### SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY NAME</th>
<th>STATE FINANCING REQUESTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>$ 56 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### A. APPLICANT INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL TYPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMALL COUNTY (200,000 and UNDER GENERAL COUNTY POPULATION)</th>
<th>MEDIUM COUNTY (200,001 - 700,000 GENERAL COUNTY POPULATION)</th>
<th>LARGE COUNTY (700,001 + GENERAL COUNTY POPULATION)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### TYPE OF PROPOSAL – INDIVIDUAL COUNTY FACILITY / REGIONAL FACILITY

- [ ] INDIVIDUAL COUNTY FACILITY
- [ ] REGIONAL FACILITY

#### B. BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- **FACILITY NAME**: Century Regional Detention Facility
- **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**: Construction of Programming and Treatment Annex

#### C. SCOPE OF WORK – INDICATE FACILITY TYPE AND CHECK ALL BOXES THAT APPLY.

- **FACILITY TYPE (II, III or IV)**
  - [ ] NEW STAND-ALONE FACILITY
  - [ ] RENOVATION/REMODELING
  - [ ] CONSTRUCTING BEDS OR OTHER SPACE AT EXISTING FACILITY

#### D. BEDS CONSTRUCTED – Provide the number of BSCC-rated beds and non-rated special use beds that will be subject to construction as a result of the project, whether remodel/renovation or new construction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. MINIMUM SECURITY BEDS</th>
<th>B. MEDIUM SECURITY BEDS</th>
<th>C. MAXIMUM SECURITY BEDS</th>
<th>D. SPECIAL USE BEDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of beds constructed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BEDS (A+B+C+D)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. APPLICANT'S AGREEMENT

By signing this application, the authorized person assures that: a) the County will abide by the laws, regulations, policies, and procedures governing this financing program; and, b) certifies that the information contained in this proposal form, budget, narrative, and attachments is true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge.

PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN AGREEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim McDonnell</td>
<td>Sheriff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUTHORIZED PERSON'S SIGNATURE

G. DESIGNATED PROJECT CONTACT PERSON

This person is responsible for project coordination and day-to-day liaison work with the BSCC. (Must be county staff, not a consultant or contractor, and must be identified in the Board of Supervisors' resolution.)

PROJECT CONTACT PERSON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gary T. K. Tse</td>
<td>Assistant Division Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

STREET ADDRESS

4700 Ramona Blvd. 4th Floor

CITY         | STATE | ZIP CODE | E-MAIL ADDRESS |
-------------|-------|----------|----------------|
Monterey Park | CA    | 91754    | GTse@lasd.org  |

F. DESIGNATED COUNTY CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATOR

This person shall be responsible to oversee construction and administer the state/county agreements. (Must be county staff, not a consultant or contractor, and must be identified in the Board of Supervisors' resolution.)

COUNTY CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gary T. K. Tse</td>
<td>Assistant Division Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

STREET ADDRESS

4700 Ramona Blvd. 4th Floor

CITY         | STATE | ZIP CODE | E-MAIL ADDRESS |
-------------|-------|----------|----------------|
Monterey Park | CA    | 91754    | GTse@lasd.org  |

G. DESIGNATED PROJECT FINANCIAL OFFICER

This person is responsible for all financial and accounting project related activities. (Must be county staff, not a consultant or contractor, and must be identified in the Board of Supervisors' resolution.)

PROJECT FINANCIAL OFFICER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bradford Bolger</td>
<td>Senior Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT

Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office

STREET ADDRESS

500 W. Temple Street, Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration Room 754

CITY         | STATE | ZIP CODE | E-MAIL ADDRESS |
-------------|-------|----------|----------------|
Los Angeles  | CA    | 90012    | BBolger@ceo.lacounty.gov |

H. DESIGNATED PROJECT CONTACT PERSON

This person is responsible for project coordination and day-to-day liaison work with the BSCC. (Must be county staff, not a consultant or contractor, and must be identified in the Board of Supervisors' resolution.)

PROJECT CONTACT PERSON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gary T. K. Tse</td>
<td>Assistant Division Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

STREET ADDRESS

4700 Ramona Blvd. 4th Floor

CITY         | STATE | ZIP CODE | E-MAIL ADDRESS |
-------------|-------|----------|----------------|
Monterey Park | CA    | 91754    | GTse@lasd.org  |
SECTION 2: BUDGET SUMMARY

Budget Summary Instructions

Definitions of total project costs for purposes of this program (state reimbursed, county cash contribution, and county in-kind contribution) can be found in the “Budget Considerations” page 22 of the Senate Bill (SB) 863, Construction of Adult Local Criminal Justice Facilities (ALCJF’s) Request for Proposals (RFP). The county cash and in-kind contributions are collectively the county contribution. Those defined costs in the RFP shall be the guide for accurately completing this budget summary section.

In the Budget Summary Table that follows in part D of this section, indicate the amount of state financing requested and the amount of cash and/or in-kind contributions allotted to each budget line-item, in total defining the total project costs. It is necessary to fully include each eligible project cost for state-reimbursed, county cash, and county in-kind contribution amounts.

The in-kind contribution line items represent only county staff salaries and benefits, needs assessment costs, transition planning costs and/or current fair market value of land. An appraisal of land value will only be required after conditional award and only if land value is included as part of the county’s contribution.

The total amount of state financing requested cannot exceed 90 percent of the total project costs. The county contribution must be a minimum of 10 percent of the total project costs (unless the applicant is a small county petitioning for a reduction in the county contribution amount). County contributions can be any combination of cash or in-kind project costs. Small counties requesting a reduction in county contribution must state so in part A of this section. The County contribution must include all costs directly related to the project necessary to complete the design and construction of the proposed project, except for those eligible costs for which state reimbursement is being requested.

State financing limits (maximums) for all county proposals are as follows. For proposed regional ALCJF’s, the size of the lead county determines the maximum amount of funds to be requested for the entire project:

- $80,000,000 for large counties;
- $40,000,000 for medium counties; and,
- $20,000,000 for small counties.

A. Under 200,000 Population County Petition for Reduction in Contribution

Counties with a population below 200,000 may petition the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) for a reduction in its county contribution. This proposal document will serve as the petition and the BSCC Board’s acceptance of the county’s contribution reduction, provided the county abides by all terms and conditions of this SB 863 RFP and Proposal process and receives a conditional award. The county (below 200,000 population) may request to reduce the required match to an amount not less than the total non-state reimbursable projects cost as defined in Title 15, Division 1, Chapter 1, Subchapter 6, Construction Financing Program section
1712.3. If requesting a reduction in match contribution, check the box below to indicate the county’s petition.

☐ By checking this box the county hereby petitions for a contribution reduction request as reflected in the proposal budget.

B. Readiness to Proceed Preference

In order to attest that the county is seeking the readiness to proceed with the proposed project, the county included a Board of Supervisors’ resolution doing the following: 1) identifying and authorizing an adequate amount of available matching funds to satisfy the counties’ contribution, 2) approving the forms of the project documents deemed necessary, as identified by the board to the BSCC, to effectuate the financing authorized in SB 863 3) and authorizing the appropriate signatory or signatories to execute those documents at the appropriate times. The identified matching funds in the resolution shall be compatible with the state’s lease revenue bond financing. Additionally see Section 6 “Board of Supervisors’ Resolution” for further instructions.

☐ This proposal includes a Board of Supervisors’ Resolution that is attached and includes language that assures funding is available and compatible with state’s lease revenue bond financing. See below for the description of compatible funds.

County Cash Contribution Funds Are Legal and Authorized. The payment of the county cash contribution funds for the proposed adult local criminal justice facility project (i) is within the power, legal right, and authority of the County; (ii) is legal and will not conflict with or constitute on the part of the County a material violation of, a material breach of, a material default under, or result in the creation or imposition of any lien, charge, restriction, or encumbrance upon any property of the County under the provisions of any charter instrument, bylaw, indenture, mortgage, deed of trust, pledge, note, lease, loan, installment sale agreement, contract, or other material agreement or instrument to which the County is a party or by which the County or its properties or funds are otherwise subject or bound, decree, or demand of any court or governmental agency or body having jurisdiction over the County or any of its activities, properties or funds; and (iii) have been duly authorized by all necessary and appropriate action on the part of the governing body of the County.

No Prior Pledge. The county cash contribution funds and the Project are not and will not be mortgaged, pledged, or hypothecated by the County in any manner or for any purpose and have not been and will not be the subject of a grant of a security interest by the County. In addition, the county cash contribution funds and the Project are not and will not be mortgaged, pledged, or hypothecated for the benefit of the County or its creditors in any manner or for any purpose and have not been and will not be the subject of a grant of a security interest in favor of the County or its creditors. The County shall not in any manner impair, impede or challenge the security, rights and benefits of the owners of any lease-revenue bonds sold by the State Public Works Board for the Project (the “Bonds”) or the trustee for the Bonds.

