1	1		
1	Mark E. Merin (State Bar No. 043849)		
	Paul H. Masuhara (State Bar No. 289805)		
2	LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN		
3	1010 F Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, California 95814		
4	Telephone: (916) 443-6911		
5	Facsimile: (916) 447-8336 E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com		
6	paul@markmerin.com		
7		Chessie Thacher (State	,
8		Ryann McMurry (State AMERICAN CIVIL L	
	Sacramento, CA 95831		ORTHERN CALIFORNIA
9	1 1	9 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 941	111
10		Telephone: (415) 621-	2493
11		Facsimile: (415) 255 8	
12		Email: cthacher@aclu rmcmurry@ac	•
13	Attorneys for Respondent	, .	
	SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH a/k/a SKYLER HENRY		
14			
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO		
17	CITY OF SACRAMENTO,	Case No. 34-2021	-70009184-CU-HR-GDS
18	Petitioner,	RESPONDENT'	S OBJECTIONS TO
19	vs.		SUBMISSION OF
20	SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH a/k/a SKYLER ARGUMENT AND EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PERMANENT		
	HENRY,	RESTRAINING	ORDER
21	Respondent.	Date:	July 12, 2021
22		Time:	9:00 a.m.
23		Location:	Gordon D. Schaber Courthouse 720 9th Street
24		Department:	Sacramento, CA 95814 35
25		Judge:	Hon. George Acero
26		Petition Filed:	June 16, 2021
27		Hearing Date:	July 12, 2021
28			
- 1	I .		

Counsel for Respondent Skyler Henry writes to draw the Court's attention to an important and undisputed factual development in the case. The City filed on July 2, 2021 a proof of service attesting "under penalty of perjury" that it had served counsel for Respondent with a copy of the City's self-styled "Submission of Argument and Evidence of Support of Permanent Restraining Order Against Skyler Michael-Evleth, aka Skyler Henry" (ROA# 11). But the City actually failed to effect such service.

As demonstrated in the materials attached to the Declaration of Paul H. Masuhara, submitted herewith, the City delayed serving Respondent's counsel until the afternoon of July 6—after Respondent had served the City with his own papers (1) in Opposition to the City's Petition for Workplace Violence Restraining Order and (2) in Reply in Support of Respondent's Special Motion to Strike (SLAPP) Petition. Respondent objects to the City's additional argument and evidence, much of which lacks foundation and is irrelevant, improper, inflammatory, unfairly prejudicial, and inadmissible. To the extent the Court is inclined to consider this material, however, Respondent will look forward to making his arguments and objections on the record and to addressing any questions that the Court may have at the hearing scheduled for July 12.

Dated: July 7, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Mark E. Merin

Paul H. Masuhara

LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN

and

Brian S. Crone

THE LAW OFFICE OF BRIAN CRONE

and

Chessie Thacher

Ryann McMurry

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.

Attorneys for Respondent SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH a/k/a SKYLER HENRY

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The Law Office of Brian Crone 1104 Corporate Way Sacramento, CA 95831 Telephone: (916) 395-4464 E-mail: briancrone@cronelawoffice.com Telephone: Face	UNDATION OF N Drumm Street n Francisco, CA 941 ephone: (415) 621- esimile: (415) 255 8	e Bar No. 336116) IBERTIES UNION ORTHERN CALIFORNIA 111 2493 437
12	Em	nail: cthacher@aclu rmcmurry@ac	
13	Attorneys for Respondent SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH a/k/a SKYLER HENRY		
14			
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO		
17	CITY OF SACRAMENTO,	Case No. 34-2021	-70009184-CU-HR-GDS
18	Petitioner,	DECLARATION	N OF PAUL H. MASUHARA
19	vs.		F RESPONDENT'S TO PETITIONER'S
20	SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH a/k/a SKYLER	SUBMISSION C	OF ARGUMENT AND
21	HENRY,	EVIDENCE IN S	SUPPORT OF PERMANENT ORDER
22	Respondent.		
23		Date: Time:	July 12, 2021 9:00 a.m.
24		Location:	Gordon D. Schaber Courthouse 720 9th Street
25		D	Sacramento, CA 95814
26		Department: Judge:	35 Hon. George Acero
		Petition Filed:	June 16, 2021
27		Hearing Date:	July 12, 2021
28		1	

