		\cup
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CAL Amanda Goad (State Bar No. 297131) Christine Parker (State Bar No. 315529) Ariana Rodriguez (State Bar No. 322701) 1313 West Eighth Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 T: (213) 977-9500 F: (213) 915-0219 E: agoad@aclusocal.org E: cparker@aclusocal.org E: arodriguez@aclusocal.org E: arodriguez@aclusocal.org	BYREGORY RIDLER, DEPUTY
8 9 10 11	Elizabeth Gill (State Bar No. 218311) Jennifer Chou (State Bar No. 304838) 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T: (415) 621-2493 F: (415) 255-1478 E: egill@aclunc.org F: ichou@aclunc.org	
12 13 14	Attorneys for Proposed Amici Curiae SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. CIV SB 2317301	
17 18	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff,	OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
19 20	v.	Accompanying Documents: [PROPOSED] BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION FOR
21 22	CHINO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; DECLARATION OF JENNIFER CHOU; [PROPOSED] ORDER
23 24	Defendant.	Hearing Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 8:30 AM Department: S28
25 26		Judge: Hon. Michael A. Sachs Action Filed: August 28, 2023
27 28		Trial Date: February 26, 2024

REC'D OCT 0 5 2023

11

APPLICATION TO FILE BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

3 Amici Curiae American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California and American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California and the additional organizations listed below respectfully submit 4 5 this application for leave to file the attached Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction, to be heard on October 5, 2023, at 8:30 AM in Department S28 at the San 6 7 Bernardino Justice Center. Amici seek leave of the Court to submit the attached brief explaining why the 8 Court should grant Plaintiff's application for preliminary injunction.

9

1

2

THE PROPOSED BRIEF WOULD ASSIST THE COURT IN DECIDING THIS MATTER

Pursuant to Rules 8.200(c) and 8.520(f) of the California Rules of Court,¹ proposed amici 10 respectfully submit this application for leave to file an amici curiae brief in the present action in support 11 of Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction. Amici respectfully contend that this brief would 12 assist the Court in deciding this matter by expanding on the balance of harms at stake and Plaintiffs' 13 ability to prevail on the merits. The proposed amici curiae brief will address the legal flaws and negative 14 15 effects of the challenged policy of the Chino Valley Unified School District and the irreparable harm that will therefore occur absent immediate injunctive relief. The proposed brief is attached hereto as 16 Exhibit A. Additionally, pursuant to Rule 3.1204 of the California Rules of Court, a declaration by 17 counsel regarding notice is enclosed as Exhibit B. No party or counsel for any party authored the 18 proposed amici curiae brief, nor did any outside entity fund its preparation. 19

20

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

The American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California ("ACLU SoCal") is a nonprofit, 21 nonpartisan civil liberties organization with over 69,000 members. Similarly, the American Civil 22 23 Liberties Union of Northern California ("ACLU NorCal") is a nonprofit, nonpartisan civil liberties organization with more than 97,000 members. As regional affiliates of the national American Civil 24 Liberties Union, ACLU SoCal and ACLU NorCal are dedicated to the principles of liberty and equality 25

26

¹ The California Rules of Court do not specify a procedure for seeking permission to file an amicus 27 curiae brief in Superior Court. Counsel for amici curiae have therefore followed the rules governing appellate litigation. In addition, as directed by the clerk of Department S28, counsel file this ex parte 28 application for leave to file the proposed amici curiae brief.

1 embodied in both the United States and California Constitutions and our nation's civil rights laws. 2 ACLU SoCal and ACLU NorCal have long histories of vigorous advocacy to defend and advance the rights of LGBTQ+ Californians and the rights of students. On LGBTQ+ student rights issues in 3 4 particular, this work has included litigation (see, e.g., C.N. v. Wolf (C.D. Cal. 2005) 410 F.Supp.2d 894; 5 American Academy of Pediatrics v. Clovis Unified School District (Fresno County Super. Ct., 2012, No. 12CECG02608)), administrative advocacy, sponsorship of legislation (see, e.g., Assem. Bill No. 1266, 6 (2013-2014 Reg. Sess.)), technical assistance to government agencies and other organizations, and 7 community engagement and public education efforts. ACLU SoCal and ACLU NorCal not only are 8 deeply invested in the principles of autonomy, equality, and privacy for transgender and gender 9 10 nonconforming youth at stake in this case, but also bring extensive relevant legal expertise.

11 The California LGBTQ Health & Human Services Network is an LGBTQ-specific health advocacy organization, bringing together more than 60 non-profit providers, community centers, and 12 researchers to advocate collectively for state level policies and resources that will advance LGBTQ 13 health. Founded in 2007, the Network provides coordinated leadership about LGBTQ health policy in a 14 proactive, responsive manner that promotes health and well-being as part of the movement for LGBTQ 15 16 equality, and ensures that there is a distinct LGBTQ voice in health policy decision-making venues such 17 as the Department of Managed Health Care, Department of Health Care Services, and more. The Network also works in coalition with immigrant rights organizations, organizations representing 18 communities of color, and other identity-based organizations to ensure populations experiencing health 19 disparities are working together to improve physical and mental health for everyone in California. This 20 includes LGBTQ youth throughout the state, advocating for access to mental health services, health care 21 services, and connecting our youth to mentorship opportunities with their local LGBTQ center. 22

The **Equal Justice Society** (EJS) seeks to transform the nation's consciousness on race through law, public policy and education, social science, and the arts. A national legal organization of scholars, advocates, and citizens, EJS strives to achieve a society where race is no longer a barrier to opportunity in America, including in education. EJS works to confront all manifestations of invidious discrimination and second-class citizenship, including in K-12 schools where EJS has a strong interest in ensuring inclusive and safe campuses. Policies based on a politic of hate and intolerance, such as that which the

Chino Valley Unified School District has directed at transgender and gender nonconforming students,
 threaten the safety and dignity of LGBTQ+ students and families. Such threats endanger everyone, but
 are particularly harmful when targeted at vulnerable youth.

Equality California (EQCA), founded in 1999, is the nation's largest state-based LGBTQ+ civil
rights organization. Equality California brings the voices of LGBTQ+ people and allies to institutions of
power in California and across the United States, striving to create a world that is healthy, just, and fully
equal for all LGBTQ+ people. EQCA advances civil rights and social justice by inspiring, advocating,
and mobilizing through an inclusive movement that works tirelessly on behalf of the people it serves.
EQCA frequently participates in litigation in support of the rights of LGBTQ+ persons, including the
organization's members in California and across the United States.

11Genders & Sexualities Alliances Network ("GSA Network") is a next-generation LGBTQ12racial and gender justice organization that empowers and trains queer, trans and allied youth leaders to13advocate, organize, and mobilize an intersectional movement for safer schools and healthier14communities. GSA Network works directly with young people in California schools to improve their15school conditions so that Trans, Queer, and Two Spirit (TQ2S+) youth are in schools that affirm and16support who they are.

