco facility, G4S officers took Plaintiffs to a "hielera"
23 or "ice box"—a room that is intentionally kept very cold and furnished only with metal benches
24 and an open toilet. Ms. Liborio Ramos was told by a G4S officer that the room was kept cold as
25 "punishment."

26 28. Plaintiffs' shackles were finally removed inside the hielera. In the course of
27 removing the shackles, a G4S officer roughly and forcefully kicked Ms. Medina Ramirez's legs
28 apart, without warning.

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 7 of 30

29. Ms. Vallejo Medrano's ankle was visibly swollen, and she was crying in pain. Ms.
 Vallejo Medrano asked a G4S officer for a doctor, but the G4S officers did not provide her with
 first aid or medical attention.

30. At approximately 10:00 or 10:30 AM in San Francisco, some of the Plaintiffs
received a sandwich and a bottle of water. Plaintiffs received this food only after they told the
G4S officers they were hungry and asked for food.

7 31. Though they remained in the hielera for several hours, Plaintiffs did not receive any
8 additional water or food in San Francisco, nor did they have access to a private restroom.

9

## **Bus Transport from San Francisco to Gilroy**

32. After several hours in the hielera, G4S officers drove Plaintiffs from San Francisco
to Gilroy, California. In San Francisco, G4S officers again used metal shackles to restrain
Plaintiffs—as before, they had shackles on their wrists, connected to a chain around their waists,
and shackles on their ankles.

33. G4S officers took Plaintiffs from the hielera and boarded them onto a school bustype vehicle, along with a number of male ICE detainees who G4S was also transporting.

34. The bus transporting Plaintiffs from San Francisco had only one bathroom in the
back of the bus. Plaintiffs could not use the bathroom during the trip, as there was a metal
partition separating the men from the women, and the men were in the section of the bus where the
bathroom was located.

20 35. During the bus ride, Ms. Medina Ramirez felt ill because she was diabetic and had
21 been denied her medication.

22

## Van Transport from Gilroy to Fresno

36. The G4S officer driving Plaintiffs from San Francisco arrived in Gilroy in the late
afternoon or early evening hours of July 17, 2017.

37. The G4S officer pulled over on the side of the highway at a truck weighing station.
There were two white vans waiting—one for the men and the other for Plaintiffs and the other
women passengers. The vans appeared old, in poor condition, and small, as if used for animal
control. Neither van had any windows in the rear compartment where detainees were confined,

COMPLAINT

#### Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 8 of 30

and both had doors to the rear compartment only at the back of the van.

38. Plaintiffs disembarked from the bus, still shackled at their wrists, ankles, and waists. They waited while the men were also removed and transferred to one of the vans and then Plaintiffs entered the other van.

5 39. During the transfer, the G4S officers did not remove Plaintiffs' shackles, did not 6 give them food or water, refused them time to rest, and refused them access to a restroom.

7 40. Two of the women passengers told the G4S officers that they could not be confined
8 to the dark compartment due to their medical and mental health conditions. The G4S officers
9 ignored them.

41. Plaintiffs were forced to enter the van through the rear doors and sat on metal
benches running along the sides of the van. Plaintiffs struggled to fasten their seatbelts because
their wrists were shackled and the metal chain affixed to their waists made it difficult for the
seatbelt to be fastened. The officers did not assist Plaintiffs in fastening their seatbelts.

42. The rear compartment of the van was extremely hot and the temperature outside the
van was high, reflecting the July heat. Plaintiffs felt the heat in the rear compartment before they
even entered the van. Plaintiffs heard one G4S officer comment on how hot it was in the back of
the van.

18 43. Plaintiffs were wearing heavy clothing, including sweaters, long-sleeved shirts, and
19 long pants. The G4S officers gave them no opportunity to change or remove excess clothing
20 before entering the extremely hot van.

44. Once Plaintiffs entered the compartment of the van, they realized that no air was
circulating in their compartment. Thick metal bars and a solid clear partition made of glass or
plastic separated the driver from Plaintiffs so that no air circulation from the driver's compartment
reached the women.

45. The rear compartment where Plaintiffs were forced to sit felt like a cage and an
oven. There was not enough room for the women to be safely driven in the rear compartment.
Once the van doors were closed, there was no light in the compartment.

28

1

2

3

4

46. Before the G4S officer began driving, a woman again protested that she could not

COMPLAINT

be inside the windowless van because she had a phobia. The G4S officer ignored her and began
 driving.

47. The lack of air, heat, darkness, shackles, and other conditions in the G4S-operated van quickly overwhelmed Plaintiffs and their fellow passengers. Plaintiffs and their fellow passengers struggled to remove their sweaters and warm clothing, but their wrist shackles and metal chain prevented them from doing so.

48. Plaintiffs and the other women passengers began having anxiety attacks, crying,
fainting, and vomiting. One woman crawled over to a woman who fainted to hold her so that she
would not hit her head or body against the van. She tried to keep the woman talking so that she
would stay conscious. Some of the women tried to help the woman who fainted by holding her
and blowing their breath toward her to cool her. Ultimately, the woman laid down on the floor of
the van because she felt so sick. Another woman fainted and did not sit back up, leading Plaintiffs
and the other women to think she had died when she did not respond.

49. Plaintiffs cried and yelled to the G4S officer to stop the van and help them and the
women who fainted and vomited. Plaintiffs banged on the van to get the G4S officer's attention,
even going so far as to unbuckle their waist belts and use the metal clasps to bang on the benches.
The women told the driver that they needed air, but he would not help them.

18 50. The G4S officer could hear Plaintiffs' cries and banging—he turned around once
19 and yelled at them to shut up. He otherwise ignored them and turned up the radio to drown out
20 their pleas for help.