- I, Paul H. Masuhara, do declare and say:
- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California, including in the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento. My office represents Skyler Henry, along with co-counsel.
- 2. On July 2, 2021, my office did not receive service of any documents from the City of Sacramento ("City") in this matter.
- 3. On July 6, 2021, I observed docket entry ROA# 11 on the Court's docket in this matter, which provides that the following entry of was filed on July 6, 2021: "Statement Other (Submission Of Argument And Evidence In Support of Permanent Restraining Order Against Skyler Michel-Evleth AKA skyler Henry) filed."
- 4. On July 6, 2021, at 2:49 p.m., I sent an e-mail to the City's counsel requesting an electronic copy of the filing, which had not yet been served on my office. (A true and correct copy of the e-mail is attached as "Exhibit A.")
- 5. Later, on July 6, 2021, at 3:56 p.m., I received an e-mail from Christina Will, the City's counsel's legal secretary, which attached an electronic copy of the document and stated that she "must not have sent it" on July 2, 2021. (A true and correct copy of the e-mail is attached as "Exhibit A.")
- 6. Ms. Will's proof of service attached to the documents certifies that she electronically served the documents on co-counsel Mark E. Merin and me on July 2, 2021. I checked Mr. Merin and my e-mail inbox and confirmed that no such service was made on July 2, 2021.
- 7. Later, on July 6, 2021, 4:22 p.m., I sent an e-mail to the City's counsel stating that Ms. Will's proof of service was inaccurate and requested the City to file an amended proof of service certifying that electronic service was made on July 6, 2021, and disclaim the earlier certification that electronic service was made on July 2, 2021. (A true and correct copy of the e-mail is attached as "Exhibit A.")
- 8. Later, on July 6, 2021, 4:47 p.m., I received an e-mail from Ms. Will attaching the amended proof of service and stating that the amended proof of service would be filed the next day, on July 7, 2021. (A true and correct copy of the e-mail is attached as "Exhibit A," and a true and correct copy of the amended proof of service attached to the e-mail is attached as "Exhibit B.")

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 7, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

Paul H. Masuhara

EXHIBIT A

From: Christina Will < CWill@cityofsacramento.org >

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:47 PM

To: Emilio Camacho; Paul Masuhara; Andrea Velasquez

Cc: Mark Merin; Brian Crone; Chessie Thacher; RMcMurry@aclunc.org

Subject: RE: City of Sacramento v. Henry - Respondent's Brief

Attachments: Amended Proof of Service.PDF.pdf

Counsel,

Attached please find the Amended Proof of Service that will be submitted to the court for filing tomorrow.

Again, my apologies for the oversight.

-Christina

Christina Will, Legal Secretary

Assistant to Andrea M. Velasquez, Andre D. Martin, and Sean D. Richmond Sacramento City Attorney's Office

From: Emilio Camacho < ECamacho@cityofsacramento.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:28 PM

To: Paul Masuhara <paul@markmerin.com>; Andrea Velasquez <AVelasquez@cityofsacramento.org>; Christina Will <CWill@cityofsacramento.org>

Cc: Mark Merin <mark@markmerin.com>; Brian Crone <bri>driancrone@cronelawoffice.com>; Chessie Thacher

<CThacher@aclunc.org>; RMcMurry@aclunc.org

Subject: Re: City of Sacramento v. Henry - Respondent's Brief

Thanks, Paul. We were already planning to do that. Again, it was an oversight and you are correct that an amended proof of service is necessary. We will send you a courtesy copy when done.

Thabks again.