17 GLSEN is the leading national organization on LGBTQI+ issues in K-12 education, working to ensure that all youth-including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, nonbinary, Two-Spirit, and 18 intersex youth—have equal opportunities to thrive, grow, and reach their full potential. GLSEN works to 19 build safe and affirming learning environments for LGBTQI+ youth while advancing racial, gender, and 20disability justice in education settings. Each year, GLSEN programs and resources reach millions of 21 students and educators in K-12 schools, via action at the national, state, and local level. Since 1990, 22 23 GLSEN has improved conditions for LGBTQ+ students across the United States and helped launch an international movement to address LGBTO+ issues in education. 24

Inland Empire Prism Collective (IEPC) is an LGBTQ-led organization located in San
 Bernardino and Riverside Counties. IEPC seeks to support local LGBTQ youth in identifying their
 power, developing a critical analysis of intersecting oppression by fostering identity affirming
 community building, providing access to safe spaces and education in self-determination as a means of

liberation. IEPC also engages youth in grassroots organizing and advocacy for the continued
 implementation of policy that centers LGBTQ youth rights.

3 Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc. ("Lambda Legal") is the nation's oldest and 4 largest nonprofit legal organization working for full recognition of the civil rights of lesbian, gay, 5 bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) people and everyone living with HIV through impact litigation, education, and policy advocacy. Lambda Legal has served as counsel of record or amicus curiae in 6 7 seminal cases regarding the rights of LGBT students to equal educational opportunity, including the 8 rights to be free from discrimination and harassment and to share their LGBT identities on their own terms. See, e.g., John and Jane Parents 1 v. Montgomery County Board of Ed. (4th Cir. 2023) 78 9 10 F.4th 622 (objecting parents lacked standing to challenge school district's policy supporting transgender 11 students); B.P.J. v. West Virginia State Board of Ed. (4th Cir. 2023) 2023 WL 2803113 (staying the 12 lifting of a preliminary injunction against state law barring transgender girl from running on her middle school cross-country team); Adams v. School Board of St. Johns County (11th Cir. 2022) 13 57 F.4th 791 (en banc) (Equal Protection and Title IX challenge to school board policy 14 denying transgender boy access to the boys' restroom); Nabozny v. Podlesny (7th Cir. 1996) 92 F.3d 446 15 (gay students have an equal protection right to be free from discriminatory harassment in schools). 16

17 The LGBTQ Center OC, incorporated in 1971, remains one of the long-standing LGBTQspecific service organizations located in Orange County, California. The Center's mission is to advocate 18 19 on behalf of the Orange County LGBTQ+ community, and to provide services that ensure the community's well-being and positive identity. The Center is responsive to the changing and growing 20 21 needs of the LGBTQ+ population, providing culturally appropriate and affirming health and wellness services. The Center incorporates advocacy in its mission, with positive youth development and 22 23 community engagement as some of the central pillars of its community work. The Center actively 24 engages with LGBTQ+ student communities, partnering with local organizations and schools to amplify 25 their voices and address the challenges they face. While acknowledging the significance of visibility and representation, the Center's priority is empowering LGBTQ+ youth and students with the ability and 26 inspiration to drive transformative shifts in their schools and communities as agents of positive change. 27

28

The LGBTQ Community Center of the Desert is a non-profit organization dedicated to
 serving LGBTQ community members in California's Coachella Valley. The Center works to end
 isolation and loneliness, connect people to resources and community, offer LGBTQ+ affirming therapy,
 and support LGBTQ+ wherever they are in their life's journey. Specifically for LGBTQ+ students, the
 Center provides direct parent and family support, LGBTQ+ youth groups and events, and offer training
 on best inclusive practices within school systems.

Legal Services of Northern California (LSNC) provides civil legal services aimed at defeating
the causes and effects of poverty in collaboration with the community in 23 Northern California
counties. LSNC serves LGBTQ+ individuals with various issues, including access to gender affirming
health care, housing, and education. For many youth, having their gender identity disclosed to their
parents can lead to a cascading effect of abuse, homelessness, school dropout, and poverty. An
increasing number of school districts in LSNC's service area are passing reporting policies similar to
that of Chino Valley Unified School District.

14 Since 1969, the Los Angeles LGBT Center has cared for, championed, and celebrated LGBTQ individuals and families in Los Angeles and beyond. Today, the Center provides services for more queer 15 16 and trans people than any other organization in the world—offering programs, services, and global 17 advocacy that span four broad categories: Health, Social Services and Housing, Culture and Education, and Leadership and Advocacy. Programs like the Center's OUT for Safe Schools campaign transforms 18 school campuses into communities of support and safety for LGBTQ+ students. Since 2013, OUT for 19 Safe Schools has been present in districts with over 5 million students in grades K-12 distributed over 20 275,000 badges to school employees who wants to be known as LGBTQ allies knowledgeable about 21 22 resources to students and families.

Our Family Coalition (OFC) has advanced equity for over 20 years for the full and expanding
spectrum of LGBTQ+ families and children through support, education, and advocacy. Uniquely
situated at the intersection of LGBTQ+ and family justice work, OFC is powered by community and
inspired by a love of children and families and a vision of a just society where we all belong and thrive.
OFC has had the privilege of working with and for both families and school districts in these 20+ years
and knows that trusting families and their children to make choices for themselves on how to

communicate deeply personal matters, like identity, is what sets a strong family foundation. Taking this
 privacy away by requiring school staff to forcibly disclose student information without consent only
 erodes the trust and the safety that can be nurtured in a family.

The **Placer LGBTQ+ Center** is an organization whose mission is to cultivate the Placer County area as a safe space for members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer community and their families, through education, mentoring, peer support, and resources. The Center's vision is to create a much-needed physical space in Placer County, a socially conservative region of California, where members of the LGBTQ+ community and allies can gather safely to access resources and support, and find opportunities to get involved and build community, including during our annual Placer Pride event.

11 Public Advocates, Inc., is a non-profit, public interest law firm and one of the oldest public 12 interest law firms in the nation. The organization's mission is to challenge the systemic causes of 13 poverty and discrimination by strengthening community voices in public policy and achieving tangible 14 legal victories advancing education, housing and transit equity, and climate justice. Public Advocates 15 "makes rights real" across California by collaborating with grassroots groups representing historically 16 marginalized communities to achieve strategic policy reform, enforce civil rights, and support 17 movement building. Our work in education ensures that all students in California have equitable access 18 to a quality education. This includes the right to a safe and supportive learning environment for all 19 students no matter their race, gender, background, or socio-economic status in order to build schools of 20 belonging and inclusion. Therefore, Public Advocates has a strong interest in ensuring that transgender, 21 gender nonconforming, and other LGBTQ+ students have the freedom to be true to who they are.

Public Counsel is a nonprofit public interest law firm dedicated to advancing civil rights and racial and economic justice, as well as to amplifying the power of our clients through comprehensive legal advocacy. Founded on and strengthened by a pro bono legal service model, Public Counsel's staff and volunteers seek justice through direct legal services, promote healthy and resilient communities through education and outreach, and support community-led efforts to transform unjust systems through litigation and policy advocacy in and beyond Los Angeles. Public Counsel is committed to securing an equitable education for all California students, including LGBTQ+ students, and condemns

discrimination against and harassment of transgender and gender nonconforming youth.