Authorization to Proceed with the Project. The Project proposed in the County’s SB 863 Financing Program proposal is authorized to proceed in its entirety when and if state financing is awarded for the Project within the SB 863 Financing Program.
C. **California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) compliance**

Has the county completed the CEQA compliance for the project site?

- [ ] Yes. If so, include documentation evidencing the completion (preference points).
- [ ] No. If no, describe the status of the CEQA certification.
### Table (Report to Nearest $1,000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE ITEM</th>
<th>STATE REIMBURSED</th>
<th>CASH CONTRIBUTION</th>
<th>IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Construction</td>
<td>$42,532,000</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$43,732,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Additional Eligible Costs*</td>
<td>$6,800,000</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Architectural</td>
<td>$4,030,200</td>
<td>$448,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,478,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Project/Construction Management</td>
<td>$2,618,000</td>
<td>$2,785,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,403,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CEQA</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. State Agency Fees**</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Audit</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Needs Assessment</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Transition Planning</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. County Administration</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Land Value</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT COSTS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$56,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,600,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$650,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$62,250,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERCENT OF TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>90%</strong></td>
<td><strong>9%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Additional Eligible Costs: This line item is limited to specified fees and moveable equipment and moveable furnishings (eligible for state reimbursement or cash contribution), and public art (eligible for cash contribution only)

** For State Agency Fees: State reimbursable costs include Real Estate Due Diligence only. State Fire Marshal fees may only be claimed as cash match.

Provide an explanation below of how the dollar figures were determined for each of the budget categories above that contain dollar amounts. Every cash contribution (match) line item shall be included with a reporting of the full amount budgeted unless a line item is not an actual cash contribution project cost for the county. (In that case, indicate so below.) For each budget category explanation below, include how state financing and the county contribution dollar amounts have been determined and calculated (be specific).

Define the budgeted amount for what is described in d) above:

1. Construction (includes fixed equipment and furnishings, state reimbursement/cash match):
   - **$43,732,000 in construction includes construction of the proposed structure, site work and contractor's overhead and profit, and a change order allowance. The funds are to be used for construction only and not used for Fixtures, Furnishings and Equipment (FF&E).**
2. Additional Eligible Costs (Specified allowable fees, moveable equipment and furnishings, public art)
   A) Define each allowable fee types and cost of each:
   B) Moveable equipment and moveable furnishings amount:
   C) Public Art total amount:

   $7,900,000 in Additional Eligible Costs includes FF&E, medical equipment and public art requirements. Public art will total 1% of the construction and design costs.

3. Architectural
   A) Describe the county's current stage in the architectural process:
   B) Given the approval requirements of the State Public Works Board (SPWB) and associated state reimbursement parameters (see "State Lease Revenue Bond Financing" section in the RFP), define which portions/phases of the architectural services the county intends to seek state dollar reimbursement:
   C) Define the budgeted amount for what is described in b) above:
   D) Define which portions/phases of the architectural services the county intends to cover with county contribution dollars:

   $4,478,000 in Architectural is the projected amount required for design consultants. The County has not initiated professional design services at this time, the County intends to seek reimbursement from the state after the State Public Works Board approves the project scope. The $447,000 should be sufficient for the County to engage in scoping document services, the County will seek reimbursement of the remaining $4,030,200 for construction documents, bid support, and construction administration.

4. Project/Construction Management - Describe which portions/phases of the construction management services the county intends to claim as:
   A) Cash
   B) In-Kind

   $5,403,000 in Construction/Project Management, the full amount will be used for a 3rd party construction management firm or in-County construction management services to manage the scope, schedule and budget of design and construction of the proposed annex.

5. CEQA – may be state reimbursement (consultant or contractor) or cash match
   $40,000 in CEQA, an allowance was included in the initially planning phases in the event of the challenge to the project, if not challenge is received this budget amount maybe used for County Administration.
6. State Agency Fees – Counties should consider approximate costs for the SFM review which may be county cash contribution (match) $16,000 for the due diligence costs which may be county cash contribution (match) or state reimbursement: $47,000 in State Agency Fees, the County will use this allowance for State Fire Marshall and other State agency fees as required for the project.

7. Audit of Grant - Define whether the county is intending to use independent county auditor (in-kind) or services of contracted auditor (cash) and amount budgeted: $50,000 in Audit, the County will use this allowance to engage in a 3rd-party Certified Public Accountant to prepare a financial audit of the project as required in the funding guidelines.

8. Needs Assessment - Define work performed by county staff (in-kind), define hired contracted staff services specifically for the development of the needs assessment (cash match): $0 in Needs Assessment, no needs assessment was generated or required as the proposed project will add treatment and programming spaces only and will not add beds to the existing facility.

9. Transition Planning – Define work performed by county staff (in-kind), define the staff hired specifically for the proposed project (cash match): $0 in Transition Planning, no costs are anticipated as the staffing and programs are existing at the facility.

10. County Administration – Define the county staff salaries/benefits directly associated with the proposed project: $600,000 in County Administration, the allowance will be used for County project management/administration fees and field inspection related to the project.

11. Site Acquisition - Describe the cost or current fair market value (in-kind): $0 in land acquisition costs, the County is the owner of the land at the proposed project site. Initial appraisal of the land value indicates an estimated market value of $240,000.
### SECTION 3: PROJECT TIMETABLE

Prior to completing this timetable, the county must consult with all appropriate county staff (e.g., county counsel, general services, public works, county administrator) to ensure that dates are achievable. Please consult the "State Public Works Board (State Capital Outlay Process)/Board of State and Community Corrections Processes and Requirements" section, page 30 of the RFP for further information. Complete the table below indicating start and completion dates for each key event, including comments if desired. Note the required time frames for specific milestone activities in this process. The BSCC Board intends to make conditional awards at its November 2015 board meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY EVENTS</th>
<th>START DATES</th>
<th>COMPLETION DATES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site assurance/comparable long-term possession within 90 days of award</td>
<td>01/12/2015</td>
<td>02/01/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate due diligence package submitted within 120 days of award</td>
<td>01/12/2015</td>
<td>03/01/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPWB meeting – Project established within 18 months of award</td>
<td>01/12/2015</td>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schematic Design with Operational Program Statement within 24 months of award (design-bid-build projects)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance criteria with Operational Program Statement within 30 months of award (design-bid-build projects)</td>
<td>01/12/2015</td>
<td>03/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Development (preliminary drawings) with Staffing Plan</td>
<td>06/22/2016</td>
<td>09/13/2016</td>
<td>Design development part of design-build</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing/Operating Cost Analysis approved by the Board of Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/13/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Documents (working drawings)</td>
<td>09/14/2016</td>
<td>03/14/2017</td>
<td>Construction documents part of design build</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Bids or Design-Build Solicitation</td>
<td>03/14/2017</td>
<td>06/06/2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Proceed within 42 months of award</td>
<td>06/07/2017</td>
<td>06/07/2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction (maximum three years to complete)</td>
<td>06/07/2017</td>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing/Occupancy within 90 days of completion</td>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>10/29/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 4: FACT SHEET

To capture key information from Section 5: Narrative, applicants must complete this Fact Sheet. Minimal information is requested. Narrative information or explanations are not to be included on this Fact Sheet nor as part of the tables in this section. Explanations of what is provided in these tables may be included in the Narrative section of the Proposal Form. Proposal narratives may include reference back to one or more of these specific tables (e.g., refer to Table 4 in Section 4 Fact Sheet).