21 51. The G4S officer texted while driving, which caused him to swerve off the road
22 while driving and slammed Plaintiffs against the walls of the van.

52. Plaintiffs felt themselves suffocating because of the lack of air and the heat inside
the van. They blew air on each other to try and give each other enough air to breathe. They were
hot, sweating, and dizzy, and their hearts were racing.

26

3

4

5

6

53. Plaintiffs felt desperate and scared that they were about to die.

54. Ms. Liborio Ramos cried and screamed. She thought she would take her last breath
in the rear of the van, without seeing her children again and saying goodbye to them. She thought

COMPLAINT

#### Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 10 of 30

God had placed her in the back of the van with the other women, so that if no one made it out of
 the van alive, at least they would remember each other. Her heart felt as though it was shutting
 down with every passing second. She began praying. To this day, she believes she is alive only
 because of a miracle.

55. 5 Ms. Medina Ramirez also thought she would die in the van. She is afraid of being 6 in confined spaces, and struggled to breathe due to her fear and the heat. She felt the need to 7 vomit like other women in the van. Her diabetes made her hunger and thirst debilitating. She felt 8 ill because G4S had failed to provide her with her diabetes medication. Her wrists, shoulders, and 9 back hurt from the tight shackles. In addition, the driver's erratic driving caused Ms. Medina 10 Ramirez to sway from side to side. She used her feet to stay stable, which placed pressure on the 11 shackles on her feet and caused her to feel pain in her feet and knees. At 64 years old, the lack of 12 sleep, access to a bathroom, and proper food and water caused significant harm to Ms. Medina Ramirez. 13

56. Ms. Vallejo Medrano also thought she would die and never see her four children
again. She screamed for the G4S officer to stop the van. She felt weak, dizzy, thirsty, and hungry.
Ms. Vallejo Medrano suffers from asthma and could not breathe, and felt herself suffocating. She
feared that she would suffer an asthma attack. Though she had been prescribed an inhaler for her
asthma condition, an officer took the inhaler away from her in WCDF, so she could not use it.
Her throbbing ankle was severely swollen.

57. Ms. Valdovinos Diaz felt as though she was suffocating. She had seen news
reports of other people being transported and dying in vehicle compartments without air and light
and could not believe that this was happening to her. She thought she would die too. Her wrists
hurt from the shackles that tightened as she tried to help the other women. She felt scared and
helpless due to her inability to help herself and the others.

25 58. After several hours, the G4S-operated van transporting Plaintiffs arrived in Fresno
26 in the late evening.

27 59. In Fresno, G4S officers other than the driver opened the doors to the rear
28 compartment holding Plaintiffs. The G4S officers were surprised at the sight and smell of the

COMPLAINT

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 11 of 30

1 compartment where Plaintiffs were confined.

60. Plaintiffs and the other women were taken by G4S officers to a building. G4S
officers unshackled one hand for each person so that they could eat sandwiches and drink juice or
water. This was the only food the G4S officers provided to Plaintiffs or the other women in the
approximately 12 hours since some of them last ate or drank in San Francisco. At least one of the
women in the group was unable to eat because she felt too sick.

7

## Van Transport from Fresno to Mesa Verde Detention Facility

8 61. G4S officers then drove Plaintiffs and their fellow passengers from Fresno to9 Bakersfield.

10 62. Upon arriving in Bakersfield, G4S officers kept Plaintiffs waiting in the van for one
11 hour before they entered Mesa Verde Detention Facility. While Plaintiffs remained in the van, the
12 G4S officers did not unshackle them, did not provide them with food or water, and did not offer
13 them an opportunity to use the bathroom.

14 63. The G4S officers transferred Plaintiffs from the van to Mesa Verde Detention
15 Facility in the early morning hours of July 18, 2017.

16 64. Inside Mesa Verde, Plaintiffs spent three to four hours in processing, which
17 included having photos taken, physicals performed, and blood drawn. Plaintiffs were so
18 exhausted that they fell asleep in their chairs.

19 65. After hours of processing, Plaintiffs received a breakfast—several hours since their
20 last meal.

66. Throughout the transport, G4S officers had neglected to provide the necessary
standard of care for Ms. Medina Ramirez's diabetes; she was denied appropriate meals as well as
her medication, and did not have her blood levels checked until she had already started exhibiting
symptoms of diabetic shock.

25 67. Plaintiffs arrived in their housing areas in Mesa Verde more than 24 hours after
26 being awoken in WCDF.

27 68. When Plaintiffs finally went to bed, they began to cry from fear and the trauma of
28 what they had experienced. An officer at the Mesa Verde facility noticed Plaintiffs' distress and

COMPLAINT

helped some Plaintiffs obtain attention from the health care staff.

1

2

#### **Injuries Suffered**

69. Plaintiffs were not provided adequate food and water by G4S while G4S drove
them and had them in custody during their transfer from WCDF to Mesa Verde Detention Facility.
Plaintiffs received meager meals at most twice from G4S during the over 24-hour transport
process. The spartan food that G4S provided Plaintiffs lacked sufficient nutritional value to
sustain them for such long periods of time between meals. As a result of G4S's actions, Plaintiffs
suffered acute hunger and thirst throughout their journey.

9 70. G4S deprived Plaintiffs of adequate opportunity to use the bathroom. As a result of
10 G4S's actions, Plaintiffs felt pain in their abdomens from having to hold in urine for many hours.

G4S inappropriately and unnecessarily shackled Plaintiffs at the wrists, ankles, and
with a metal chain to their waists. As a result of G4S's actions, Plaintiffs experienced redness and
soreness in their wrists from the shackles. Plaintiffs also experienced stiffness and muscle pain in
their legs and ankles from having to brace themselves on the metal bench in the Gilroy-Fresno van
for extended periods with their ankles shackled.