1

2 **Public Justice** is a national public interest advocacy organization that fights against abusive 3 corporate power and predatory practices, the assault on civil rights and liberties, and the destruction of 4 the earth's sustainability. In its Students' Civil Rights Project, Public Justice focuses on ensuring that 5 educational institutions comply with anti-discrimination laws so that all students can learn and thrive. As part of this work, Public Justice litigates and otherwise advocates to secure and enforce LGBTQ+ 6 7 students' rights. For example, this year, Public Justice successfully represented civil rights amici in 8 briefing and oral argument in *Grabowski v. Arizona Board of Regents*, in which the U.S. Court of 9 Appeals for the Ninth Circuit held that Title IX, a federal law prohibiting sex discrimination in 10 education, prohibits anti-LGBTQ+ discrimination ((9th Cir. 2023) 69 F.4th 1110).

Public School Defenders Hub ("Defenders Hub") is a nonprofit, non-partisan coalition that 11 12 stands in support of California's trans and nonbinary students. Defenders Hub affirms that students of all races, backgrounds, sexual orientations, and genders deserve to feel safe, seen, and supported at school. 13 Public schools play a critical role in supporting students' emotional well-being and positive identity, and 14 Defenders Hub works closely with parents, schools, and other organizations to create schools that 15 support all of our children. Defenders Hub strongly advocates for LGBTQ+-inclusive training, inclusive 16 17 curricula, and mental health support. Defenders Hub believes that as a community, we must continue to 18 come together to affirm our LGBTQ+ young people in our communities, preserve trusted relationships 19 and focus on real solutions that will improve public schools.

20 The **Rainbow Pride Youth Alliance** (RPYA) is a non-profit organization dedicated to providing a safe, healthy, and enriching environment for gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, queer, questioning, 21 and intersex (LGBTQI) youth, 12-26. RPYA has supported queer and trans youth and young adults 22 across Riverside and San Bernardino Counties over the past 20 years, both in and outside of schools. 23 24 These outing policies hurt all students, staff, and families in the region by forcing students out of the 25 closet and removing the dynamics of trust and consent from the transition process for young people. RPYA staff have seen firsthand the stress and anxiety this has caused in school districts across the 26 27 Inland Empire. School policies should increase the safety of students, not interject school staff and 28 administrators into the personal lives of students.

The Safe Schools Project of Santa Cruz County is in support of maintaining current state laws and the protection of students to make their own decisions of when they may or may not choose to come "out" to any adult—including a parent, a teacher or a friend. The Safe Schools Project Santa Cruz County partners with schools and school districts to make all schools more inclusive for LGBTQ+ students and their families. This includes supporting laws and school board policies that make schools safer and more inclusive for all students.

7 The Transgender Law Center (TLC), founded in San Francisco in 2002, is the largest national trans-led organization advocating for self-determination for all people. Grounded in legal expertise and 8 committed to racial justice, TLC employs a variety of community-driven strategies to keep transgender 9 10 and gender nonconforming ("TGNC") people alive, thriving, and fighting for liberation. TLC believes that TGNC people hold the resilience, brilliance, and power to transform society at its root, and that the 11 people most impacted by the systems TLC fights must lead this work. TLC builds power within TGNC 12 communities, particularly communities of color and those most marginalized, and lays the groundwork 13 for a society in which all people can live safely, freely, and authentically regardless of gender identity or 14 expression. TLC works to achieve this goal through leadership development and by connecting TGNC 15 people to legal resources. TLC also pursues impact litigation and policy advocacy to defend and advance 16 the rights of TGNC people, transform the legal system, minimize immediate threats and harms, and 17 educate the public about issues impacting our communities. For example, in 2014 when anti-transgender 18 hate groups began collecting signatures in an ultimately unsuccessful attempt to put trans students' 19 rights on the ballot, TLC formed a state-wide coalition, Transform CA, to fight those efforts. 20

TransFamily Support Services (TFSS) and its sister organization TransYouth Liberation
(TYL) fight daily to help families and trans youth be included in their world and be seen as equal—
regardless of gender, race, color, religion, sexual preference, or disability. TFSS and TYL work closely
with parents of trans youth and based on their extensive relevant knowledge and expertise, understand
that the policy challenged here will only harm children and put them at risk of physical and mental
attacks.

The **Trevor Project**, founded in 1998, is the nation's leading LGBTQ youth crisis intervention and suicide prevention organization. It is the only nationwide organization that offers accredited, free,

		\cup
1	and confidential phone, instant message, and text m	essaging crisis intervention services with an
2	exclusive focus on LGBTQ youth. Tens of thousand	ds of individuals use these services every month. By
3	monitoring, analyzing, and evaluating data obtained	I from these services, The Trevor Project produces
4	innovative research and insights that bring new kno	wledge, with clinical implications, on issues
5	affecting LGBTQ youth. The Trevor Project has a s	pecial interest in this litigation as well as familiarity
6	and knowledge of the significant harms that transge	ender youth endure from policies that mandate
7	discrimination against transgender youth. For decad	les, The Trevor Project has worked directly with
8	transgender youth, many of whom struggle with the	e pain and stigma associated with family rejection,
9	hostile educational environments, or unwilling disc	losure of their gender identity. The Trevor Project is
10	therefore acutely aware of the severe mental health	effects that discrimination of this form can have on
11	transgender youth, and can provide a unique and im	portant perspective regarding the damaging results
12	that will flow from the Chino Valley Unified School	ol District's policy of outing transgender and
13	nonbinary youth.	
14		
15	Date: October 3, 2023	Respectfully submitted,
16		ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
17		L. M.
18		
19		Ariana Rodriguez
20		
21		ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN
22		CALIFORNIA
23		
24		Cpl fC=te
25		Jennifer Chou
26		
27		
28		9
		OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF OR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

EXHIBIT A

		\cup
1	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIF Amanda Goad (State Bar No. 297131)	ORNIA
2	Christine Parker (State Bar No. 315529)	
3	Ariana Rodriguez (State Bar No. 322701) 1313 West Eighth Street	
4	Los Angeles, CA 90017 T: (213) 977-9500 F: (213) 915-0219	
5	E: agoad@aclusocal.org E: cparker@aclusocal.org	
6	E: arodriguez@aclusocal.org	
7 8	ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIF Elizabeth Gill (State Bar No. 218311)	ORNIA
9	Jennifer Chou (State Bar No. 304838) 39 Drumm Street	
10	San Francisco, CA 94111	
11	T: (415) 621-2493 F: (415) 255-1478 E: egill@aclunc.org	
12	E: jchou@aclunc.org	
13	Attorneys for Proposed Amici Curiae	
14		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA N BERNARDINO
15	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. CIV SB 2317301
16	CALIFORNIA, EX REL. ROB BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE	[PROPOSED] BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE
17	OF CALIFORNIA,	IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY
18	Plaintiff,	INJUNCTION
19	V.	Hearing Date: October 5, 2023
20		Time: 8:30 AM Department: S28
21	CHINO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	Judge: Hon. Michael A. Sachs
22		Action Filed: August 28, 2023
23	Defendant.	Trial Date: February 26, 2024
24		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20		