Emilio Camacho Senior Deputy City Attorney

From: Paul Masuhara <paul@markmerin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:22:04 PM

To: Emilio Camacho < ECamacho@cityofsacramento.org>; Andrea Velasquez < AVelasquez@cityofsacramento.org>;

Christina Will < CWill@cityofsacramento.org>

Cc: Mark Merin < mark@markmerin.com >; Brian Crone < briancrone@cronelawoffice.com >; Chessie Thacher <CThacher@aclunc.org>; RMcMurry@aclunc.org <RMcMurry@aclunc.org>

Subject: RE: City of Sacramento v. Henry - Respondent's Brief

Counsel:

The proof of service attached to the City's "Submission of Argument and Evidence in Support of Permanent Restraining Order" is inaccurate. In the proof of service, Ms. Will certifies to the Court that she made "electronic service" and "caused the documents to be sent" to Mr. Merin and to me at our email addresses, <mark@markmerin.com> and <markmerin.com>, on July 2. However, both Mr. Merin and I have confirmed that no such electronic service was made to our email addresses on July 2. Rather, the date on which we received electronic service of these documents was earlier this afternoon, July 6.

Accordingly, we are requesting the City to file an amended proof of service which correctly certifies that electronic service was made on July 6 and disclaims the earlier certification that electronic service was made on July 2.

Thank you, -Paul

Paul H. Masuhara Law Office of Mark E. Merin 1010 F Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 443-6911 Facsimile: (916) 447-8336 E-Mail: paul@markmerin.com

From: Emilio Camacho < ECamacho@cityofsacramento.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:04 PM

To: Christina Will < CWill@cityofsacramento.org>; Paul Masuhara < paul@markmerin.com>; Mark Merin

<mark@markmerin.com>

Cc: Andrea Velasquez < <u>AVelasquez@cityofsacramento.org</u>> **Subject:** Re: City of Sacramento v. Henry - Respondent's Brief

Thanks, Christina.

Paul:

Let us know if we can be of further assistance. Apologies for the oversight.

Emilio Camacho Senior Deputy City Attorney

From: Christina Will < CWill@cityofsacramento.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 3:56:27 PM

To: Paul Masuhara < paul@markmerin.com >; Mark Merin < mark@markmerin.com >

Cc: Emilio Camacho <ECamacho@cityofsacramento.org; Andrea Velasquez AVelasquez@cityofsacramento.org;

Subject: FW: City of Sacramento v. Henry - Respondent's Brief

Paul,

My apologies, this document was submitted to the court on Friday for filing and I must not have sent it to you. I'm also attaching a copy of the dropbox stamped copy evidencing the fact that it was submitted to the court on Friday.

-Christina

Christina Will, Legal Secretary
Assistant to Andrea M. Velasquez, Andre D. Martin, and Sean D. Richmond
Sacramento City Attorney's Office

From: Paul Masuhara paul@markmerin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 2:49:14 PM

To: Emilio Camacho < ECamacho@cityofsacramento.org>; Andrea Velasquez < AVelasquez@cityofsacramento.org>

Cc: Mark Merin <<u>mark@markmerin.com</u>>; Brian Crone <<u>briancrone@cronelawoffice.com</u>>; Chessie Thacher

<<u>CThacher@aclunc.org</u>>; <u>RMcMurry@aclunc.org</u> <<u>RMcMurry@aclunc.org</u>>

Subject: City of Sacramento v. Henry - Respondent's Brief

Counsel:

Attached is a copy of Respondent's brief in the *City of Sacramento v. Henry* matter which we will submit to the Court for filing this afternoon. A copy will follow by mail.

Additionally, according to the Court's docket in this matter, earlier today the City filed a document labeled "Submission Of Argument And Evidence In Support of Permanent Restraining Order" (ROA# 11). This filing seems to be untimely, per the Court's June 24 order. (ROA# 10.) We request that you send an electronic copy of this filing to us, as we have not received a copy of this filing.

Thank you.

Paul H. Masuhara Law Office of Mark E. Merin 1010 F Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 443-6911 Facsimile: (916) 447-8336

E-Mail: paul@markmerin.com

EXHIBIT B

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSANA ALCALA WOOD, City Attorney (SEMILIO CAMACHO, Senior Deputy City A CITY OF SACRAMENTO 915 I Street, Room 4010 Sacramento, CA 95814-2608 Telephone: (916) 808-5346 Facsimile: (916) 808-7455 Attorneys for the CITY OF SACRAMENTO					
9	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO					
10						
11	CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal	Case No.: 34-2021-70009184				
12	corporation,	AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUBMISSION OF ARGUMENT AND				
13	Petitioner,	EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF				
14	vs.	PERMANENT RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST SKYLER				
15 16	SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH, aka SKYLER HENRY	MICHEL-EVLETH, aka SKYLER HENRY				
17	Respondent.	a a				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	1 AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE					

AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 CASE NAME: City of Sacramento v. Skyler Michel-Evleth, aka Skyler Henry (TRO) 3 COURT: Sacramento Superior Court 34-2021-70009184 CASE NUMBER: 4 I declare that: 5 6 I am employed in the County of Sacramento, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action; my business address is 915 I Street, Room 4010, 7 Sacramento, CA 95814-2604. On the date executed below, I served the following document(s): 8 SUBMISSION OF ARGUMENT AND EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PERMANENT 9 RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH, aka SKYLER 10 **HENRY** 11 By Electronic Service. Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to [X]accept electronic service. I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic 12 addressed as follows: 13 Mark E. Merin 14 Paul Masuhara Law Office of Mark E. Merin 15 1010 F Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814 16 mark@markmerin.com 17 paul@markmerin.com 18 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that the declaration was executed on July 6, 2021, at Sacramento, California. 19 20 Christina L. Will (Jul 6, 2021 16:42 PDT) 21 CHRISTINA WILL 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

	PU3-030/EF3-030
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NO:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME: Mark E. Merin (State Bar No. 043849)	
FIRM NAME: Law Office of Mark E. Merin	
STREET ADDRESS: 1010 F Street, Suite 300	ı
CITY: Sacramento STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 95814	
TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 443-6911 FAX NO.: (916) 447-8336	
E-MAIL ADDRESS: mark@markmerin.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Respondent SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH a/k/a SKYLER HENRY	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
STREET ADDRESS: 720 9th Street	
MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814	
BRANCH NAME: Gordon D. Schaber Courthouse	CASE NUMBER:
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: CITY OF SACRAMENTO	34-2021-70009184-CU-HR-GDS
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: SKYLER MICHEL-EVLETH a/k/a SKYLER HENRY	JUDICIAL OFFICER:
DEI ENDANT/NEOFONDENT. SKYLEK WIIGHEL-EVLETH AINA SKYLEK HENKY	Hon. George Acero
	-
PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE	DEPARTMENT: 35
1. I am at least 18 years old.	
•	
 a. My residence or business address is (specify): 1010 F Street, Suite 300 	
Sacramento, CA 95814	
Sastamonio, OA 50014	
b. My electronic service address is (specify):	
<pre><paul@markmerin.com></paul@markmerin.com></pre>	
2. I electronically served the following documents (exact titles):	
Respondent's Objections to Petitioner's Submission of Argument and Evidence in Supp	
Declaration of Paul H. Masuhara in Support of Respondent's Objections to Petitioner's	Submission of Argument and Evidence in
Support of Permanent Restraining Order	
The documents served are listed in an attachment. (Form POS-050(D)/EFS-0	050(D) may be used for this purpose 1
The documents served are listed in an attachment. (1 01111 POS-000(D)/EPS-0	(D) may be used for this purpose.)
2. I algorithmically convert the decomposite listed in 2 or fellows.	
3. I electronically served the documents listed in 2 as follows:	
a. Name of person served: Emilio Camacho, Esq.; Andrea M. Velasquez, Esq.	
On behalf of (name or names of parties represented, if person served is an attorne	y):
City of Sacramento	
b. Electronic service address of person served :	
c. Electronic service address of person served . <ecamacho@cityofsacramento.org>; <avelasquez@cityofsacramento.org></avelasquez@cityofsacramento.org></ecamacho@cityofsacramento.org>	
c. On (date): July 7, 2021	
The documents listed in item 2 were served electronically on the persons and	in the manner described in an attachment
(Form POS-050(P)/EFS-050(P) may be used for this purpose.)	in the marine described in an attachment.
(, d.m., de des(,), =, d des(,), may be desd to: disoparpose.)	
Date: July 7, 2021	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	g is true and correct.
L	
Paul H. Masuhara	for the last of the second sec
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)
Transfer of the control of the contr	
	Page 1 of 1