Table 1: Provide the following information

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>County general population</td>
<td>10 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of detention facilities</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BSCC-rated capacity of jail system (multiple facilities)</td>
<td>13,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ADP (Secure Detention) of system</td>
<td>16,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ADP (Alternatives to Detention) of system</td>
<td>4,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Percentage felony inmates of system</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Percentage non-sentenced inmates of system</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Arrests per month</td>
<td>14,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bookings per month of system</td>
<td>9,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>“Lack of Space” releases per month</td>
<td>1,339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Provide the name, BSCC-rated capacity (RC) and ADP of the adult detention facilities (type II, III, and IV) in your jurisdiction (county)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>RC</th>
<th>ADP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Century Regional Detention Center</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>1,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Men’s Central Jail</td>
<td>5,108</td>
<td>4,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Twin Towers Correctional Facility</td>
<td>2,412</td>
<td>3,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pitchess Detention Center, East Facility</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Pitchess Detention Center, South Facility</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>1,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Pitchess Detention Center, North Facility</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. North County Correctional Facility</td>
<td>2,208</td>
<td>3,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Mira Loma Detention Center (Pending Re-Opening)</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: List the current offender programming in place and the ADP in each program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Trial Program</th>
<th>ADP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Education Based Incarceration Programs</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bail Deviation Program</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Own Recognizance Program</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Electronic Monitoring Program-Probation Department</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sentences Offender Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Education Based Incarceration Programs</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Back-On-Track (BOT) Department of Justice Program</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Electronic Monitoring Program</td>
<td>1,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Work Release/Weekender</td>
<td>2,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Community Based Alternatives to Custody</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: List of the offender assessments used for determining programming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment tools</th>
<th>Assessments per Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Northpointe Correctional Management and Profiling Alternatives Sanctions (COMPAS)</td>
<td>Currently unable to track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. STATEMENT OF NEED (Program & Treatment Space)

REVISION SEPTEMBER 09, 2015

Summary:

Los Angeles County is committed to fundamentally reforming the largest jail system in the nation. In recent years, the Los Angeles County (County) jail system has encountered significant challenges related to inmate access to services due to lack of adequate space. The lack of adequate treatment space inhibits the provision of comprehensive health care, rehabilitative programming and transitional case management for the inmate population. It is well documented that lack of treatment for those in custody leads to a missed opportunity to address the complex needs of inmates who enter our system and return to our communities, and contributes to high use of emergency room services, litigation, unacceptably high recidivism rates, unstable employment, unsafe housing and homelessness, untreated addiction and inmate unrest and associated violence from idleness.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) wants to address these deficiencies by expanding available treatment and programming space by partnering with the State of California for the construction of a treatment annex at the existing 1,588 BSCC rated Century Regional Detention Center (CRDF). The proposed treatment annex will provide additional medical treatment, programming and re-entry spaces for a facility that routinely houses up to 2,200 inmates. No changes to the overall bed-count at the existing CRDF facility is proposed.
The concept of providing services is not new to the county, as LASD has implemented evidence based programs to reduce recidivism; unfortunately, while we are focused and committed in improving outcomes for the inmates in our charge, the lack of medical, programming and re-entry spaces significantly hampers our efforts. Currently, at CRDF and all LASD jails, critical medical, mental health, educational, rehabilitative and re-entry services are conducted in makeshift and repurposed rooms, which exceed their operational capacity and are less than ideal to provide such important functions.

Inmates experience delays in medical, mental health and dental services due to the lack of available medical treatment spaces to schedule provider appointments. We do our best to meet the inmates’ needs by relying on repurposed storage, utility, and inmate spaces in an attempt to close this gap. As more repurposed areas are used to provide services, inmates are receiving healthcare treatment in openly observable areas not intended for that use. These less than optimal work spaces also create undue stress for the health care providers and make it difficult to recruit and retain skilled clinical personnel.

Inmates are limited from participating in evidence based rehabilitative programs and needed recreational activities due to a lack of available space. Critical rehabilitative groups are occurring in locations that are noisy and constantly disrupted due to uninvolved inmates needing to access the shared area. Even with all of the efforts to provide meaningful programs, our Re-entry and transitional services cannot expand due a lack of available space when factoring in the current demands placed on the facility by treatment and mental health programs. We are missing the opportunity to make the
important link to successful reentry as there is such limited space for providers to meet with the inmates.

Over the last year, the County has had received independent jail needs assessments and inmate population projections to assist with long term planning. The needs assessments were conducted by Vanir Construction and the Health Management Associates. The jail management population projection report was prepared by the University of California, Irvine. These three independent assessment all indicated a significant increase in the jail population of Los Angeles County in the next 10 years and inform the county that one of the fastest growing populations are those in need of mental health services.

To address the critical health care need, the Board of Supervisors (Board) approved a proposal to build a 3,885 bed Consolidated Correctional Treatment Facility to replace its existing dilapidated Men’s Central Jail with an integrated health care center. The County has received approval for AB900 funds to renovate the vacant Mira Loma Detention Center into a women’s rehabilitative programming facility, providing gender responsive and wrap around services for the low to medium security females. Planning for this facility is currently in its final phase of scoping documents. It is important to note, however, that the County has received roughly 35% of the AB 109 population and only 5% of the jail construction funding allocated to date.

Both facilities are intended to address the County’s complex challenges on a systemic level; however their anticipated completion dates and the enormous needs of the jail population in the remaining jails does not address all of the inmates’ current needs.
Existing Facility Description:

The designated facility for the proposed treatment annex is CRDF, which currently provides housing, medical, mental health, education, release, and re-entry services for approximately 2,200 female inmates. CRDF is also designated as the County’s only female facility and houses inmates with serious medical conditions, mental illnesses, pregnant females, and those in restricted housing. While CRDF was considered a “state of the art” direct supervision facility at the time of commissioning and has a very limited amount of programming and treatment space, it was not designed to meet the current philosophy of rehabilitation, education, integrated physical health, mental health, and substance abuse services.

The CRDF facility is currently staffed by approximately 800 personnel, however, due to mandated court settlement agreements, staff at CRDF is expected to expand to more than 900 personnel within the next year. As a result of the increasing number of inmates requiring mental health care, enhanced educational and vocational programming, and the growing staff needs, CRDF has significantly outgrown its available existing programming and treatment space. Furthermore, CRDF desperately needs additional treatment space. While CRDF
currently houses female inmates exclusively, the design of the proposed treatment annex will facilitate the provision of services to either male and/or female populations, depending on the needs of the County over the next 30 years.

As mentioned, Inmates at CRDF receive healthcare services, programming, and education in repurposed spaces that are less than ideal environments for medical care and rehabilitative programming. Almost all available space in the jail was considered for repurposing. For example, dayrooms previously designed for inmate dinning and leisure activity were repurposed to provide mental health services and/or operate as health care clinics. Inmate exercise yards are currently being used to address the lack of classroom space. Physicians and nurses are using holding cells to serve as examination rooms. Clinicians are placed in makeshift offices or recreation yards to address the lack of work space and client meeting space (see figures 1 and 2).

These makeshift treatment spaces lack privacy, causes stress to health care providers and inmate patients, leads to constant threats of litigation, and restrict the inmate-patient from benefiting from the services for which the space was originally designed. Clinic space at CRDF is at a premium due to the increased percentage of the
inmate population that require health care services, from emergent services to specialty care to chronic care management for the AB109 population. The current medical treatment rooms have a capacity of 738 weekly contacts, the current facility demand is approximately 1,500 weekly contacts.

CRDF has attempted to address the lack of treatment space by repurposing holding cells into examination rooms and provider offices. While this has increased the number of examination rooms, inmate holding capacity has been reduced and gaps between treatment occur while inmates are escorted to the existing clinic. Most problematic for treatment and litigation, repurposed examination rooms lack the required specialized equipment of purpose-built examination rooms. The proposed clinic expansion, part of the treatment annex, will alleviate inmate wait times by increasing the available medical treatment rooms while allowing the conversion of some existing medical treatment rooms back to holding cells. Thus, maximizing clinical contact by staging inmates close to the doctors, rather than escorting them to the clinic due to lack of holding space.

Additional efficiencies could be achieved by utilizing the latest technology by making provisions for telemedicine to allow remote diagnosis and treatment of inmates. The inclusion of treatment rooms and classrooms will allow for comprehensive health education for inmates, improving preventative care that will benefit inmates after release.
Rehabilitative programming and groups that are provided in makeshift meeting rooms and classrooms created in dayroom or outdoor recreation spaces receive reduced instructional quality due to constant distractions from inmates housed in the adjacent cells, and staff/inmate movement, while the groups are occurring. The facility has attempted to reduce some of these distractions through creative scheduling; however, disruptions are a constant challenge. In addition to overcoming the background noises, the spaces were not designed to accommodate education and programming. The spaces lack whiteboards; appropriate furniture, multimedia equipment, and acoustical treatment (see figures 3 and 4). Program and education groups conducted classes in close proximity to inmates not participating which can lead to potentially dangerous situations for inmates and program administrators when conflicts occur between the participant and non-participant inmates. Most challenging is the need to provide programming sessions for those inmates identified with various degrees of mental illness. Attempting to program in small dayrooms, outdoor recreation yards and areas where multiple sensory distractions occur reduce the effectiveness of the treatment provided for this fragile population.

As mentioned, re-entry and discharge planning is challenged as well, CRDF currently operates an onsite Inmate Reception Center for the intake and release of female
inmates at the facility. As part of the release process, LASD offers re-entry services to facilitate inmate release planning and coordinate services as needed after release. The re-entry process spans months and connects inmates with service providers such as healthcare insurance, medication providers, probation, housing assistance, work placement assistance, obtaining driver’s license or identification cards, and obtaining access to benefits.