INTRODUCTION

1	INTRODUCTION
2	California guarantees students of all backgrounds the fundamental right to an education and has
3	some of the strongest antidiscrimination laws in the country that protect the rights of all students,
4	including LGBTQ+ students, to be themselves at school. Nonetheless, even in California, the last few
5	years have seen an alarming rise in targeted attacks against LGBTQ+ people, and in particular
6	transgender and gender nonconforming youth. ² This year alone, we have seen a wave of attacks against
7	LGBTQ+ Californians and their allies—at their homes, ³ in their places of worship, ⁴ at work, ⁵ in
8	libraries, ⁶ and especially in schools. ⁷
9	Chino Valley Unified School District (CVUSD or "the District")'s policy on forcible outing
10	continues this pattern of harmful attacks on the rights and dignity of transgender young people. The
11	policy would require school staff to notify a student's parents or guardians if it "becomes known" that
12	the student has requested to be identified as transgender or gender nonconforming-regardless of the
13	student's wishes or their circumstances at home. In adopting it, CVUSD board members and members
14	of the public described being transgender as "a dismantling of our humanity" and a "delusion," stating
15	that "you got to put a stop to it." ⁸ Students at the same meeting voiced how this policy puts their lives at
16	risk and shared stories about the harms they suffered when they came out to their family before they
17	
18	² See Movement Advancement Project, Under Fire: The War on LGBTQ People in America (Feb. 2023) https://www.mapresearch.org/file/Under%20Fire%20report_MAP%202023.pdf (as of Sept. 27, 2023).
19	³ See, e.g., Catlin, Proud Boy Arrested Outside Local LGBTQ+ Group Leader's Home, Gold Country Media (Mar. 29, 2023) <u>https://goldcountrymedia.com/news/282781/proud-boy-arrested-outside-local-</u>
20	lgbtq-group-leaders-home (as of Sept. 27, 2023).
21	⁴ See, e.g., Anteola, LGBTQ+ Friendly Church in Fresno Vandalized. Pastor Suspects a Hate Group Did It, Fresno Bee (Apr. 19, 2023) <u>https://www.fresnobee.com/news/local/crime/article274471800.html</u>
22	(as of Sept. 27, 2023). ⁵ See, e.g., Goldberg, A Pride Flag, An Argument and Gunfire: The Senseless Killing of Laura Ann
23	Carleton, Los Angeles Times (Aug. 21, 2023) https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2023-08-21/a-
24	pride-flag-an-argument-and-gunfire-the-senseless-killing-of-laura-ann-carleton (as of Sept. 27, 2023). ⁶ See, e.g., Jarone, Multiple Schools in Davis Cleared After Police Sweep Campuses, Homes, Library
25	Named in Bomb Threat, Sacramento Bee (Sept. 20, 2023) https://www.sacbee.com/news/local/crime/article279553279.html (as of Sept. 27, 2023).
26	⁷ See, e.g., Childs et al., 3 Arrested Outside Glendale School Board in Violent Clashes Over LGBTQ+
27	<i>Rights</i> , Los Angeles Times (June 6, 2023) <u>https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2023-06-</u> 06/glendale-braces-for-protests-ahead-of-school-board-vote-to-recognize-lgbtq-pride-month (as of Sept.
28	27, 2023). ⁸ See People's Request for Judicial Notice (Aug. 29, 2023) (RJN) at pp. 66:10-12, pp. 43:3-4, pp. 68:7-8 2
	[PROPOSED] BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

were ready. Despite hearing these personal experiences from young people, CVUSD adopted its policy,
 and is now arguing that it must be permitted to see it through regardless of the real harm being caused,
 as described below.

California law requires public schools to protect transgender and gender nonconforming students 4 from discrimination and harassment and respect their privacy. The policy challenged here does just the 5 opposite, impairing students' ability to express themselves authentically at school and seeking to 6 stigmatize transgender identities. The law, research, and student testimonies all make clear there is no 7 place in California public schools for policies that put the safety and welfare of students at risk. The 8 state's interest in ensuring transgender and gender nonconforming students are provided a safe and 9 supportive education environment free of hostility and discrimination is an urgent one. Failure to grant a 10 preliminary injunction in this case will result in significant and irreparable harm to CVUSD's 11 transgender and gender nonconforming students. 12

13 14

15

16

17

ARGUMENT

- I. California Law Requires Public Schools to Protect Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Students from Discrimination and Harassment and Respect Student Privacy.
 - A. CVUSD's Policy Violates the Rights of Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Students to be Free of Discrimination and Harassment under California Law.

California law unequivocally prohibits discrimination and harassment in California public 18 schools on the basis of sex and gender, and requires schools to affirm LGBTQ+ students' identities. 19 Both the state Constitution's equal protection guarantee and state statutory law affirmatively require 20 public schools to protect students from unlawful discrimination and harassment, including on the basis 21 of gender, gender identity, gender expression, and sexual orientation.9 To this end, California has 22 enacted laws and policies that ensure a safe and welcoming learning environment for all students, 23 including by requiring that schools affirm every student's gender identity by honoring their authentic 24 name and pronouns and ensuring access to all to programs and facilities that align with their gender 25 identity.10 26

²⁷
 ⁹ Cal. Const. art. I, § 7; Ed. Code § 200, 201, 220, 234 et seq.; Gov. Code § 11135.
 ¹⁰ See Ed. Code § 221.5(f); Cal. Dept. of Ed. (CDE), Legal Advisory Regarding Application of

(cont'd)

CVUSD's policy violates these laws by impermissibly singling out students for discrimination 1 2 based on their gender identity. CVUSD's policy discriminates by requiring notification any time a student identifies or requests to be treated as "a gender . . . other than the student's biological sex or 3 gender listed on the student's birth certificate or any other official records."¹¹ A student's gender is the 4 only thing that triggers notification. Moreover, all students in California have the right to be affirmed in 5 6 school and protected from discrimination and harassment, but CVUSD's policy imposes a condition on 7 access to these rights that only applies to transgender and gender nonconforming students. In order to access protections and supports to which they are legally entitled, transgender and gender 8 nonconforming students without support at home must first risk their safety and well-being by disclosing 9 their identity to their families. 10

In California, policies that discriminate on the basis of gender are evaluated under strict scrutiny, 11 12 meaning that to pass constitutional muster, they must be narrowly tailored to serve a compelling state 13 interest.¹² CVUSD argues that it adopted the policy in order to meet the needs of "a population of students who are facing considerable challenges, which result in a higher rates of depression and 14 suicide."13 However, the animus demonstrated by CVUSD's board during discussion of the policy-15 saying that being transgender is a "delusion" that they must "put a stop to"¹⁴ —belies this argument. 16 Even assuming, for the sake of argument, that this policy has a purpose of protecting transgender and 17 gender nonconforming students, it necessarily fails because a categorical outing policy is not a narrowly 18 19 tailored one. It requires no nuance or consideration of whether a student is ready to come out at home or indeed what their home life is like. As we discuss below, the impact of this sweeping policy on students 20 who are not ready to come out to their families will be to force them back into the closet or to place 21 them at risk of familial rejection. 22

- 23
- ²⁴ California's Antidiscrimination Statutes to Transgender Youth in Schools

25 <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/di/eo/legaladvisory.asp</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023); CDE, School Success and Opportunity Act (Assembly Bill 1266) Frequently Asked Questions

26 $\frac{\text{https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/di/eo/faqs.asp}}{\text{11 RJN at p. 9.}}$ (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

²⁸ ¹⁴ RJN at pp. 43:3-4, pp. 68:7-8.