72. G4S exposed Plaintiffs to a range of extreme and unreasonable temperatures. First, 16 17 G4S placed Plaintiffs in extreme cold, on metal benches in the hielera in San Francisco. Then 18 G4S placed Plaintiffs in suffocating heat in the back of the van from Gilroy to Fresno. As a result 19 of G4S's actions, Plaintiffs became physically ill. Plaintiffs experienced symptoms of heat stroke, 20 including sweating, headaches, and dizziness. They were unable to breathe properly and they had 21 extremely elevated heart rates. The heat, combined with the lack of adequate food and water throughout the journey, caused them to become severely dehydrated. They felt confused and 22 23 agitated, and the heat compromised their ability to think clearly in an extremely stressful situation.

G4S placed Plaintiffs in unsafe vehicles, especially the van transporting them from
Gilroy to Fresno. G4S drove Plaintiffs from Gilroy to Fresno in a van that was too small to hold
them safely, without lights, and with non-functioning air circulation.

74. Their experiences during the transfer from WCDF to Mesa Verde, and in particular
the experience in the van from Gilroy to Fresno, caused and continues to cause Plaintiffs to

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 13 of 30

experience severe and extreme emotional trauma. As a result of G4S's actions, Plaintiffs each
 genuinely and reasonably believed that they would die. They experienced or witnessed other
 Plaintiffs and women become injured and severely ill from the acts and omissions of G4S officers,
 including being denied access to water, sustenance, doctors, and medication, and being trapped in
 an unsafe, small, extremely hot, and dangerously-driven vehicle for hours on end.

75. The G4S officers' reckless disregard for the women's health and safety, including
their conscious disregard of their pleas for help, confirmed for Plaintiffs that they and the other
women would not be helped should they fall into further peril.

76. The conduct of G4S, which resulted in injury to Plaintiffs, was done with malice
and oppression, and in willful and conscious disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiffs, in that
G4S and/or the agents acting on its behalf intentionally created the hazardous conditions in which
they consciously placed Plaintiffs, disregarding the risks of death, physical injury, and emotional
distress, and caused Plaintiffs to suffer physical injuries and emotional distress.

14

#### Floricel Liborio Ramos

15 77. In addition to the injuries described in the preceding paragraphs, Ms. Liborio
16 Ramos suffered the following particularized injuries:

78. Ms. Liborio Ramos was hungry and thirsty on July 17, 2017 and July 18, 2017,
including during the transfer from Gilroy to Fresno. Her hunger and thirst made her feel
physically weak. Her body felt heavy, especially due to the metal shackles and chains, and she
could not move well because of their weight.

79. The shackles around Ms. Liborio Ramos's wrists and ankles caused her physical
pain. She experienced bruising and redness on her wrists for several days after the transport. Her
ankles were red and raw and it was very painful for her to walk for four to five days.

80. After arrival in Mesa Verde, Ms. Liborio Ramos suffered from an inability to sleep
due to the emotional trauma she experienced during the transfer. She had nightmares connected to
the event that caused her to wake up screaming in Mesa Verde. Ms. Liborio Ramos did not have
an appetite for several days and felt afraid to go outside. To this day, the over 24-hour transport in
July 2017 continues to cause Ms. Liborio Ramos anxiety, stress, and depression in daily life. She

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 14 of 30

still remembers the punishing heat and the feeling of suffocation she felt in the Gilroy-Fresno
van—she often relives this harrowing experience at night or when she is in the dark. Turning off
the lights makes her feel anxious, and she continues to have difficulty sleeping. Ms. Liborio
Ramos sought and continues to receive mental health treatment for the symptoms resulting from
her experience of being in G4S custody and being subjected to the inhumane conditions of the
more than day-long transfer from Richmond to Mesa Verde.

7

#### Maria de Jesús Medina Ramirez

8 81. In addition to the injuries described in the preceding paragraphs, Ms. Medina
9 Ramirez suffered the following particularized injuries:

Δ

10 82. Ms. Medina Ramirez was deprived by G4S of her diabetes medication, which she 11 should have received twice a day pursuant to her prescribed treatment plan. She last received her 12 medication during the afternoon of July 16, 2017. She was not given her medication at the 13 regularly scheduled times on the morning and afternoon of July 17, 2017, and did not receive it 14 again until some point after her arrival at Mesa Verde on the morning of July 18, 2017. Due to the 15 deprivation of her medication, along with the lack of proper food, water, and nutrition, Ms. 16 Medina Ramirez suffered from pain, nausea, confusion, cold sweats, and dizziness associated with 17 her diabetes condition. Further, due to the stress of the incident, Ms. Medina Ramirez developed a 18 skin rash on her back and chest.

19 83. After arrival in Mesa Verde, Ms. Medina Ramirez experienced nightmares and 20 trouble sleeping due to the emotional trauma she experienced during the transfer. She continues to 21 have trouble sleeping and experiences anxiety and depression. She feels sometimes as though she needs to escape or run outside. Ms. Medina Ramirez sought and received mental health treatment 22 23 following her experience. She takes medication for her psychological distress and is receiving 24 treatment from a mental health professional for the symptoms resulting from her experience of 25 being in G4S custody and being subjected to the inhumane conditions of the more than day-long transfer from Richmond to Mesa Verde. 26

27

#### Maria Valdovinos Diaz

28

84. In addition to the injuries described in the preceding paragraphs, Ms. Valdovinos

#### Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 15 of 30

Diaz suffered the following particularized injuries:

85. Ms. Valdovinos Diaz experienced pain in her bladder for approximately 3 days
following the transfer on July 17 and July 18, 2017, as a result of having to hold her urine for such
a long time. The shackles around Ms. Valdovinos Diaz's wrists and ankles caused her physical
pain, and she experienced redness and pain on her wrists for weeks after the transport due to the
shackles. Due to the pain on her wrists, Ms. Valdovinos Diaz could not join the work program at
Mesa Verde, which paid detainees \$1 per day for their work. Ms. Valdovinos Diaz wanted to
work in order to have funds to call her family, who did not know where she was.