Besides creating an annex for health care and programming, the new center will improve inmate visiting. Currently, CRDF provides traditional non-contact visiting at all housing modules and extremely limited contact visiting. The current contact visiting location is undersized and located directly adjacent to the exterior of the facility, which has been repurposed from a former dry food storage area to allow for limited family reunification one day a week for less than 5-10 inmates.

The proposed treatment annex will provide a contact visiting component integrated into the existing visitor processing system, which will ensure appropriate searching and exponentially increase the number of inmates who are able to have contact visiting.

**Overall County Jail System Deficiencies:**

The County’s jail facilities are extremely challenged with limited program space and overcrowding. Six County facilities are currently housing an excess of 4,000 inmates above the State recommended capacity. The jail system will soon exceed over $1 billion
in annual operating expenses with costs continuing to grow with on-going litigation and potential court settlements related to conditions of confinement. In California, current recidivism rates range from 50-60% statewide, with the recidivism of mentally ill inmates substantially higher. Statistics show that approximately one third of the inmates entering the State prison system annually are from Los Angeles County and that the vast majority of inmates suffer from substance abuse issues and are parents.

**Inmate Population Breakdown:**

Figure 5 chart of Los Angeles County Inmate Population

The population in the jails continues to rise and increase in complexity. Numerous factors have contributed to overcrowding in our jails, including the increase in the County’s population and the passage of the Public Safety Realignment - AB 109 in October of 2011. The County’s Average Daily Inmate Population (ADIP) began to increase dramatically soon after its enforcement. During the first two years, the average daily population rose from 13,600 prior to October 2011 and peaked to approximately 19,000 in 2013 (see figure 5).
In 2014, Proposition 47 (which sought to lower the incarceration rate by reducing the classification of most non-serious and non-violent property and drug crimes from a felony to a misdemeanor) was implemented, the inmate population initially decreased. However, as more bed space became available, the need to early release sentences misdemeanor inmates pursuant to a federal court ordered probation cap was virtually eliminated and thus our population began to rise. The historical practice of releasing sentenced inmates after serving only 10-20% of their sentence changed, and the population is currently at approximately 18,100.\textsuperscript{1} This population is currently over 4,000 inmates above the state rated capacity and includes roughly 1,000 inmates being supervised in a community based program.

To combat recidivism and improve the quality of inmate services within the County’s jail system, LASD partners with other County departments and outside agencies to provide education and treatment for inmates. These departments and agencies include but are not limited to, the Department of Public Health Substance Abuse Prevention and Control (DPH/SAPC), Department of Mental Health (DMH), Department of Health Services (DHS), Veteran’s Affairs, Department of Motor Vehicles, Probation, Community Based Re-entry Organizations and Charter schools and colleges who provide services in the jails.

\textsuperscript{1} The inmate population consists of various categories of inmates in broad groupings, including: Pre-trial, transitional county sentenced, AB 109, parole/revocation violators, and those awaiting State Prison.
II. SCOPE OF WORK

Utilization of Proposed Annex:

The proposed treatment annex at will consist of approximately 25,000 square feet containing classrooms, mental health treatment spaces, contact visiting area, medical spaces and staff support spaces for the inmate population. As part of the site work for the treatment annex, an existing unused outdoor area will be repurposed as an outdoor contact visiting area. The rooftop of the proposed treatment and programming annex will be configured as an outdoor recreation space for the inmates. Renovations to the existing CRDF facility to accommodate the proposed treatment and programming annex include revisions to the main visitor screening area, visitor circulation and inmate circulation. Existing programming and treatment spaces at CRDF will be repurposed to their original use or reconfigured to suit facility requirements.

Medical:

The treatment portion of the proposed treatment and programming annex will consist of a new clinic and associated support spaces and mental health treatment rooms. The annex clinic will supplement the current clinic with six exam rooms. The six exam rooms will be of a multi-functional design, allowing medical providers of various specialties an efficient work environment to conduct examinations and medical procedures. The multi-functional design allows the flexibility needed to provide general and specialty services based on the needs of the population.

The six exam rooms will be allocated for the following uses:

- Two Multi-Purpose Specialty exam room
- Three Internal Medicine/General exam rooms
- One General Ophthalmology exam room

The internal medicine and general exam rooms will accommodate scheduled and unscheduled inmate appointments. The room’s flexible design will accommodate the facility’s telemedicine and tattoo removal programs. A general ophthalmology exam room will accommodate routine eye examinations and treatment for physical injuries and eye disorders. Dental services and specialty clinics will be available in the annex.

Support spaces for the current medical staff will be expanded to allow for the service and operation of the additional exam rooms. The current CRDF clinic will remain operational, the additional exam rooms will alleviate the wait times for inmates by providing additional treatment facilities.

The proposed nurse’s station would be located in the annex clinic and will have a clear field of view into the examination rooms. The new examination rooms will replace the existing converted inmate-holding cells currently being utilized as examination rooms and converted back to its original intended purpose. The construction of new medical treatment rooms designed for patient care will allow the medical providers to work more effectively in an appropriate environment and reduce potential HIPAA violations for inmate patients.

Program Space (Integrated Model – Medical, Mental Health, Substance Use Disorders, Re-entry and Community Based Programs):

The programming portion of the proposed treatment and programming annex will consist of four 25-student classrooms that may be combined into a single large classroom and six small treatment rooms that may be combined into two larger treatment rooms. The addition of classrooms will provide an appropriate instructional environment free of
the ambient noise and environmental challenges of conducting classes at the dayrooms and outdoor recreation spaces. Appropriate furniture fixtures such as whiteboards, tackable surfaces, projection screens and multimedia tools will be provided. The treatment rooms provided at the proposed treatment annex will provide clinicians from the Department of Public Health and the Department of Mental Health a secure and therapeutic environment for treatment of substance abuse and mental health disorders. Both the classrooms and treatment space will allow Community Based Organizations to provide programming and self-help groups, such as Narcotics Anonymous, Breaking Barriers, and religious services. The classrooms and programming rooms will also utilize technology to allow for multimedia instruction and learning.

As part of the pre-release process, LASD offers re-entry services to facilitate inmate release planning and coordinate services for inmates. The addition of the proposed treatment annex will supplement the existing services provided by the CRDF Inmate Reception Center. Classroom spaces at the proposed treatment annex may be utilized to conduct group information sessions regarding available re-entry services prior to release. Individual or group assessments of inmates and their specific post-release needs may be conducted in the programming rooms provided. The smaller programming rooms and medical examination areas will allow private conversations between the inmates and providers, reducing the County’s exposure to potential HIPAA violations or security risks resulting from inmates discussing confidential information.

**Visiting Center:**

To enhance the existing limited contact visiting, the proposed treatment annex will include an outdoor and indoor contact-visiting center. An unused outdoor area will
provide an outdoor visiting space, the annex will also provide contact-visiting rooms. Reunification of inmates with their families, especially children, will allow for a more normative environment and assist our inmate population to transition successfully back into our communities. When the contact visiting area is not in use on non-visiting days, classes, programming activities and Community Based Organizations can utilize the contact visiting spaces to supplement the available classroom and treatment space.

The inmate visiting area supports a critical aspect of inmate programs and services. Encouraging our inmates to participate in contact visits not only improves their attitudes and outcomes upon release, but also contributes to improved institutional behavior and reduces propensity for violence and self-abuse. Therefore, creating a designated visiting center, which is conducive to contact visitation, provides our staff the opportunity to seek innovative approaches to reduce recidivism.

The proposed treatment annex will increase the availability of contact visits for inmates and visitors. It will allow the County to engage in family reunification to prepare inmates to return to the community. It will lessen the strain on children who are unable to understand why they cannot hug their parent and it will improve outcomes as ample evidence demonstrates that inmates who remain connected to healthy friends and family members have lower recidivism rates than those who disconnect from healthy relationships. We want to use this center to restore and build healthy relationships and reduce the trauma that children feel when visiting their parent in jail. It will lessen the strain on children who are unable to understand why they cannot hug their parent and it will improve outcomes as ample evidence demonstrates that inmates who remain connected to healthy friends and family members have lower recidivism rates than those
who disconnect from healthy relationships. We want to use this center to restore and build healthy relationships and reduce the trauma that children feel when visiting their parent in jail.

III. CURRENT PROGRAMMING AND SERVICES

As stated previously, CRDF is the primary women's custody facility for the County. CRDF currently offers medical, mental health, substance use disorder, educational, vocational, and re-entry programs. The proposed annex will allow existing programs to be placed in the appropriate spaces and allow for expansion of services, regardless of whether the facility houses males, females or both. Overall staffing for the facility should not increase even with expanded programming due to efficiencies realized with the additional space.