^{27 || &}lt;sup>12</sup> In re Marriage Cases (2008) 43 Cal.4th 757, 784.

¹³ Defendant Opposition (Aug. 28, 2023) at p. 18.

B. CVUSD's Policy Violates Student Privacy Rights under the California Constitution. 1 2 Students have a state constitutional right to privacy when it comes to personal information about them, and courts have affirmed that young people have a right to keep personal information private.¹⁵ 3 Numerous courts have held that gender identity and sexual orientation are among the most intimate and 4 private details of one's life and are constitutionally protected.¹⁶ Moreover, courts have found that 5 students do not waive their reasonable expectation of privacy simply by being out at school.¹⁷ 6 7 As the California Supreme Court recognized in American Academy of Pediatrics v. Lungren, 8 "[c]hildren are not simply chattels belonging to the parent, but have fundamental interests of their own that may diverge from the interests of the parent."¹⁸ In fact, California policy has long supported the 9 10 principle that minors have the ability to make independent decisions about their health and well-being, and have the right to make those decisions confidentially. See, e.g., Fam. Code § 6925 and American 11 Academy of Pediatrics, 16 Cal.4th 307 (all minors are able to consent to their own care for pregnancy, 12 contraception, and abortion without parental consent or notification); Fam. Code § 6924 and Health & 13 Saf. Code § 124260 (same for minors over the age of 12 for mental health services); Fam. Code § 6929 14 (same for minors over the age of 12 for substance use treatment); Ed. Code 48205 and 87 15 Cal.Op.Atty.Gen. 168 (2004) (minors have the right to excuse themselves from school without parental 16 17 consent or notification to attend medical appointments for care they can consent to on their own); Health 18 19 ¹⁵ Cal. Const. art. I, §1; see also Whalen v. Roe (1997) 429 U.S. 589, 598-600; C.N., supra, 410 F.Supp.2d at p. 903. 20 ¹⁶ See, e.g., Sterling v. Borough of Minersville (3d Cir. 2000) 232 F.3d 190, 196; Powell v. Schriver (2d Cir. 1999) 175 F.3d 107, 111-112. 21 ¹⁷ See C.N., supra, 410 F.Supp.2d at p. 903. The plaintiff student in C.N. v. Wolf, was "openly gay at school." Nonetheless, the court held that C.N. had a "legally protected privacy interest in information 22 about her sexual orientation" because "the fact that an event is not wholly private does not mean that an 23 individual has no interest in limiting disclosure or dissemination of information." Id. at p. 903 (quoting U.S. Dept. of Justice v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of Press (1989) 489 U.S. 749 (internal 24 quotations omitted)). For LGBTQ+ students in particular, this nuance is essential because coming out is a process, and coming out at school is not an all-or-nothing affair. An LGBTQ+ student may be out only 25 to close friends, to a trusted teacher, to their counselor, or some combination of these. In any of these permutations, California law protects a student's right to "openly discuss and express their gender 26 identity or decide when or with whom to share private information. A student does not waive his or her 27 right to privacy by selectively sharing this information with others." CDE, School Success and Opportunity Act (Assembly Bill 1266) Frequently Asked Questions, supra at question 10.

^{28 &}lt;sup>18</sup> American Academy of Pediatrics v. Lungren (1997) 16 Cal.4th 307, 336–337.

& Saf. § 123115 (minors have the right to confidentiality in medical records, even in relation to their
 parents or guardians, for care they can consent to on their own).

3

4

II. Outing Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Students Without Their Consent Results in Serious and Irreparable Harm to Their Social, Emotional, and Physical Well-Being and Safety.

5 Decades of research has shown that transgender and gender nonconforming students and their 6 families suffer irreparable harm when young people are outed before they are ready, illustrating the 7 urgent need for a preliminary injunction in this case. These harms are borne out by the record in 8 CVUSD as well as in the public record that has been generated by the recent wave of California school 9 districts, including Clovis Unified School District, Murrieta Valley Unified School District, Orange 10 Unified School District, and Rocklin Unified School District, that have considered or passed policies 11 nearly identical to CVUSD's.¹⁹

Policies like CVUSD's subject trans and gender nonconforming students to harm by forcing 12 them to stay "in the closet" at school, which can have significant psychological harms. In a recent article 13 from The Los Angeles Times, one current CVUSD student shared that, as a result of CVUSD's policy, 14 transgender and gender nonconforming students who once perceived school as a "haven when their 15 homes were not" are now "being 'shoved' back into the closet."²⁰ Courts have recognized that forcing 16 transgender students to use their birth name and pronouns exposes them to the "life threatening" risks of 17 discrimination, when they are already harassed at alarming rates in schools.²¹ Research also shows that 18 being referred to by the wrong name and pronouns results in psychological distress, including anxiety-19 and depression-related symptoms.²² Another study found that transgender youth who were able to use 20

- 26 <u>21/transgender-students-parental-notification-policies-schools-lgbtq-forced-outing</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023).
- ²¹ See Doe v. Boyertown Area School District (3d Cir. 2018) 897 F.3d 518, 529; Grimm v. Gloucester
 County School Board (4th Cir. 2020) 972 F.3d 586, 612.
- 28 ²² McLemore, A Minority Stress Perspective on Transgender Individuals' Experiences with Misgendering (2018) 3 Stigma and Health 53, 59.

 ²¹
 ¹⁹ See, e.g., Yarbrough, LGBTQ Students on New School Rules: "It's Clear Our Lives are Not Important," San Bernardino Sun (Aug. 28, 2023) <u>https://www.sbsun.com/2023/08/28/southern-</u>

 ^{23 &}lt;u>california-lgbtq-students-say-new-rules-endanger-their-safety</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023); Montalvo, 'This is
 23 *life or death.*' California Teens Say Transgender Outing Policies Threaten Safety, Fresno Bee (Sept. 15, 2023) https://www.fresnobee.com/news/local/article278697909.html (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

 ²⁰ Gomez, "Kids Are Having to Use Their Deadname": Students Say Gender Policies Make Schools
 ²⁰ Feel Unsafe, Los Angeles Times (Sept. 21, 2023) <u>https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2023-09-</u>
 ²¹ Irreagen der studente perentel petification policies schools labta-forced-outing (as of Sept. 27)