9 86. When Ms. Valdovinos Diaz tried to sleep the first night in Mesa Verde, she had 10 difficulty falling asleep, and had nightmares when she finally did. She continues to experience 11 nightmares about being in the Gilroy-Fresno van and reliving the trauma of that journey. Ms. 12 Valdovinos Diaz felt anxious and nervous due to the emotional trauma and also due to her fear of 13 being subjected to another transport in similar conditions. Ms. Valdovinos Diaz continues to 14 experience depression, fear, and anxiety stemming from the incidents of July 17 and July 18, 15 2017, and fears further transport while in custody. Ms. Valdovinos Diaz sought and continues to 16 receive mental health treatment for the symptoms resulting from her experience of being in G4S 17 custody and being subjected to the inhumane conditions of the more than day-long transfer from 18 Richmond to Mesa Verde.

19

1

#### Yolanda Vallejo Medrano

20 87. In addition to the injuries described in the preceding paragraphs, Ms. Vallejo
21 Medrano suffered the following particularized injuries:

88. Ms. Vallejo Medrano suffered an injury to her ankle due to shackling during
transport in G4S custody on July 17 and 18, 2017, which was exacerbated by actions of the G4S
officers throughout that period. Ms. Vallejo Medrano also suffered unnecessary and excessive
force inflicted by G4S officers on July 17, 2017 during her transfer from a G4S-operated vehicle
to a building in San Francisco, which caused her pain in her shoulder and upper back and pain in
her ankle. Her ankle was red, swollen, and painful throughout the trip on July 17 and July 18,
2017, and these symptoms continued for several days after her arrival in Mesa Verde. Her left

14

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 16 of 30

arm was also bruised for three days after she was grabbed and shaken by the G4S officer in San
 Francisco, and her shoulder and back area were painful because of the G4S officer grabbing and
 shaking her.

4 89. Ms. Vallejo Medrano was unable to breathe properly in the back of the Gilroy5 Fresno van due to the lack of air. Her trouble breathing was exacerbated by her asthma condition,
6 for which she had an inhaler that was taken away for the duration of the transfer. She feared that
7 she would suffer an asthma attack.

90. After arrival in Mesa Verde, Ms. Vallejo Medrano experienced nightmares and
could not sleep due to the emotional trauma of reliving the experience in the back of the GilroyFresno van. She frequently woke up in the middle of the night with anxiety from her experience.
Ms. Vallejo Medrano sought and continues to receive mental health treatment for the symptoms
resulting from her experience of being in G4S custody and being subjected to the inhumane
conditions of the more than day-long transfer from Richmond to Mesa Verde.

14

#### **Contract Between ICE and G4S**

15 91. The Contract between ICE and G4S, pursuant to which G4S transported Plaintiffs
16 on July 17 and July 18, 2017, imposed several obligations on ICE and G4S.

17 92. The Contract required ICE to provide G4S with instructions on which detainees to
18 transport and the locations to and from which the detainees should be transported.

19 93. The Contract required G4S to transport the detainees safely. The Contract further 20 required G4S to (a) engage in annual, daily, and other routine inspections of vehicles used to 21 transport detainees to ensure safety and operability; (b) document annual, daily, and other routine inspections of vehicles used to transport detainees; (c) use two G4S employees or agents to 22 23 transport female-only detainees; (d) report to ICE and document violations or attempted violations 24 of standards of conduct; (e) report to ICE and document all incidents that result in physical harm 25 to detainees or threaten the health, safety, or welfare of any person; (f) notify a medical provider 26 and ICE if any detainee requires immediate medical attention; and (g) serve detainees meals.

27 94. The Contract required G4S to comply with ICE's 2011 Performance-Based
28 National Detention Standards ("PBNDS"). Under the PBNDS, G4S was required to (a) engage in

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 17 of 30

1 annual, daily, and other routine inspections of vehicles used to transport detainees to ensure safety 2 and operability; (b) document annual, daily, and other routine inspections of vehicles used to 3 transport detainees; (c) refrain from using any vehicles to transport detainees if any safety repairs 4 to the vehicle were necessary; (d) ensure that detainees are properly secured before the transport 5 begins; (e) transport detainees in a safe and humane manner; (f) safeguard and dispense 6 prescription medication to detainees during transport; (g) provide meals and snacks during any 7 transfer that exceeds six hours, considering the last time that detainees ate, affording special 8 consideration to detainees who have medical conditions, and documenting the provision of meals; 9 (h) maintain a constant supply of drinking water and ice for detainees during transport; 10 (i) refraining from handcuffing women detainees unless certain narrow circumstances exist, and 11 documenting such exceptions; and (j) take appropriate action to respond to a detainee who 12 becomes ill during transport, including requesting assistance from the nearest medical facility. 13 **Respondeat Superior** 95. 14 Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs were driven by employees and agents of

G4S throughout the time period of July 17 to 18, 2017, and in the custody of employees and agents of G4S throughout that same time period. Employees and agents of G4S committed the tortious actions alleged herein while in the course and scope of their employment with G4S. G4S is vicariously liable for the tortious actions of its employees and agents under the doctrine of respondeat superior. G4S is vicariously liable for the tortious actions of its employees and agents as those actions could have been reasonably anticipated by G4S.