Medical Services:

The facility medically screens all newly booked inmates. As part of the medical screening process, pregnancy testing is offered for all inmates 50 years or younger. Currently, CRDF operates one main clinic, and conducts over 80 medical transports a month to outside hospitals for emergent and specialized care. The facility has only one dental office and has a current backlog of over 200 inmates due to lack of dental treatment chairs.

The Main Clinic is the centralized base of medical operations within the facility. General care practitioners are onsite Monday through Friday for both scheduled and unscheduled medical appointments. The Main Clinic provides two examination rooms used by general care practitioners. Due to space limitations, the facility converted five inmate-holding cells into medical examination rooms. The converted inmate-holding cells lack sufficient space to perform medical procedures, leading to workflow inefficiencies.
and physician-patient privacy concerns. All of these factors ultimately can contribute to less than optimal patient outcomes, and longer waiting for scheduled appointments. This in turn may hamper the inmate’s ability to participate in educational and rehabilitative programs as they wait for medical appointments.

**Nurse Clinic and Medication Administration Services:**

Nursing staff provide Nurse Clinic and Medication Administration services. There are approximately 1,288 inmates receiving prescription medication on a daily basis at the facility. Nurse Clinic (Sick Call) at the facility is provided Monday through Friday and average 1,134 monthly contacts.

**Internal Medicine Clinic:**

Prior to incarceration, many inmates have engaged in unhealthy lifestyles resulting in multiple medical concerns. Internal Medicine at the facility providers see approximately 305 inmates with an additional 144 inmates waiting for appointments on any given day.

**Healthcare Education:**

Medical services provide HIV/AIDS and STD education to all inmates requiring such services.

**General Ophthalmology Clinic:**

Provides services such as significant eye examination/tests (visual field test and ophthalmoscopy) and diagnose significant diseases such as blindness, cataract, macular degeneration and glaucoma. On average 88 inmates at the facility are evaluated monthly.

**Medical Specialty Clinics:**

The Los Angeles County Medical Center located approximately 15 miles north of CRDF currently provides the majority of Specialty Clinics and consultations due to the
inability to bring service providers into CRDF due to the lack of space. The Medical Services Bureau initiates over 7,500 referrals system wide for specialty consultations annually. Due to the scheduling and space constraints, only a limited number of inmates can be seen daily, creating a delay in service as well as the inherent security risks related to transfers outside of security. The establishment of in-house Specialty Clinics at other facilities have reduced the number of referrals to outside hospitals and expedited inmate access to specialty services but the backlog of clinical consultations is a critical healthcare and litigation concern.

Orthopedic Clinic:

The Orthopedic Clinic provides diagnosis and treatment of conditions of the bones, ligaments, tendons and joints. The clinic also repairs and applies casts and splints when necessary. The clinic averages 165 consultation requests a month system wide.

Dermatology Clinic:

The Dermatology Clinic provides diagnosis and treatment of skin diseases such as dermatitis (inflammation of the skin), acne, psoriasis, skin cancer and fungal infections. The Dermatologist performs biopsies to aid in the diagnosis of various conditions. The clinic performs approximately 30 evaluations a month.

Neurology Clinic:

The Neurology Clinic evaluates and treats conditions affecting the brain, spinal cord and nerves. The neurologist frequently examines inmates suffering from seizures or epilepsy, spinal cord injuries, stroke, paralysis and/or weakness of the extremities. The Neurology Clinic averages 70 consultation requests a month system wide.

Tattoo Removal Program:
The facility implemented an inmate Tattoo Removal Program in an attempt to increase employment opportunity and reduce recidivism. Trained medical personnel oversee the program and have currently treated over 536 inmates system wide. Currently, female inmates participating in the Tattoo Removal Program require transportation to another jail for treatments as CRDF does not have space for a program on site.

**Jail Mental Health Services (JMHS):**

Jail Mental Health Services (JMHS) programs administered by the County’s Department of Mental Health (DMH) provide care to men and women identified as having mental health needs while incarcerated within our jail system. DMH encourages utilizing a multidisciplinary team approach to create a therapeutic environment that provides the most effective mental health programming. DMH focuses on group interventions and the integration of mental health, substance abuse and medical care.

Mental health services are a significant part of CRDF operations. They currently have 376 inmates in mental health housing requiring a substantial amount of mental health programming services. In order to meet the growing needs of this population, DMH is expanding from 45 to 92 clinicians and currently CRDF is unable to utilize an entire housing module for full inmate programing due to the fact the unit’s Outdoor Recreation area was converted/re-purposed into office space to accommodate DMH staff. This was conducted prior to the arrival of the additional staff members and is now grossly inefficient. As mentioned, counseling and services are all provided in the housing areas as other programming needs are simultaneously being met in the same areas. Meeting the mental
health needs of our individual inmates requires dedicated therapeutic spaces to facilitate programming.

**Proposed Mental Health Programming:**

The biggest hurdle in provision of mental health services is the lack of available program space to provide adequate individual or group treatment for the growing mental health inmate population. CRDF currently provides services in open dayrooms and/or makeshift consulting areas, lacking privacy and reducing effectiveness. However, CRDF is not alone as there are no designated mental health group areas in any of the jails.

Currently, an excess of 3,500 inmates, or 20%, of the current inmates system-wide, receive mental health services on any given day. The mentally ill inmate census is comprised of approximately 2,950 men and 550 women. Over two-thirds of these inmates are housed in mental health areas within the Los Angeles County Twin Towers Correctional Facility and CRDF, with the remainder housed in the general population. Included in the mental health inmate census, are on average 650 inmates that are incarcerated under the provisions of AB 109. The change in the inmate demographics with more mentally ill serving longer periods has overburdened an already insufficient system, resulting in a formal settlement agreement with the United States Department of Justice in regards to our mental health and health care systems.

The County recently contracted for an outside review of the jail system's health care needs. That consultant firm determined that based on current trends, the County should prepare for a significant increase in the number of inmates who require medical and mental health services. The mental health population alone is projected to increase from 20% of the population to 35% of the population. These projections further inform
that the County should plan for additional treatment options in the community and in the jails.

The annex will allow adequate and appropriate space to allow community providers to assist with in-reach activities to foster development of a healthy community to support inmate-patients while incarcerated and then in their neighborhoods upon release to the community. Emphasis will be on expanded daily programming to include therapy, individual case manager contact, integrated care planning and case management. This will begin at the point of admission to the program and the treatment team will work to develop and implement realistic plans prior to discharge for housing, benefits/income, mental health care and other necessary services. The proposed treatment annex will alleviate the limited and repurposed spaces and ensure that inmates receive the best programming possible.

**Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Programs:**

LASD has historically established collaborative partnerships with various County departments as a mechanism to address the criminogenic needs of inmates. Unfortunately, substance use disorder (SUD) treatment services, although provided in limited scope due to limited program space at CRDF, continues to be an unmet need for inmates struggling with addiction disorders.

Funding for the in-custody SUD program is provided by the County's Department of Public Health, Substance Abuse Prevention and Control. Their studies have shown that approximately 70 percent of local jail populations meet the diagnostic criteria for having an addiction disorder. As such, individuals with addiction challenges who enter the criminal justice system have higher rates of mental illness, unemployment, unstable
relationships, poor educational backgrounds, and homelessness, which exacerbates addiction and contributes to higher rates of reoffending.

Tragically, literature indicates that despite the high prevalence of substance use, only 25 percent of men and 14 percent of women reported participating in SUD treatment while in custody. This lack of intervention and program availability can be a public safety issue as illegal drug and excessive alcohol use places individuals at risk of the “revolving door” of re-arrest and re-incarceration. The effects of addiction on the criminal justice system contributes to high rates of incarceration, yet according to the Office of National Drug Control Policy. There is a prevailing body of empirical evidence that shows inmates who participate in SUD treatment in-custody demonstrate lower rates of re-arrest and relapse when compared to similar inmates who did not participate in treatment.

The in-custody Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment programming at CRDF incorporates the principles of accredited day treatment, with current limited capacity for 24 inmates. The existing SUD program was established in 1998 as an intensive Substance Use Disorder treatment approach for convicted, non-violent felony offenders who are facing lengthy state prison terms for drug-related offenses. The core of the Offender Drug Court program is a mandatory in-custody treatment component for 90-days in County jail followed by SUD treatment services in the community.
The Offender Drug Court program has been effective in meeting the SUD treatment needs while in-custody and continuing treatment upon release into the community. The Sheriff's Department has realized a 47 percent recidivism rate for individual clients after two years for completing in-custody drug court program and released from jail compared to the California average of 62 percent.