1	names and pronouns corresponding to their gender identity experienced a 29% decrease in reported	
2	thoughts of suicide and a 56% decrease in suicidal behavior. ²³	
3	Schools can be critical sources of support and safety for transgender, gender nonconforming, and	
4	other LGBTQ+ students. An affirming school climate is a protective factor for LGBTQ+ students, in	
5	terms of harassment and bullying, mental health, and safety. ²⁴ For many transgender students, school is	
6	a place where they can be their authentic selves safely, even when home is not—with one study showing	
7	that transgender youth were more likely to report finding affirming spaces at school (52%) than at home	
8	(35%). ²⁵ Turning schools from safe spaces into hostile ones, as CVUSD's policy does, will result in	
9	higher rates of harm and suicide and poorer academic outcomes among LGBTQ+ students. When	
10	transgender and gender nonconforming young people feel unsafe at school, they are more than twice as	
11	likely than their peers to miss school. ²⁶ For example, at a recent Rocklin Unified board meeting, a	
12	member of the public shared that they had been outed by their counselor while they were in school and,	
13	as a result, their parents sent them to conversion therapy. ²⁷ Afterwards, "[i]t separated me , I was	
14	vulnerable and left out, and I did not trust my teachers after that I did not concentrate at school. I was	
15	always afraid." ²⁸ By contrast, having in their life at least one adult accepting of a young person's	
16		
17	²³ Russell et al., <i>Chosen Name Use Is Linked to Reduced Depressive Symptoms, Suicidal Ideation, and Suicidal Behavior Among Transgender Youth</i> (2018) 63 Journal Adolescent Health 503, 505.	
18	²⁴ Leung et al., <i>Social Support in Schools and Related Outcomes for LGBTQ Youth: A Scoping Review</i> (2022) 1 Discover Ed. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s44217-022-00016-9 (as of Sept. 27,	
19	2023); Ancheta et al., The Impacts of Positive School Climate on Suicidality and Mental Health Among	
20	<i>LGBTQ Adolescents: A Systematic Review</i> (2020) 37 Journal of School Nursing 75. ²⁵ The Trevor Project, 2023 U.S. National Survey on the Mental Health of LGBTQ Young People (2023)	
21	https://www.thetrevorproject.org/survey-2023/assets/static/05_TREVOR05_2023survey.pdf (as of Oct. 2, 2023).	
22	²⁶ Jackman et al., Suicidality Among Gender Minority Youth: Analysis of 2017 YRBS Data (2019) 25	
23	Archives of Suicide Research 208 <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7176535</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023); Kosciw et al., <i>The 2021 National School Climate Survey: The Experiences of LGBTQ+ Youth</i>	
24	<i>in Our Nation's Schools</i> (2022) GLSEN <u>https://www.glsen.org/sites/default/files/2022-10/NSCS-2021-</u> Full-Report.pdf (as of Sept. 27, 2023).	
25	²⁷ "Conversion therapy" is an umbrella term used to encompass any "sustained efforts to discourage or	
26	change behaviors related to LGBTQ+ identities and expressions." American Psychological Association, Banning Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Change Efforts,	
27	https://www.apa.org/topics/lgbtq/sexual-orientation-change (as of Sept. 27, 2023). ²⁸ Rocklin Unified School Board, <i>Rocklin Unified School District Board of Trustee's Meeting</i> –	
28	September 6, 2023 (Sept. 6, 2023) YouTube at 1:52:21	
	[PROPOSED] BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	-

LGBTO+ identity has a significant protective effect on their mental health. As a graduate of Orange 1 2 Unified publicly testified during that district's discussion of a policy similar to CVUSD's, "While in 3 high school I began to learn and understand a little bit more about myself and who I was as an individual. All I needed was someone to hear me, but at that time, my family would not have understood 4 5 what was going through my mind. A teacher listened ... Later on as an adult, it was my decision to come out to my family, when I was ready, and at my own time."29 A recent survey found that LGBTO+ 6 7 youth who had at least one accepting adult in their life were 30% less likely to report a suicide attempt in the previous year.³⁰ As one Clovis Unified student stated, "This situation is more than just a young 8 9 person wanting to experiment with a new identity. It's safety in knowing that they have a place where they can freely be who they are."³¹ 10

Research shows that transgender and gender nonconforming young people who were out and felt 11 12 accepted by a parent/caregiver had around 40% lower odds of attempting suicide in the past year compared to young people who were out but did not feel accepted.³² Family acceptance is a clear 13 protective factor in the safety and well-being of transgender and gender nonconforming students, and 14 forcing them to come out at home before they are ready exposes them to high risks of familial rejection, 15 which frequently leads to homelessness, among other negative outcomes. In one 2020 survey, nearly 16 40% of transgender and gender nonconforming individuals reported that after their family learned of 17 their gender identity, they were either kicked out of their family homes or treated in a manner that 18 compelled them to leave.³³ As one person testified at Orange Unified, "As a kid, I hid my identity from 19 my family. I knew that they would reject and disown me, but I was outed to my family when I was 15. 20 21

³⁰ The Trevor Project, Acceptance from Adults is Associated with Lower Rates of Suicide Attempts Among LGBTQ Young People (Sept. 22, 2023) <u>https://www.thetrevorproject.org/research-</u>

^{22 &}lt;sup>29</sup> Orange Unified School District, *OUSD Board Meeting – September 7, 2023* (Sept. 7, 2023) YouTube at 5:14:15-5:15:21 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fe92I8VuFtU</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

briefs/acceptance-from-adults-is-associated-with-lower-rates-of-suicide-attempts-among-lgbtq-young-people-sep-2023/ (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

 ²⁵ ³¹ Clovis Unified School District, *CUSD Board Meeting 9/20/23* (Sept. 20, 2023) YouTube at 2:52:22
 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7yzhR6qlsCQ</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

 ³² The Trevor Project, Acceptance from Adults is Associated with Lower Rates of Suicide Attempts
 Among LGBTQ Young People, supra.

^{28 &}lt;sup>33</sup> The Trevor Project, *National Survey on LGBTQ Youth Mental Health 2020* (2020) https://www.thetrevorproject.org/survey-2020 (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

As a result, I was rejected ... I was asked to leave one of my parents' homes. I was isolated and I was 1 scared . . . this policy by its nature implies being trans is negative or dangerous."³⁴ Other community 2 members who have attended school board meetings to testify in opposition to forced outing policies 3 include social workers, clergy, and police officers, who described their experience working with youth 4 5 forced to leave home because their parents refused to accept their LGBTQ+ identity. See, e.g., Rocklin Unified School Board, supra, at 3:10:00 ("if [the kids] don't take their own lives, somebody else does it 6 for them . . . when parents pushed them out because they cannot accept their personal choices, they 7 cannot unconditionally love their children."); Murrieta Valley USD Board of Education, August 10, 8 2023 Murrieta Valley USD Board of Education Meeting Before Break (Aug. 10, 2023) YouTube at 9 1:01:53 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jjJrlixQuzw (as of Sept. 27, 2023) ("We know statistically 10 that LGBTQ children . . . are disproportionately in the foster system and on the street. . . . That is for 11 reasons of being told to leave or children's lives being made so unbearable that they leave of their own 12 will. Once on the street, they are doing unimaginable things to survive."); Murrieta Valley USD Board 13 of Education, supra, at 2:42:52 (a police officer describing having encountered children being trafficked, 14 self-medicating through substance use, or being abused after being disowned by their parents for being 15 LGBTQ+). Transgender and gender nonconforming people are also significantly more likely to 16 experience physical, psychological, and sexual abuse from an immediate family member.³⁵ During 17 Rocklin Unified's school board meeting, for example, one student shared that her friend took her life 18 after coming out to her parents as transgender: "they didn't beat her, but they shamed her, isolated and 19 emotionally abused her . . . when they found out, they pulled her from school, took away her phone, and 20 forbid her from seeing any friends. She killed herself before she was old enough to drive. Her parents 21 didn't even give her a funeral because they said, 'he lived in sin and he died in sin.""³⁶ 22

- 23
- 24
- ²⁵ Orange Unified School District, *supra* at 5:12:35 5:13:42.