21

## **Need for Relief**

96. The injuries that Plaintiffs suffered while in the custody of G4S and its employees,
agents, and contractors and while G4S and its employees, agents, and contractors drove them and
held them in custody during the transfer from WCDF to Mesa Verde were caused by the
negligence, assault, battery, and negligent and intentional infliction of emotional distress
committed by G4S and its employees, agents, and contractors. G4S's tortious conduct violates
California state law as well as ICE's policies, procedures, standards, contracts, and applicable
federal law.

1	<u>COUNT I</u>		
2	<u>(Plaintiff Yolanda Vallejo Medrano - Assault)</u>		
3	97. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the		
4	allegations in all the preceding paragraphs.		
5	98. After Ms. Vallejo Medrano exited the transfer vehicle in San Francisco, a G4S		
6	officer grabbed, pushed, and twisted Ms. Vallejo Medrano even though she had tried to show him		
7	that she was unable to walk.		
8	99. Before the G4S officer committed one or more of these acts, it reasonably appeared		
9	to Ms. Vallejo Medrano that she was about to be touched in a harmful and offensive manner.		
10	100. The G4S officer acted intending to cause harmful or offensive contact.		
11	101. Ms. Vallejo Medrano was harmed by the unwanted contact with the G4S officer, as		
12	she sustained a bruised arm, experienced pain in her shoulder and upper back, and felt fearful and		
13	demeaned by the encounter.		
14	102. Ms. Vallejo Medrano's physical injuries were directly and proximately caused by		
15	the actions of the G4S officer.		
16	103. Ms. Vallejo Medrano seeks compensatory damages for pain and suffering from her		
17	physical injuries and emotional distress.		
18	104. The conduct of the G4S officer, and G4S, which resulted in physical injury and		
19	emotional distress to Ms. Vallejo Medrano, was done with malice and oppression, as defined by		
20	California Civil Code § 3294, and in willful and conscious disregard of the rights and safety of		
21	Ms. Vallejo Medrano and others. Specifically, G4S, through its officers, directors, and/or		
22	managing agents, authorized, directed, conducted, or ratified each of the acts described in the		
23	preceding paragraphs, and as a result G4S is liable for oppression or malice in that its officer		
24	intentionally and gratuitously used excessive physical force in grabbing, pushing, and forcing Ms.		
25	Vallejo Medrano to walk, knowing that she was shackled at the ankles and could not do so. As a		
26	result, Ms. Vallejo Medrano is entitled to an award of exemplary damages.		
27			
28			

Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 19 of 30		
		<u>COUNT II</u>
		<u>(Plaintiff Yolanda Vallejo Medrano - Battery)</u>
	105.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the
allega	ations in	all the preceding paragraphs.
	106.	After Ms. Vallejo Medrano exited the transfer vehicle in San Francisco, a G4S
office	er grabbe	ed, pushed, and twisted Ms. Vallejo Medrano even though she had tried to show him
that s	he was u	nable to walk. The contact with the officer during this encounter was harmful and
offen	sive to N	Is. Vallejo Medrano and would be harmful and offensive to a reasonable person.
	107.	The officer acted intending to cause harmful or offensive contact.
	108.	On information and belief, the officer was an agent of G4S.
	109.	The unwanted contact caused harm to Ms. Vallejo Medrano, as she sustained a
bruise	ed arm, e	experienced pain in her shoulder and upper back, and felt fearful and demeaned by
the er	ncounter	
	110.	Ms. Vallejo Medrano's physical injuries were directly and proximately caused by
the ac	ctions of	G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf.
	111.	Ms. Vallejo Medrano seeks compensatory damages for pain and suffering for her
physi	cal injur	ies and emotional distress.
	112.	The conduct of G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf, which resulted in physical
injury	and em	otional distress to Ms. Vallejo Medrano, was done with malice and oppression, as
defin	ed by Ca	lifornia Civil Code § 3294, and in willful and conscious disregard of the rights and
safety	v of Ms.	Vallejo Medrano and others. Specifically, G4S, through its officers, directors,
and/o	r manag	ing agents, authorized, directed, conducted, or ratified each of the acts described in
the pi	receding	paragraphs. In committing the acts described in this complaint, G4S is liable for
oppre	ession or	malice in that its officer intentionally and gratuitously used excessive physical force

ysical force 24 opp 25 in grabbing, pushing, and forcing Ms. Vallejo Medrano to walk, knowing that she was shackled at the ankles and could not do so. As a result, Ms. Vallejo Medrano is entitled to an award of 26 27 exemplary damages.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	<u>COUNT III</u>		
2	(All Plaintiffs - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)		
3	113. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the		
4	allegations in all the preceding paragraphs.		
5	114. On July 17 and July 18, 2017, G4S was in a special relationship with Plaintiffs as		
6	immigration detainees in its custody and care, over whom G4S exercised complete control and to		
7	whom G4S possessed a duty to protect from foreseeable harm.		
8	115. On July 17 and July 18, 2017, G4S officers intentionally subjected Plaintiffs to a		
9	terrifying and physically grueling journey of over 24 hours, from WCDF to Mesa Verde.		
10	116. Among other things, G4S officers intentionally placed Plaintiffs in the rear		
11	compartment of a van for transport from Gilroy to Fresno, with knowledge that:		
12	i. The outside temperature on July 17, 2017 was extremely hot;		
13	ii. The temperature inside the rear compartment of van where Plaintiffs and the		
14	other women passengers were confined was even hotter;		
15	iii. The rear compartment of the van did not have air circulation, ventilation, or air		
16	conditioning;		
17	iv. The rear compartment of the van had no windows through which air or		
18	ventilation could enter;		
19	v. The rear compartment of the van was dark when the rear doors of the van were		
20	shut;		
21	vi. The rear compartment of the van was too small to hold Plaintiffs safely;		
22	vii. The group of women, including Plaintiffs, were shackled at the wrists, ankles,		
23	and to their waists, limiting their ability to move;		
24	viii. Certain Plaintiffs and other women were wearing heavy, winter weight		
25	clothing;		
26	ix. Certain women passengers had medical and mental health conditions that		
27	prevented them from being driven in a compartment that was dark, airless, and		
28	limited in space;		
	19 COMPLAINT		

	Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 21 of 30		
1	x. Plaintiff Maria de Jesus Medina Ramirez was diabetic and had not been		
2	administered her diabetes medication or appropriate food;		
3	xi. Plaintiff Yolanda Vallejo Medrano had asthma and had been deprived of her		
4	inhaler;		
5	xii. Certain Plaintiffs were elderly and/or injured; and		
6	xiii. The van transport between Gilroy and Fresno was part of a longer journey,		
7	during which Plaintiffs did not receive adequate food, water, sleep, access to		
8	bathrooms, or medical treatment.		
9	117. The G4S driver of the Gilroy-Fresno cargo van, acting as an agent of G4S,		
10	intentionally:		
11	i. Refused to provide Plaintiffs with water and did not provide sufficient food at		
12	regular intervals;		
13	ii. Refused to provide Ms. Medina Ramirez with diabetes medication and appropriate		
14	food;		
15	iii. Drove Plaintiffs in the rear of a cargo van, while shackled, without sufficient air,		
16	ventilation, or climate controls;		
17	iv. Drove Plaintiffs in the Gilroy-Fresno van and ignored Plaintiffs' screams and		
18	banging for help, with the knowledge that Plaintiffs were vomiting, fainting,		
19	crying, screaming, overheating, and could not breathe; and		
20	v. Drove the Gilroy-Fresno van recklessly, texting while driving and swerving off the		
21	road.		
22	118. These intentional, extreme, and outrageous actions by G4S and its employees,		
23	agents, and/or contractors were undertaken intentionally to cause Plaintiffs extreme emotional		
24	distress and physical injuries, or at a minimum were undertaken with reckless disregard of the fact		
25	that they would cause Plaintiffs to suffer severe emotional distress and physical injuries. Any		
26	reasonable person would have known that such actions would foreseeably cause Plaintiffs to suffer		
27	severe emotional distress.		
28			
	20		

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 22 of 30

1 119. These actions by G4S and its employees, agents, and/or contractors were extreme
 and outrageous because G4S abused the special relationship that existed between G4S and
 Plaintiffs and this special relationship gave G4S the power to damage Plaintiffs' interests.

4 120. These actions by G4S and its employees, agents, and/or contractors caused
5 Plaintiffs to suffer severe emotional distress during the transfer from WCDF to Mesa Verde
6 Detention Center, and in particular during the van ride between Gilroy and Fresno, including the
7 following:

8	i.	Fear, panic, terror, and apprehension of death while Plaintiffs were driven in the
9		rear of the hot, windowless, and airless Gilroy-Fresno cargo van; feeling
10		themselves asphyxiating; feeling that there was no way to escape the van; and
11		being ignored by the G4S driver when pleading for help;
12	ii.	Fear, panic, and terror from Plaintiffs' beliefs that they would never see their
13		families and children again; that at least one passenger had died because she
14		fainted; witnessing other Plaintiffs and passengers panicking and asphyxiating;
15		Emotional distress and physical pain from bruising, redness, and pain in their
16		limbs caused by improper shackling and the G4S driver's intentional and
17		reckless conduct while driving;
18	iv.	Extreme hunger, thirst, and exhaustion from being deprived of adequate food,
19		water, bathrooms, sleep, and an appropriately climate-controlled environment
20		for an approximately 24-hour period;
21	v.	Fear, anxiety, and helplessness from being denied access to medication and
22		medical care or witnessing others being denied such access for an
23		approximately 24-hour period;
24	vi.	Fear, anxiety, degradation, and helplessness from being assaulted and battered
25		by an officer or witnessing assaults by an officer;
26	vii.	Ongoing trauma and nightmares caused by nearly dying in the rear of the van
27		while being driven by the G4S driver from Gilroy to Fresno;
28	viii.	Ongoing fears and trauma related to going outside, being driven by ICE and/or
		21
	COMPLAINT	

1	G4S, and contact with detention personnel, all caused by nearly dying in the		
2	rear of the van while being driven by the G4S driver from Gilroy to Fresno; and		
3	ix. Ongoing trauma, extreme depression and anxiety, and other emotional distress,		
4	all caused by nearly dying in the rear of the van while being driven by the G4S		
5	driver from Gilroy to Fresno.		
6	121. In addition to the foregoing, Ms. Medina Ramirez suffered anxiety, fear, and pain		
7	as a result of her diabetic shock.		
8	122. In addition to the foregoing, Ms. Vallejo Medrano suffered anxiety, fear, and pain		
9	as result of her bruised and swollen ankle, arm, shoulder, and upper back.		
10	123. G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf actually and proximately caused Plaintiffs'		
11	extreme emotional distress through its extreme and outrageous conduct.		
12	124. Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages for the extreme emotional distress caused by		
13	G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf.		
14	125. The conduct of G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf, which resulted in injury and		
15	extreme emotional distress to Plaintiffs, was done with malice and oppression, as defined by		
16	California Civil Code § 3294, and in willful and conscious disregard of the rights and safety of		
17	Plaintiffs and others. Specifically, G4S, through its officers, directors, and/or managing agents,		
18	authorized, directed, conducted, or ratified each of the acts described in the preceding paragraphs.		
19	In committing the acts described in this complaint, G4S is liable for oppression or malice in that		
20	its officers created and placed Plaintiffs in conditions in which it knew Plaintiffs could die and		
21	would suffer physical injuries and extreme emotional distress. As a result, Plaintiffs are entitled to		
22	an award of exemplary damages.		
23	<u>COUNT IV</u>		
24	<u>(All Plaintiffs - Negligence)</u>		
25	126. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the		
26	allegations in all the preceding paragraphs.		
27	127. As a company providing transportation services to immigration detainees, G4S had		
28	a duty to the detainees entrusted in its care, including Plaintiffs, to protect them from foreseeable		
	COMPLAINT		

# Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 24 of 30

1	harm.
---	-------

2	128.	G4S possessed duties under the Contract and under the PBNDS towards Plaintiffs,	
3	including, but not limited, to the duty to:		
4	i. Provide Plaintiffs with medication and adequate medical care;		
5	ii.	Provide Plaintiffs with sufficient food and drink;	
6	iii.	Provide Plaintiffs with a safe environment;	
7	iv.	Avoid using physical force on Plaintiffs;	
8	v.	Avoid shackling Plaintiffs;	
9	vi.	Inspect vehicles used to transport detainees on a daily, monthly, and annual basis;	
10		and	
11	vii.	Remove immediately from service any vehicles used to transport detainees that	
12		were in an unsafe condition.	
13	129.	G4S had a duty to avoid placing Plaintiffs in shackles when not necessary to the	
14	health or safe	ty of Plaintiffs and others, and when not necessary to mitigate the risk of flight.	
15	130.	G4S also had a duty to avoid placing Plaintiffs in extreme temperatures, to avoid	
16	using unneces	ssary force against Plaintiffs during transport, and to otherwise ensure Plaintiffs'	
17	health and sat	fety.	
18	131.	G4S had a duty to adequately train and supervise its employees and contractors to	
19	ensure that they provided an appropriate degree of care to detainees in G4S custody.		
20	132.	G4S had a duty to exercise diligence in hiring and entrusting job responsibilities to	
21	its employees	and contractors.	
22	133.	G4S breached the above duties by, among other things:	
23		i. Failing to provide Plaintiffs with medication and adequate medical care;	
24		ii. Failing to provide Plaintiffs with proper food and drink;	
25		iii. Using physical force against Plaintiffs;	
26		iv. Placing Plaintiffs in shackles without justification;	
27		v. Failing to inspect the vehicles used to transport Plaintiffs;	
28		vi. Failing to ensure vehicles used to drive Plaintiffs were adequate in size, had	
		23	
	COMPLAINT		

1	adequate air circulation, ventilation, and temperature controls;	
2	vii. Failing to take unsafe vehicles out of service;	
3	viii. Failing to ensure that transports were limited to an appropriate duration;	
4	ix. Failing to monitor its employees to ensure its employees were protecting	
5	Plaintiffs' health and safety, and otherwise following appropriate guidelines	
6	and practices in transporting Plaintiffs;	
7	x. Failing to exercise diligence in hiring and training its employees; and	
8	xi. Failing to otherwise protect Plaintiffs from foreseeable harm due to improper	
9	shackling; lengthy transfers without food, water, or sleep; denial of medication	
10	and medical assistance; use of unsafe and small vehicles; use of a windowless	
11	cargo van without air circulation or climate controls on a hot summer day; and	
12	unsafe driving.	
13	134. G4S's breach of duty actually and proximately caused damage to Plaintiffs	
14	including, without limitation:	
15	i. Difficulty breathing and asphyxiation, caused by lack of air in the rear of the	
16	Fresno-Gilroy van;	
17	ii. Dehydration, sweating, overheating, nausea, dizziness, elevated heartbeat,	
18	confusion, and other symptoms of heat stroke caused by elevated temperatures	
19	in the rear of the Fresno-Gilroy van;	
20	iii. Bruising and redness due to improper shackling;	
21	iv. Pain in Plaintiffs' legs, wrists, and ankles due to sitting for extended periods of	
22	time while shackled and being forced to move between vehicles and facilities	
23	while shackled;	
24	v. Deprivation of adequate food, water, bathrooms, and sleep, resulting in hunger,	
25	dehydration, abdominal pain, and exhaustion;	
26	vi. Deprivation of appropriately climate-controlled vehicles and facilities;	
27	vii. Fear, panic, terror, and apprehension of death while Plaintiffs were driven in the	
28	rear of the hot, windowless, and airless Gilroy-Fresno cargo van; feeling	
	COMPLAINT 24	

1		themselves asphyxiating; feeling that there was no way to escape the van; and
2		being ignored by the G4S driver when pleading for help;
3	viii.	Fear, panic, and terror from Plaintiffs' beliefs that they would never see their
4		families and children again; beliefs that at least one passenger had died because
5		she fainted; and witnessing other Plaintiffs and passengers panicking and
6		asphyxiating;
7	ix.	Emotional distress and physical pain from bruising, redness, and pain in their
8		limbs caused by improper shackling and the G4S driver's intentional and
9		reckless conduct while driving;
10	х.	Extreme hunger, thirst, and exhaustion from being deprived of adequate food,
11		water, bathrooms, sleep, and an appropriately climate-controlled environment
12		for an approximately 24-hour period;
13	xi.	Fear, anxiety, and helplessness from being denied access to medication and
14		medical care or witnessing others being denied such access for an
15		approximately 24-hour period;
16	xii.	Fear, anxiety, degradation, and helplessness from being assaulted and battered
17		by an officer or witnessing assaults by an officer;
18	xiii.	Ongoing trauma and nightmares caused by nearly dying in the rear of the van
19		while being driven by the G4S driver from Gilroy to Fresno;
20	xiv.	Ongoing claustrophobia caused by nearly dying in the rear of the van while
21		being driven by the G4S driver from Gilroy to Fresno;
22	xv.	Ongoing fears and trauma related to going outside, being driven by ICE and/or
23		G4S, and contact with detention personnel, all caused by nearly dying in the
24		rear of the van while being driven by the G4S driver from Gilroy to Fresno; and
25	xvi.	Ongoing trauma, extreme depression and anxiety, and other emotional distress,
26		all caused by nearly dying in the rear of the van while being driven by the G4S
27		driver from Gilroy to Fresno.
28	135. Wi	th respect to Ms. Medina Ramirez, G4S's breach of duty actually and
	COMPLAINT	25
	COMPLAINT	

# Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 27 of 30

1	proximately caused further damage, including, without limitation:			
2	i. Diabetic shock from denial of access to doctors and diabetes medication,			
3	including after medical attention was requested; and			
4	ii. A rash on Ms. Medina Ramirez's back and chest.			
5	136. With respect to Ms. Vallejo Medrano, G4S's breach of duty actually and			
6	proximately caused further damages, including, without limitation:			
7	i. A bruised arm for three days;			
8	ii. Shoulder and upper back pain; and			
9	iii. A bruised and swollen ankle for at least several days, which resulted in			
10	difficulty walking and added pain during shackling.			
11	137. G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf actually and proximately caused Plaintiffs'			
12	physical injuries and severe emotional distress through the acts described in the preceding			
13	paragraphs.			
14	138. Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages for the physical injuries and severe			
15	emotional distress caused by G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf.			
16	139. The conduct of G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf, which resulted in physical			
17	injury and extreme emotional distress to Plaintiffs, was done with malice and oppression, as			
18	defined by California Civil Code § 3294, and in willful and conscious disregard of the rights and			
19	safety of Plaintiffs and others. Specifically, G4S, through its officers, directors, and/or managing			
20	agents, authorized, directed, conducted, or ratified each of the acts described in the preceding			
21	paragraphs. In committing the acts described in this complaint, G4S is liable for oppression or			
22	malice in that its officers created and placed Plaintiffs in conditions in which it knew Plaintiffs			
23	could die and would suffer physical injuries and extreme emotional distress. As a result, Plaintiffs			
24	are entitled to an award of exemplary damages.			
25	<u>COUNT V</u>			
26	(All Plaintiffs - Negligence - Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)			
27	140. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the			
28	allegations in all the preceding paragraphs.			
	COMPLAINT 26			

## Case 3:19-cv-02757 Document 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 28 of 30

1 141. Plaintiffs are all women who were held by G4S and by ICE in custody together,
 2 along with other women passengers who were driven by G4S on July 17 and July 18, 2017.

3 142. On July 17 and 18, 2017, Plaintiffs and their fellow women passengers suffered
4 physical injury and severe emotional distress that was directly and proximately caused by the
5 conduct of G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf, as described in Count III, above.

6 143. As described above in Count III, G4S acted in an extreme and outrageous manner
7 towards Plaintiffs and the other women transported between WCDF and Mesa Verde Detention
8 Center on July 17 and July 18, 2017.

9 144. A reasonable person would have understood the physical risks of placing a group of
10 shackled women in the rear of a van in extreme heat and with no air circulation, and would not
11 have done so.

12 145. At the time of G4S's negligent and careless injury-producing conduct set forth 13 above, Plaintiffs were aware that the conduct was causing injury to fellow Plaintiffs and other 14 women passengers because they directly witnessed and observed the severe emotional distress, 15 apprehension of death, physical injuries, diabetic shock, and other physical symptoms associated 16 with assault, shackling, asphyxiation, dehydration, hunger, and lack of sleep experienced by their 17 fellow Plaintiffs and women passengers, all caused by G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf.

18 146. As a direct and proximate result of witnessing injury to fellow Plaintiffs and the
other women passengers caused by G4S negligent and careless conduct, Plaintiffs sustained
serious emotional distress and damages, as described above in Count III, which manifested
physical symptoms and in some cases required medical treatment.

147. As described above in Count III, the severe emotional distress experienced by
Plaintiffs constitutes damage to Plaintiffs.

24 148. Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages for the severe emotional distress caused by
25 G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf.

149. The conduct of G4S and/or agents acting on its behalf, which resulted in severe
emotional distress to Plaintiffs, was done with malice and oppression, as defined by California
Civil Code § 3294, and in willful and conscious disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiffs and

COMPLAINT

others. Specifically, G4S, through its officers, directors, and/or managing agents, authorized,
directed, conducted, or ratified each of the acts described in the preceding paragraphs. In
committing the acts described in this complaint, G4S is liable for oppression or malice in that its
officers created and placed Plaintiffs in conditions in which it knew Plaintiffs could die and would
suffer physical injuries and extreme emotional distress. As a result, Plaintiffs are entitled to an
award of exemplary damages.

6	award of exemplary damages.			
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:			
9	A. Judgment in Plaintiffs' favor against G4S on all causes of actions alleged in this			
10		Complaint;		
11	B.	Compensatory and general damages adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for the injuries		
12		suffered according to proof;		
13	C.	Exemplary and punitive damages;		
14	D.	Pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest on any award of damages to the		
15		extent permitted by law;		
16	E.	Litigation costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action under any		
17		applicable law; and		
18	F.	Such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	28			
	COMPLAINT			

	Case 3:19-cv-02757 Docu	iment 1 Filed 05/21/19 Page 30 of 30		
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
2	Plaintiffs demand trial by jury for all causes of action, claims, or issues in this action that			
3	are triable as a matter of right to a jury.			
4				
5	Dated: May 21, 2019	Respectfully submitted,		
6		Dry /a/ Maal Chattorian		
7		By: <u>/s/ Neel Chatterjee</u> Neel Chatterjee Andrew Ong		
8 9		Hayes P. Hyde Andrea Scripa ( <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) GOODWIN PROCTER LLP		
10		Vasudha Talla		
11		Jamie Crook AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION		
12		FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA		
13		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		29		
	COMPLAINT	27		