**Proposed SUD Programming:**

The County proposes to implement an In-custody SUD treatment program at the newly designed Treatment and Programming Annex, increasing the number of inmates served from 24 to over 150 inmates. Admission to the proposed SUD treatment program shall be limited to those inmates who meet the following criteria:

The implementation of the SUD treatment component at the In-custody SUD treatment program will build on existing rehabilitation efforts.

The program will be staffed by a team of clinical personnel who will provide a structured regimen of care in an outpatient type setting, where specialized evidenced-based treatment services are available to treat inmates who have a diagnosable SUD. The newly proposed annex will provide sufficient space to accommodate inmates who are to be involved in planned treatment and recovery activities each day.

The following are the designated program levels, modeled after the American Society of Addition Medicine (ASAM) criteria and outlines specific SUD treatment services to be provided.

Treatment services will incorporate EBPs specific to inmates with SUD in three levels of care:
1) Level 1 – Clinically Managed Low Intensity

2) Level 2 – Clinically Managed Medium Intensity

3) Level 3 – Clinically Managed High Intensity

**Level 1 – Clinically Managed Low Intensity** – Is directed towards applying recovery skills, preventing relapse, improving emotional functioning, promoting personal responsibility, and reintegrating the inmate into the community, educational setting, and family life.

**Level 2 – Clinically Managed Medium Intensity** – Provides a higher level of treatment in a structured, recovery environment. It is combined with clinical services to support recovery from SUD. The inmate’s SUD is higher and level of impairment is more intense, where education, and or relapse prevention strategies are not feasible or effective. The functional deficits seen in this level are primarily cognitive and can be either temporary or permanent.

**Level 3 – Clinically Managed High Intensity** – Is designed for treating inmates who have significant psycho-social needs and require a safe environment for stabilization, intensive treatment, and an intensive recovery plan. The goal of level 3 is to treat SUD and antisocial behavior, and effect a global change in the inmate’s lifestyles, attitudes, and values.

**Evidence-Based Practice Models and Interventions:**

Each level will include the utilization of established Evidence-Based Practices, as approved by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs and Practices (NREPP). NREPP outlines nationally recognized evidenced-based practices identified to meet the needs of the SUD population and have shown positive outcomes including, increased
treatment engagement, program retention, and behavior modification. In selecting appropriate treatment activities for inmates, the treatment team will review their file context and recommend the appropriate EBPs to meet the needs of the inmate, EBP’s under consideration include: Matrix Model, Motivational Interviewing, Moral Recognition Therapy, Seeking Safety, Twelve Step Facilitation Therapy.

Treatment Discharge:

In conjunction with mental health, health services, Probation and CTU, the inmate will receive a comprehensive treatment and discharge plan that will describe what treatment the inmate requires, both in and out of jail. The discharge plan will be given to the inmate, which explains their health and service needs and how to access services after release. The discharge planning process occurs while the inmate is still incarcerated, by teaching them how to connect treatment and services upon re-entry into the community. The collaborative treatment annex provide the perfect space to engage the inmates in a comprehensive wrap-around release plan.

Community Re-Entry Plan:

Treatment engagement while in-custody can promote successful re-entry to the greater community upon release. For inmates completing their sentences and transitioning out of an in-custody setting, the coordination and collaboration between substance-abuse treatment programs, Probation and contracted community-based organizations will build a stronger transitional support system. The County’s Community Assessment Services Center (CASC) will assess and refer inmates to needed services through the LASD Community Recovery and Reintegration Center immediately after release by linking the inmates to appropriate SUD community treatment services, based
on eligible funding source provided that the inmates are eligible for treatment services under the source of funding.

As mentioned, robust reentry planning will be a cornerstone of the new annex. The philosophy that *re-entry begins at intake* will be the motto. From the intake medical and mental health evaluation, to the day the inmates are released, the annex will provide the integrated center so desperately needed to provide a comprehensive and unified setting to address the complex health care and criminogenic needs of the population.

Placing all of these services in the same location allows for inmates to access services for their various needs and allows staff and providers to work together in an integrated approach to address those needs. This is most critical upon release. Providing a location where inmates can go to plan for release, ask about community services, complete needs assessments and work on case plans is critical to successful reentry. As mentioned, the LASD has staff assigned to provide release planning but they spend much of their workday moving from location to location to find the inmates to work with them.

Maximizing pre-release planning staff hours by reducing their walk time and providing a place for inmate job fairs, employment preparation, DMV license application and the myriad of reentry services that can be provided if space were available will be accomplished in the annex. The potential for services in the annex is endless in a CBO rich community like Los Angeles. We believe *if we build it, they will come*.

**Rehabilitative Programming:**

The CRDF facility offers an average of twenty-three educational and vocational classes per day, with twelve deputies and custody assistants supervise the program. Twenty teachers provide high school education and vocational programs operated by
Charter School Operators. The six vocational programs offered include painting and dog handling/grooming, which require significant classroom and equipment storage areas. The additional classrooms provided at the proposed treatment annex will allow for additional educational and vocational classes as well as allow LASD to work with the local college district to begin college courses for AB109 inmates. The LASD has been required to reject offers for educational and vocational programming because of a lack of space to provide those services.

Health Education:

An outside entity provides gender responsive programing specifically designed for female inmates to enable them to overcome barriers to successful living after incarceration. There are fifteen class facilitators and four administrators assigned. The CRDF also has six staff members assigned to the Community Transition Unit (CTU). In addition, ten chaplains conduct frequent services for eight faith-based denominations. Finally, CRDF personnel staff and operate a court ordered drug program called IMPACT, administered by two staff members. An inmate beauty shop provides hair care for inmates serviced by inmates and supervised by one staff member. All of these services are being provided in makeshift locations, the classrooms at the proposed annex can be scheduled by health services staff to provide health education classes for inmates.
Contact-Visiting (Adults Bonding with Children):

Figure 6 panoramic of current contact visiting area

The CRDF currently houses approximately 350 inmates incarcerated in County jail in lieu of a state prison due to Assembly Bill (AB) 109. A very limited number of contact visits are conducted through the Adults Bonding with Children (ABC) program, allowing inmate mothers to be reunited with their children in a formal setting however, the space currently utilized is limited and can only facilitate 4-6 inmates per week (see figure 6). The program is also currently in jeopardy of being eliminated to accommodate additional DMH staffing. The proposed treatment annex will increase the availability of contact visits for inmates and visitors.

Pre-trial Release Programs:

The County averages roughly 55% as the percentage of inmates on pre-trial status between January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013. Please reference the charts below from the LASD Population Management Bureau:

In comparison to other counties and jail systems nationally, the County does not have a high percentage of pre-trial inmates and does not generally hold pre-trial misdemeanants. The County also competed for and was one of only 20 jurisdictions in
the United States to receive the MacArthur grant to seek support in finding ways to reduce reliance on jail beds for low risk pre-trial inmates. Currently, the Los Angeles County Probation Department's Pretrial Services Division (PTS) collaborates with LASD's Population Management Bureau to provide pretrial release programs for individuals incarcerated in County jails and is collaborating to expand those services.

**Risk-Assessment Based Pre-Trail Release Program:**
LASD is dedicated to providing detailed risk assessments for every County inmate. Proper assessment upon intake ensures the right inmates are placed in the most effective and impactful programs. Conducting both risk and needs assessments of inmates upon intake are known to be effective principles and practices of intervention while maximizing use of limited resources for rehabilitative programs (See figure 7).

LASD administers Intake assessments to evaluate risk indicators for inmates. Risk in this context refers to identifying those inmates who have the highest probability of recidivating, and needing the most intensive programs including: History of antisocial behavior, association with negative peer group, impulsivity, substance abuse and alcohol problems, dysfunctional family dynamics, and low education/vocation level.

It is important to recognize that risk scales are not perfect but they allow LASD to identify and target the moderate and high-risk inmates for prioritization to rehabilitative program placement. Low-risk inmates are evaluated for placement into community based settings. Recently the County’s Board allocated in excess of $100 million dollars and
established an Office of Diversion to maximize community based placements for low-risk inmates.

Risk should be assessed in conjunction with the inmate’s needs. The emergence of needs assessments utilizing validated tools provides compelling evidence that targeting of individual needs of inmates with relevant programming can have important rehabilitative benefits. Inmates will be placed in programs that best meet their criminogenic needs (See Figure 1).