26 ³⁵ Roberts et al., *Childhood Gender Nonconformity: A Risk Indicator for Childhood Abuse and Posttraumatic Stress in Youth* (2012) 129 Pediatrics 410, 413-414; *see also* James et al., *The Report of*

the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey (2016) National Center for Transgender Equality
 https://transgender Survey (2016) National Center for Transgender Equality
 https://transgender Survey (2016) National Center for Transgender Equality
 https://transgender Equality
 https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

 $\frac{36}{36}$ Rocklin Unified School Board, *supra*, at 1:54:46.

Although CVUSD has argued that its policy has a "safety exception"³⁷ that requires staff to
 contact Child Protective Services (CPS), this would not effectively prevent harm to students and their
 families and could even intensify it.³⁸ These outcomes are reflected in parallel data showing that trans
 and other LGBTQ+ youth are significantly overrepresented in foster care (30.4%) and unstable housing
 (25.3%) identified as LGBTQ than youth in a nationally representative sample (11.2%). ³⁹

6 What policies like CVUSD's fail to recognize is that for all LGBTQ+ people, coming out is a 7 process. For many students, talking to peers and trusted adults at schools are important steps toward being ready to have conversations with their parents. This is true not just for young people sharing their 8 gender identity or sexual orientation, but for many young people contending with the possibility of any 9 10 kind of difficult conversation at home whether about questions of faith, academic and career decisions, relationships, or anything else. For some transgender or gender nonconforming students, CVUSD's 11 policy presents an impossible decision—suppressing their authentic selves or placing themselves at 12 potential serious risk of physical, social, and emotional harm. Either decision has immediate and 13 irreparable harms, not just for the student's health and well-being, but also for the bonds of trust that 14 15 make up a school community.

16

17

CONCLUSION

When LGBTQ+ young people feel safe to come out on their own terms and can be their

18 authentic selves, they are significantly more likely to thrive socially, emotionally, and academically.

19 Research shows many ways that school districts can create such a positive school climate—including

20 adopting robust antidiscrimination policies, training for educators and staff, teaching inclusive curricula,

well as Child Protective Services. Moreover, it is unclear what standard of proof the District expects
 students to meet, as to the extent and nature of their fear or expectation of abuse, in order to trigger CPS

notification – which, as described *infra*, may well make matters worse.

- 20 <u>https://scholarship.law.columbia.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4606&context=faculty_scholarship</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023).
- ³⁹ Baams et al., *LGBTQ Youth in Unstable Housing and Foster Care* (2019) 143 Pediatrics
 <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6398424/</u> (as of Sept. 27, 2023).

^{21 37} This representation by CVUSD officials and counsel is also not supported by the policy itself, which states that "[n]othing in this policy affects the obligations of the District's employees, administrators,

and certificated staff as mandated reporters under" sections 11164-11174.3 of the Penal Code and other applicable law. Thus, the policy on its face appears to instruct District personnel to contact parents as

 ²⁵
 ³⁸ Harvey et al., *Reimagining Schools' Role Outside of the Family Regulation System* (2021) 11
 ²⁶
 ²⁶
 ²⁷
 ²⁷

		\cup
1	and empowering students to create clubs and other	
2	CVUSD's policy does the opposite—it sends a message to the entire school community that certain	
3	gender identities are dangerous and must be suppres	
4	irreparable harm to transgender and gender noncon	
5	community. For these reasons, we ask that the Court	t grant Plaintiff's application for a Preliminary
6	Injunction.	
7		
8	Date: October 3, 2023	Respectfully submitted,
9		ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
10		the pre-
11		
12		Ariana Rodriguez
13		
14 15		ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
16		C-r fC - C.
17		
18		Jennifer Chou
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] BRIEF OF AN PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION F	11 MICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

EXHIBIT B

		\cup
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIF Amanda Goad (State Bar No. 297131) Christine Parker (State Bar No. 315529) Ariana Rodriguez (State Bar No. 322701) 1313 West Eighth Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 T: (213) 977-9500 F: (213) 915-0219 E: agoad@aclusocal.org E: cparker@aclusocal.org E: arodriguez@aclusocal.org E: arodriguez@aclusocal.org ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIF Elizabeth Gill (State Bar No. 218311) Jennifer Chou (State Bar No. 304838) 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T: (415) 621-2493 F: (415) 255-1478 E: egill@aclunc.org E: jchou@aclunc.org Attorneys for Proposed Amici Curiae	
13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA N BERNARDINO
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EX REL. ROB BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. CHINO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, Defendant.	Case No. CIV SB 2317301 DECLARATION OF JENNIFER CHOU Hearing Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 8:30 AM Department: S28 Judge: Hon. Michael A. Sachs Action Filed: August 28, 2023 Trial Date: February 26, 2024

1	DECLARATION OF JENNIFER CHOU
2	I, Jennifer Chou, hereby declare:
3	1. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge and if called to testify
4	could and would do so competently as follows:
5	2. I am a staff attorney at the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Northern
6	California ("ACLU").
7	3. I am counsel for proposed amici curiae American Civil Liberties Union of Southern
8	California, American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California, California LGBTQ Health & Human
9	Services Network, Equal Justice Society, Equality California, Genders & Sexualities Alliances Network,
10	GLSEN, Inland Empire Prism Collective, Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc., LGBTQ
11	Center OC, LGBTQ Community Center of the Desert, Legal Services of Northern California, Los
12	Angeles LGBT Center, Our Family Coalition, Placer LGBTQ+ Center, Public Advocates, Inc., Public
13	Counsel, Public Justice, Public School Defenders Hub, Rainbow Pride Youth Alliance, Safe Schools
14	Project of Santa Cruz, Transgender Law Center, TransFamily Support Services and TransYouth
15	Liberation, and Trevor Project in the above-captioned action.
16	4. My clients hereby apply for leave of court to file the attached Proposed Brief of Amici
17	Curiae in Support of Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction.
18	5. My office consulted the California Rules of Court and Rules of Civil Procedure, but there
19	are no specific rules pertaining to the submission of amicus briefs in this Court.
20	6. On September 27, 2023, my colleague, Angelica Lujan, who is employed by the ACLU
21	of Southern California, contacted the Civil Clerk at the Superior Court of California, San Bernardino
22	County to determine how to file an amicus brief in this case. The clerk directed Ms. Lujan to file an ex
23	parte application.
24	7. The State of California is the only plaintiff in this case. Counsel of record for the State is
25	Deputy Attorney General, Delbert Tran. Delbert Tran's physical address is 455 Golden Gate Avenue,
26	Suite 11000, San Francisco, California 94102-7004. Delbert Tran's email address is
27	delbert.tran@doj.ca.gov, and their telephone number is (415) 229-0110.
28	8. Defendant Chino Valley Unified School District ("CVUSD") is the only defendant in the
	2 DECLARATION OF JENNIFER CHOU

case. Counsel for CVUSD are Anthony De Marco and William Diedrich of Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, 1 Rudd & Romo PLC; and Emily Rae of Liberty Justice Center. Anthony De Marco's physical address is 2 20 Pacifica, Suite 1100, Irvine, California 92618-3371. Anthony De Marco's email address is 3 ademarco@aalrr.com, and their telephone number is (714) 785-4497. William Diedrich's physical 4 address is 3880 Lemon St., Suite 250, Riverside, CA. William Diedrich's email address is 5 wdiedrich@aalrr.com, and their telephone number is (951) 403-1949. Emily Rae's physical address is 6 440 Wells Street, Unit 200, Chicago, Illinois 60654. Emily Rae's email address is erae@ljc.org and their 7 8 phone number is (312) 637-2280.