County staff coordinate the assessment of an inmate’s needs through a variety of tools, including: Northpointe Assessments for criminal needs; Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) for academic needs; substance abuse assessment such as the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test or the Cut, Annoyed, Guilty and Eye opener (CAGE) questionnaire; and mental health intake screening. Inmates are placed in community settings or in-custody services, as described below, based on risk and needs assessments.

**Staffing:**

Overall staffing for the facility should not increase even with expanded programming due to efficiencies realized by clustering services, reducing lost productivity by staff moving from location to location to provide services and reducing security staff needed by designing to maximize security coverage. Existing staff from CRDF will fulfill the services needed for the proposed treatment annex as the annex will allow existing programs to move from repurposed spaces to purpose-built spaces. The annex will also accommodate the staffing expansion recently approved by the Board to address federal class action litigation, staff who we are currently strained to accommodate.
IV. ADMINISTRATIVE WORK PLAN:

Project delivery of the proposed treatment annex will utilize the Design Build Delivery method. The County will solicit design/build proposals to refine the initial programming with input from LASD, DMH and DPH. LASD will act as the primary project client and as the County's administrative representative to the State.

Upon notification of conditional award of state funding, the County will solicit a design/build entity and commence with program verification and design activities. The programming and design activities will require active participation from LASD, DMH and DPH to ensure programming, safety and operational objectives are met by the proposed annex design.

The County may voluntarily proceed with programming verification and design activities ahead of the conditional award of state funding. The County anticipates a construction duration of 13 months and projects the annex to be operational by July of 2018. Training and commissioning activities for the annex are expected to begin 1-2 months prior to the building becoming operational.

LASD will oversee the operation of the completed project. A collaborative team consisting of DMH, DPH and LASD's Custody Division will oversee the design and construction of the Annex. The County anticipates that the team will have executive and operational components. LASD will manage the capital project portion of the project, and a third-party construction manager will oversee construction. LASD, DMH, and DPH will provide operational oversite. A steering committee comprised of executives from each of the participating departments will be formed to provide direction. The County's Board will provide Governing Board representation with members: Hilda L. Solis – First District,

V. BUDGET NARRATIVE:

The County anticipates an estimated total project cost of approximately $62 million to complete the proposed treatment annex. The County will provide $5.6 million in matching funds from its General Fund as part of the total project cost. The total amount of funding requested from the State will be $56 million. The total project cost will include project soft costs such as design and engineering services, jurisdictional review fees and construction and project management fees. Hard construction costs include the building structure and site work associated with the proposed treatment annex. Site work will include preparation of the existing landscape area for the structure and outdoor visiting components of the project. The hard construction costs for the structure include allowances for the fixtures, furnishing and equipment. The program specifies a medical component and as a result an allowance for medical equipment is included. The County intends to use SB 863 funds for new construction and equipment associated with the proposed treatment annex.

To realize the operational requirements of the treatment annex, the County will need to renovate and modify existing components at CRDF. Modifications include providing access to the proposed treatment annex and revisions to the public entrance, screening and circulation. The County will also renovate and repurpose the current programming and treatment spaces and convert them back to their original uses or newly identified uses to suit the inmate population. The County intends to use the 10% matching funds to finance renovations to the existing facility.
Additional operational costs for the project will be limited or not required as the treatment annex will consolidate existing programs into a new purpose built facility. Limited custodial and escort team staffing will be absorbed from the facility or filled by currently vacant positions. DMH and DPH has requested and subsequently been approved the additional staffing to support the treatment programs proposed at CRDF. Medical staffing for the clinic is already established onsite and will be moved from the existing inadequate facility to the new clinic at the treatment annex.

VI. READINESS TO PROCEED:

A. On July 21, 2015, the County’s Board approved a resolution authorizing the County to participate in the SB863 process and agreeing to all requirements listed in the Request for Proposal and attesting to an estimated land value of $240,000. A copy of the resolution is provided as an attachment.

B. In response to the BSCC’s technical review, attached is a letter from the Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office indicating that a revised Board Resolution will be issued by October 2, 2015. A copy of the Draft Revised Resolution is also included.

C. A preliminary California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review of the proposed treatment annex indicated that a Second Addendum to the previously approved Environmental Impact Report was required and that no major additional impacts were anticipated. The County has also filed a Notice of Declaration. A copy of the Declaration document is provided as an attachment.

D. Please see the attached drawings indicating the proposed conceptual plans of the following:
• Proposed Annex Site and Area Plan (Sheet 0.1)

• Proposed Annex 1st Level Clinic, Contact Visiting Area, and Community Meeting Area (Sheet 2.1)

• Proposed Annex 2nd Level Treatment Rooms and Support Areas (Sheet 2.2)

• Proposed Annex 3rd Level Classrooms, Treatment Rooms and Support Areas (Sheet 2.3)

The concept of providing these services is not new to the County, as evidence-based programs to reduce recidivism have been implemented; unfortunately, while we are focused and committed to improving outcomes for the inmates in our custody, the overall lack of treatment and program space significantly hampers our efforts. The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department wants to address these deficiencies by expanding available treatment and programming space by partnering with the State of California for the construction of the proposed Treatment and Programming Annex, at the existing Century Regional Detention Center CRDF.
RESOLUTION
SB 863 GRANT APPLICATION

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 863, the Adult Local Criminal Justice Facilities (ALCJF) Construction Act, which was signed into law by the Governor on June 20, 2014, authorizes state lease revenue bond financing for the acquisition, design, and construction of ALCJFs and authorizes the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC), the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), the State Public Works Board (SPWB) and participating counties to enter into agreements to acquire, design, renovate, or construct ALCJFs; and

WHEREAS, the BSCC has issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for construction of ALCJFs for the purpose of entering into such agreements and disbursing funds in support of such construction; and

WHEREAS, the RFP requires each county submitting a proposal to include a resolution approved by its Board of Supervisors.

BE IT RESOLVED hereby by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. That the County of Los Angeles has identified the following key staff:

   County Construction Administrator and Project Contact Person:
   Gary T.K. Tse, Assistant Division Director
   Sheriff’s Department

   Project Financial Officer:
   Bradford M. Bolger, Senior Manager
   Chief Executive Office

2. That the County approves the forms of the project documents deemed necessary, as identified by SPWB to BSCC, to effectuate the financing authorized by the legislation.

3. That the Board of Supervisors authorizes Jim McDonnell, Sheriff of Los Angeles County, to sign and submit the proposal for financing in accordance with the requirements of the RFP.

4. That the County of Los Angeles assures that it will adhere to State requirements and terms of the agreements between the County, CDCR, BSCC, and SPWB in the expenditure of State funds and County matching funds.

5. That the County has appropriated the amount of match identified by the County on the funding proposal form submitted to BSCC which amount shall be a minimum of Five Million, Six Hundred Thousand ($5,600,000); that the source of this cash match shall be the County General Fund; and that the County assures that State and County matching funds do not supplant funds otherwise dedicated or appropriated for construction activities.

6. That the County will fully and safely staff and operate the Treatment and Programming Annex Facility (Annex Facility) that is being constructed (consistent with Title 15,
California Code of Regulations) within ninety (90) days after project completion.

7. That the County will provide a site assurance for the local Annex Facility at the time of proposal or not later than ninety (90) days following the BSCC’s notice of Intent to Award.

8. That the County has project site control for the construction of the Annex Facility project through either fee simple ownership of the site or comparable long-term possession of the site, and right of access to the project sufficient to assure undisturbed use and possession of the site, and will not dispose of, modify the use of, or change the terms of the real property title, or other interest in the site of facility subject to construction, or lease the facility for operation to other entities, without permission and instructions from the CSA, for so long as SPWB lease-revenue bonds secured by the financed project remain outstanding.

9. That the County attests that the current fair market land value for the proposed new or expanded Annex Facility is approximately $240,000.

The foregoing resolution was on the 21st day of July, 2015 adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles and ex officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts, agencies and authorities for which said Board so acts.

PATRICK OGAWA
Acting Executive Officer-Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors of
the County of Los Angeles

By
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARY C. WICKHAM
Interim County Counsel

By
Deputy County Counsel
September 10, 2015

Ms. Magi Work  
Deputy Director  
Board of State and Community Corrections  
2590 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 200  
Sacramento, CA 95833

Dear Ms. Work:

**SB 863 GRANT APPLICATION BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

In response to the Lease Revenue Bond Proposal Technical Review document received from your agency, Ms. Lindsay Tu, on September 4, 2015, this letter seeks to address Item 180:

"Evaluation Factor 6. Readiness to Proceed: A. Did the county provide a board resolution: 3) authorizing the appropriate signatory or signatories to execute those documents at the appropriate times."