9 9. In accordance with California Rules of Court rule 3.1203, on October 3, 2023, at
approximately 9:29 a.m., I emailed notice to all party counsel that I would be making an ex parte
application for leave to file an amici curiae brief on October 4, 2023, and that I intended to appear
before the court on October 5, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. to argue the application. Counsel for the State indicated
that they would not oppose proposed amici's application. We did not receive a response from counsel
for Defendant CVUSD.

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California and the United States that
the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed this 3rd day of
October, 2023, in San Francisco, California.

Respectfully submitted,

ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

Jennifer Chou

3 DECLARATION OF JENNIFER CHOU

EXHIBIT C

		\cup
1 2 3 4 5 6	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIF Amanda Goad (State Bar No. 297131) Christine Parker (State Bar No. 315529) Ariana Rodriguez (State Bar No. 322701) 1313 West Eighth Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 T: (213) 977-9500 F: (213) 915-0219 E: agoad@aclusocal.org E: cparker@aclusocal.org E: arodriguez@aclusocal.org	ORNIA
7 8 9 10 11 12	ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIF Elizabeth Gill (State Bar No. 218311) Jennifer Chou (State Bar No. 304838) 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T: (415) 621-2493 F: (415) 255-1478 E: egill@aclunc.org E: jchou@aclunc.org Attorneys for Proposed Amici Curiae	ORNIA
13 14		IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA N BERNARDINO
15 16 17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EX REL. ROB BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. CIV SB 2317301 [PROPOSED] ORDER
18 19 20	Plaintiff, v.	Hearing Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 8:30 AM Department: S28 Judge: Hon. Michael A. Sachs
21 22	CHINO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	Action Filed: August 28, 2023 Trial Date: February 26, 2024
23	Defendant.	
24 25		
26		
27 28		

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	After a full consideration of the papers filed in support of proposed amici curiae American Civil		
3	Liberties Union of Southern California, American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California,		
4	California LGBTQ Health & Human Services Network, Equal Justice Society, Equality California,		
5	Genders & Sexualities Alliances Network, GLSEN, Inland Empire Prism Collective, Lambda Legal		
6	Defense and Education Fund, Inc., LGBTQ Center OC, LGBTQ Community Center of the Desert, Legal		
7	Services of Northern California, Los Angeles LGBT Center, Our Family Coalition, Placer LGBTQ+		
8	Center, Public Advocates, Inc., Public Counsel, Public Justice, Public School Defenders Hub, Rainbow		
9	Pride Youth Alliance, Safe Schools Project of Santa Cruz, Transgender Law Center, TransFamily		
10	Support Services and TransYouth Liberation, and Trevor Project's Ex Parte Application to File Brief of		
11	Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction, any other papers		
12	submitted in support of or opposition to the application, and the argument of counsel, and good cause		
13	appearing therefor:		
14			
15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:		
16	1. The Ex Parte Application to File Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiff's		
17	7 Application for Preliminary Injunction is GRANTED; and		
18	2. The [Proposed] Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiff's Application for		
19	Preliminary Injunction filed concurrently with the ex parte application is deemed filed as of the date of		
20	the signing of this order.		
21			
22			
23	Date:		
24	The Honorable Michael A. Sachs Judge of the San Bernardino Superior Court		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER		

		\cup
1	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIF	ORNIA
2	Amanda Goad (State Bar No. 297131) Christine Parker (State Bar No. 315529)	
3	Ariana Rodriguez (State Bar No. 322701) 1313 West Eighth Street	
4	Los Angeles, CA 90017 T: (213) 977-9500 F: (213) 915-0219	
5	E: agoad@aclusocal.org E: cparker@aclusocal.org	
6	E: arodriguez@aclusocal.org	
7	ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIF Elizabeth Gill (State Bar No. 218311)	FORNIA
8	Jennifer Chou (State Bar No. 304838) 39 Drumm Street	
10	San Francisco, CA 94111	
11	T: (415) 621-2493 F: (415) 255-1478 E: egill@aclunc.org	
12	E: jchou@aclunc.org	
13	Counsel for Proposed Amici Curiae	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	COUNTY OF	SAN BERNARDINO
16		Case No. CIV SB 2317301
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EX REL. ROB BONTA,	PROOF OF SERVICE
18	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
19	Plaintiff,	
20		
21 22	V.	
22	CHINO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	
23	Defendant.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1
	PROOF	F OF SERVICE

		\cup
1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	I, Angelica Lujan, am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of	
3	18 and not a party to the within action. My	business address is 1313 West 8th Street, Los Angeles,
4	California 90017.	
5	On October 4, 2023, I served copies	of the foregoing documents:
6	EV BARTE ARRICATION TO E	TLE BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF
7		OR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
8	[PROPOSED] BRIEF OF AMICI	CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
9	APPLICATION FOR PRELIMIN	
10	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTIN	IG LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF
11	DECLARATION OF JENNIFER	сноц
12		
13	on the interested parties listed below Party	Attorney/Address Served
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Delbert Tran
15	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Delbert.tran@doj.ca.gov
16	CALIFORNIA, EX REL. ROB BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE	Alexander Simpson Alexander.Simpson@doj.ca.gov
17	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Laura Faer Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov
18		James Zahradka James.Zahradka@doj.ca.gov
19		Edward Nugnet
20		Edward.Nugnet@doj.ca.gov Xiyun Yang
21		Xiyun.Yang@doj.ca.gov Gary Rowe
22		Gary.Rowe@doj.ca.gov
23		Shonise Flowers Shonise.Flowers@doj.ca.gov
24		DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL 455 Golden Gate Avenute, Suite 11000
25		San Fransisco, CA 94102-7
26		
27		
28		
		2
	PR	OOF OF SERVICE

	· · ·	
1		thony P. De Marco
2		eMarco@aalrr.com lliam Diedrich
3		Diedrich@aalrr.com Sinson Andelson Loya Rudd & Romo
4	388	30 Lemon Street, Suite 350
5		verside, CA 92501
6		ily Rae e@libertyjusticecenter.org
7		
8		
9	$ \underbrace{X} BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE $	
10		
11	I caused the foregoing document(s) to be transmitted to the addressees listed above via OneLegal	
12	LLC, and to the best of my knowledge, the transmission was complete and without error in that I did not	
13	receive an electronic notification to the contrary.	
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true	
15	and correct.	
16 17	Executed on October 4, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.	
18		And
19		nn l
20	Ang	gelica Lujan
21	AC	LU Foundation of Southern California
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	,	
28	3	
	3	
	PROOF OF SERVICE	

RECEIVED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

nct 0 4 2023

NICOLE O'DWYER

t