The County of Los Angeles intends to seek our Board of Supervisors' approval of an amendment to the original Board Resolution adopted on July 21, 2015 to address the above requirement, and will place the amended resolution on the Board of Supervisors' agenda on September 29, 2015. The amendment will contain language authorizing the appropriate signatory or signatories to execute project documents at the appropriate times and name those signatories. A final approved resolution will be provided to your agency no later than 5:00 PM, on October 2, 2015.

If you have additional questions, please contact Bradford Bolger of my office at (213) 974-1360, or Gary Tse of the Sheriff's Department at (323) 526-5196.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

SACHI A. HAMAI  
Interim Chief Executive Officer

SAH:TT:BMB  
FC:zu

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors  
Sheriff

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"
RESOLUTION
SB 863 GRANT APPLICATION
REVISED DRAFT

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 863, the Adult Local Criminal Justice Facilities (ALCJF) Construction Act, which was signed into law by the Governor on June 20, 2014, authorizes state lease revenue bond financing for the acquisition, design, and construction of ALCJFs and authorizes the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC), the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), the State Public Works Board (SPWB) and participating counties to enter into agreements to acquire, design, renovate, or construct ALCJFs; and

WHEREAS, the BSCC has issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for construction of ALCJFs for the purpose of entering into such agreements and disbursing funds in support of such construction; and

WHEREAS, the RFP requires each county submitting a proposal to include a resolution approved by its Board of Supervisors.

BE IT RESOLVED hereby by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. That the County of Los Angeles has identified the following key staff:

   County Construction Administrator and Project Contact Person:
   Gary T.K. Tse, Assistant Division Director
   Sheriff’s Department

   Project Financial Officer:
   Bradford M. Bolger, Senior Manager
   Chief Executive Office

2. That the County approves the forms of the project documents deemed necessary, as identified by SPWB to BSCC, to effectuate the financing authorized by the legislation.

3. That the Board of Supervisors authorizes Jim McDonnell, Sheriff of Los Angeles County, to sign and submit the proposal for financing in accordance with the requirements of the RFP.

4. That the County of Los Angeles assures that it will adhere to State requirements and terms of the agreements between the County, CDCR, BSCC, and SPWB in the expenditure of State funds and County matching funds.

5. That the County has appropriated the amount of match identified by the County on the funding proposal form submitted to BSCC which amount shall be a minimum of Five Million, Six Hundred Thousand ($5,600,000); that the source of this cash match shall be the County General Fund; and that the County assures that State and County matching funds do not supplant funds otherwise dedicated or appropriated for construction activities.

6. That the County will fully and safely staff and operate the Treatment and Programming
Annex Facility (Annex Facility) that is being constructed (consistent with Title 15, California Code of Regulations) within ninety (90) days after project completion.

7. That the County will provide a site assurance for the local Annex Facility at the time of proposal or not later than ninety (90) days following the BSCC's notice of Intent to Award.

8. That the County has project site control for the construction of the Annex Facility project through either fee simple ownership of the site or comparable long-term possession of the site, and right of access to the project sufficient to assure undisturbed use and possession of the site, and will not dispose of, modify the use of, or change the terms of the real property title, or other interest in the site of facility subject to construction, or lease the facility for operation to other entities, without permission and instructions from the CSA, for so long as SPWB lease-revenue bonds secured by the financed project remain outstanding.

9. That the County attests that the current fair market land value for the proposed new or expanded Annex Facility is approximately $240,000.

10. That the Board of Supervisors authorizes Sachi Hamai, Interim Chief Executive Officer, to execute all project documents associated with this grant application, following the award of financing by the State and acceptance of such financing by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. The project agreements shall include but are not limited to the following:

   Project Delivery and Construction Agreements
   BSCC Agreement
   Ground Lease (includes Site Lease)
   Right of Entry for Construction and Operation
   Facility Lease
   Facility Sublease

The foregoing resolution was on the _______ day of __________, 2015 adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles and ex officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts, agencies and authorities for which said Board so acts.

PATRICK OGAWA
Acting Executive Officer-Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors of
the County of Los Angeles

By__________________________
Deputy
APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARY C. WICKHAM
Interim County Counsel

By____________________________
Deputy County Counsel
Board of State and Community Corrections  
2590 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 200  
Sacramento, California 95833

DELIVERED WITH  
SB 863 PROPOSAL

Re: County Counsel Opinion Certifying California Environmental Quality Act Compliance in Support of SB 863 Proposal of the County of Los Angeles Sheriff (California Government Code Section 15820.936(b)(2))

To Whom It May Concern:

This opinion of the County Counsel is submitted pursuant to Government Code section 15820.936(b)(2) in support of the County of Los Angeles' documentation of its preparedness to proceed successfully in a timely manner on its SB 863 Proposal ("Proposal") if financing is approved.

The County of Los Angeles is the lead agency for purposes of compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") on its Proposal and has completed CEQA compliance for this Proposal. Documentation of compliance is evidenced by submission of the enclosed final Notice of Determination, which was filed and posted on July 22, 2015, and this letter, as required by Government Code section 15820.936(b)(2).

This letter certifies that CEQA compliance is complete, the associated statute of limitations has expired, and no legal challenges were filed.
If you have questions concerning this matter, please contact me, Assistant County Counsel Robert C. Cartwright at (213) 974-1793, or Principal Deputy County Counsel Helen S. Parker at (213) 974-1888.

Very truly yours,

MARY C. WICKHAM
Interim County Counsel

By HELEN S. PARKER
Principal Deputy County Counsel
Public Works Division

APPROVED AND RELEASED:

MARY C. WICKHAM
Interim County Counsel

HSP:ia

Enclosure: Final Notice of Determination
Notice of Determination – Addendum to Final EIR

To:
Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
(U.S. Mail)

County Clerk
County of Los Angeles
12400 East Imperial Highway
Norwalk, CA 90650

From:
Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department
Facilities Planning Bureau
1000 South Fremont Avenue
Building A-9 East, Fifth Floor, Unit 47
Alhambra, CA 91803

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code
State Clearinghouse Number: 87080512

Project Title: Addendum No. 2 to the Lynwood Regional Justice Center (Century Regional Detention Facility) Annex

Project Applicant: County of Los Angeles

Project Location (include County): The Project is located at the existing Century Regional Detention Facility (CRDF), 11705 Alameda Street, City of Lynwood, County of Los Angeles. (Subsequent to the certification of the Final EIR, the Lynwood Regional Justice Center was renamed the Century Regional Detention Facility; accordingly, this Notice of Determination and associated Addendum refer to this facility as CRDF.) CRDF is adjacent to the southerly right-of-way of the Century Freeway (Interstate 105), generally midway between the Harbor and Long Beach Freeways (Interstates 110 and 710, respectively), and is approximately seven miles south of downtown Los Angeles. Major streets near CRDF include Imperial Highway, Alameda Street, and Wilmington Avenue.

Project Description: The Project will construct a Treatment and Programming building (Annex) and outdoor visitation area (Visitation Area) in courtyard areas between existing buildings at CRDF. The Annex is expected to be a three-story building with programming/classroom spaces, treatment rooms, and inmate visitation areas (approximately 25,000 total square feet), while the Visitation Area will re-purpose an existing courtyard to supplement the existing inmate visitation area. Ancillary improvements include the relocation of existing fences and gates, construction of ramps (or installation of elevators) to address grade differentials, and enclosure of adjacent fire escape apparatus. The Project will provide properly designed spaces for staff and health care professionals for the administration of existing treatment programs and the delivery of services to inmates afflicted by medical, mental health, and substance abuse conditions. The Project will enable improperly utilized spaces to be restored to their intended uses in the 560,000 square foot CRDF facility. The Project will not affect current staffing levels or inmate populations at CRDF. The previously-certified Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) included mitigation measures, findings, and a Statement of Overriding Considerations.

This is to advise that Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors approved the above described project on July 21, 2015

(Date)

and has made the following determinations regarding the above described project:

1. The project [☐ will  ☐ will not] have a significant effect on the environment.
2. ☐ An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
   ☐ A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
   ☐ An Addendum to a previously approved EIR was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. ☐ Mitigation measures [☐ were  ☒ were not] a condition of the approval of the project.
4. ☒ A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [☐ was  ☐ was not] adopted for this project.
5. ☐ A Statement of Overriding Conditions [☐ was  ☐ was not] adopted for this project.
6. ☐ Findings [☐ were  ☒ were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the Addendum No. 2 to the Final EIR and record of project approval are available to the General Filing Office of the County of Los Angeles, 91803

Signature (Public Agency): ❇️ feathers
Title: Director
Date: July 21, 2015

Date Received for Filing at OPR: ____________

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code
Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code

ON July 22 2015
UNTIL August 21 2015
REGISTRAR – RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK