| 1 | CHAPMAN, POPIK & WHITE, LLP Mark A. White, State Bar No. 88332 |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Benjamin J. Riley, State Bar No. 226904 ENDORSED |
| | 650 California Street, 19th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 San Francisco County Superior Court San Francisco County Superior Court |
| 3 | Telephone: (415) 352-3000 Facsimile: (415) 352-3030 MAR 2 3 2006 |
| 4 | GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk |
| 5 | Alan L. Schlosser, State Bar No. 49957 BY: ELIAS BUTT Depute Clerk |
| 6 | AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA |
| 7 | 1663 Mission Street, Suite 460 San Francisco, CA 94103 |
| 8 | Telephone: (415) 621-249 Facsimile: (415) 255-8437 |
| ,9 | |
| | Attorneys for Plaintiffs |
| 10 | |
| 11 | SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO |
| 12 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 13 | |
| 14 | DANIEL SHEEHAN, an individual; and) No. CGC-05-447679 |
| 15 | KATHLEEN SHEEHAN, an individual,) VERIFIED FIRST AMENDED |
| 16 | Plaintiffs,) COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND) DECLARATORY RELIEF |
| 17 | v. |
| | THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a |
| 18 | limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) Original complaint filed: 12/15/05 Trial Date: None set |
| 19 | Defendants.) |
| 20 | Introduction |
| 21 | |
| 22 | 1. By this complaint, San Francisco 49ers season-ticket holders Daniel Sheehan and |
| 23 | Kathleen Sheehan seek to stop the 49ers from violating their right to privacy by conducting |
| 24 | unnecessary and overly intrusive pat-down searches of persons entering Monster Park stadium to |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | watch 49ers fo | potball games. The Sheehans ask the court to declare that these warrantless, |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | suspicionless 1 | physical searches violate their right to privacy guaranteed by Article I, section 1 of the |
| 3 | California Co | nstitution. As grounds for their complaint, the Sheehans allege as follows: |
| 4 . | | Parties |
| 5 | 2. | San Francisco 49ers season-ticket holders Daniel Sheehan and Kathleen Sheehan |
| 6 | are long-time | 49ers fans who were born and raised in San Francisco, California. The Sheehans |
| 7 | have been ma | rried since 1960 and currently reside in Danville, California. Daniel Sheehan is a |
| 8 | retired glazier | , and Kathleen Sheehan is a former San Francisco Unified School District employee. |
| 9 | 3. | The San Francisco 49ers, Ltd., is a limited partnership doing business as the San |
| 10. | Francisco 49e | rs. The 49ers operate a professional football franchise in the National Football |
| 11 | League. The | 49ers play their home games in Monster Park (formerly Candlestick Park), located in |
| 12 | San Francisco |). |
| 13 | 4 | Does One through Ten are sued herein under fictitious names pursuant to Code of |
| 14 | Civil Procedu | re Section 474. The Sheehans are informed and believe that each Doe defendant is |
| 15 | in some manı | ner responsible for the wrongs alleged below. Each of these defendants was acting in |
| 16 | concert with | every other defendant or was the agent and employee of every other defendant, actin |
| 17 | within the co | urse and scope of their agency or employment with every other defendant. |
| 18 | | Statement of Facts |
| 19 | 5. | A lifelong fan of the 49ers, Daniel Sheehan first purchased 49ers season tickets in |
| 20 | 1967, when t | he team played in Kezar Stadium in Golden Gate Park. He has purchased 49ers |
| 21 | season tickets | s every year since, including the upcoming 2006-2007 season. Daniel Sheehan |
| 22 | | ry regular-season home game at Monster Park in 2005. |
| 23 | 6. | Kathleen Sheehan has purchased 49ers season tickets every year since 2002, |
| 24 | including the | upcoming 2006-2007 season. She attended every 49ers regular-season home game in |
| | _ | |

| | ~ . | ~~~ | 1 | |
|-----|-----|------|-----|------|
| 7 | -77 | 1115 | hut | one. |
| 1 . | ~\ | ノレン | Dul | OTIC |

- 7. In or around February 2005, the Sheehans renewed their 49ers season tickets for the
- 3 2005-2006 season. The 49ers charged the Sheehans \$640 each for their season tickets. The
- 4 Sheehans also purchased a parking pass for each regular season home game at \$25 per pass. In
- 5 total, the Sheehans spent approximately \$1,480 for 49ers season tickets and parking in 2005.
- 8. In August 2005, the 49ers sent the Sheehans their season tickets and a "2005
- 7 Season Ticket Holder Handbook." Neither the handbook, the tickets, nor anything else informed
- 8 the Sheehans that they would be subject to pat-down searches when attending 49ers games.
- 9 9. Beginning in 2005, the Sheehans along with every other ticket holder entering or
- 10 reentering Monster Park were subjected to a pat-down search by "Event Staff" screeners before
- 11 they were allowed to enter the stadium. On each such occasion, after being herded through
- 12 barricades, Daniel Sheehan and Kathleen Sheehan were forced to stand rigid, with arms spread
- wide. The 49ers screeners then ran their hands around the Sheehans' backs and down the sides of
- 14 their bodies and their legs. Members of the San Francisco Police Department stood a few feet away
- from the screeners and observed the pat-down searches taking place.
- 16 10. The 49ers new pat-down policy is mentioned on the 49ers' official website,
- 17 www.sf49ers.com, under an undated subpage titled "Security Measures." According to the website,
- 18 the 49ers have implemented pat-down searches of all 49ers ticket holders "as a result of the new
- 19 NFL 'Pat down Policy.'" The "Pat down Policy" to which the 49ers website refers was promulgated
- 20 by the NFL in August 2005. According to the NFL, stadium screeners are supposed to conduct
- 21 physical searches by "touching, patting, or lightly rubbing" all ticket holders entering every NFL
- stadium for each NFL game this year.
- 23 11. The Sheehans have a right to privacy that entitles them to freedom from unwanted
- 24 physical intrusions. It is reasonable for the Sheehans to expect that they will not have to sacrifice

| 1 | this privacy as a condition for participating in public events, including 49ers home games. The pat |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | down searches conducted by the 49ers are unnecessary, intrusive and highly offensive to the |
| 3 | Sheehans, and constitute a serious invasion of their privacy. The Sheehans object to being forced |
| 4 | to undergo these suspicionless searches as a condition of retaining their season tickets. |
| 5 | 12. In or about February 2006, Daniel and Kathleen Sheehan purchased 49ers season |
| 6 | tickets for the 2006-2007 NFL season. The Sheehans are informed and believe that the 49ers |
| 7 | intend to continue conducting physical pat-down searches of all persons entering or reentering |
| 8 | Monster Park during the 2006-2007 season. |
| 9 | First Cause of Action |
| 0 | (Violation of California Constitution, Article 1, Section 1) |
| 1 | 13. Paragraphs 1 through 12 are incorporated as if set forth fully herein. |
| 2 | 14. Article I, section 1 of the California Constitution provides: "All people are by natur |
| 3 | free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and |
| 4 | liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, |
| 5 | happiness, and privacy." |
| 6 | 15. The pat-down searches imposed by the 49ers on the Sheehans violate their |
| .7 | constitutional right to privacy guaranteed by article I, section 1 of the California Constitution. |
| .8 | 16. The Sheehans have no adequate remedy at law, because unless the policy is |
| 9 | enjoined they cannot enjoy their right to attend 49ers games without being forced to undergo |
| .0 | unconstitutional pat-down searches of their persons without their consent. |
| 1 | 17. An actual controversy now exists between the Sheehans and the 49ers concerning |
| .2 | the legality of the 49ers' pat-down searches. The Sheehans desire a judicial determination and |
| 23 | declaration of the parties' respective rights, duties and obligations under the California |
| 24 | Constitution. |
| | |

| 1 | WHEREFORE, the Sheehans pray for a judgment: |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 . | (a) Declaring the 49ers pat-down searches an invasion of the right to privacy |
| 3 | guaranteed by article I, section 1 of the California Constitution; |
| 4 | (b) Enjoining the 49ers from conducting any further pat-down or similarly physically |
| 5 | intrusive search of persons entering Monster Park; |
| 6 | (c) Awarding the Sheehans their costs of suit and reasonable attorneys fees under Coc |
| 7 | of Civil Procedure section 1021.5; and, |
| 8 | (d) Granting additional relief as may be just. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Dated: March 20, 2006 CHAPMAN, POPIK & WHITE LLP |
| 11 | |
| 12 | By: Benjamin Riley |
| 13 | Ann Brick |
| 14 | AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

25

| 1 | VERIFICATION |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | I, Daniel Sheehan, declare: |
| 3 | I am a named plaintiff in this action, and I have read the foregoing complaint and am |
| 4 | familiar with the contents thereof. The statements made in the complaint are true of my own |
| 5 | knowledge except the statements made on information and belief, which I am informed and believe |
| 6 | to be true. |
| 7 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing |
| 8 | is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on MALCh 22, 2006. |
| 9 | 0-1000 |
| LO | Daniel Sheehan |
| 1 | |
| 12 | |
| L3 | |
| (4 | |
| 15 | |
| l6 | |
| 17 | |
| 8 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | en de la composition de la composition La composition de la |
| 22 | and the second of the second o |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | |

REC'D MAR 2 8 2006

| 1 | CHAPMAN, POPIK & WHITE, LLP | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2 | Mark A. White, State Bar No. 88332 Benjamin J. Riley, State Bar No. 226904 | |
| | 650 California Street, 19th Floor | |
| 3 | San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 352-3000 | |
| 4 | Facsimile: (415) 352-3000 | |
| ٠ _ | Ann Brick, State Bar No. 65296 | |
| 5 | Alan L. Schlosser, State Bar No. 49957 | |
| 6 | AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA | A |
| 7 | 1663 Mission Street, Suite 460 | |
| | San Francisco, CA 94103 | |
| 8 | Telephone: (415) 621-249 Facsimile: (415) 255-8437 | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| , | | |
| 11 | SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE | COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO |
| 12 | | CALIFORNIA |
| 13 | STATE OF | |
| | | |
| 14 | DANIEL SHEEHAN, an individual; and | No. CGC-05-447679 |
| 15 | KATHLEEN SHEEHAN, an individual, | PROOF OF SERVICE OF VERIFIED |
| | | TROOF OF BETWEEN |
| 16 | Plaintiffs,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 16 | | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 16 17 | Plaintiffs,) v. | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | v.) THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, LTD., a) limited partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,) | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I, the undersigned, declare: 2 I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California. I am over the age of 3 eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is Chapman, Popik & White, 650 California Street, 19th Floor, San Francisco, California, 94108. 4 On March 23, 2006, I served the following document: 5. VERIFIED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND **DECLARATORY RELIEF** 6 on the parties involved addressed as follows: 7 Plaintiff's Co-Counsel Defendant's Counsel 8 Ann Brick, Esq. Sonya D. Winner, Esq. ACLU of Northern California Covington & Burling 9 1663 Mission Street, Ste. 460 One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94103 San Francisco, CA 94111 10 Fax: (415) 255-1478 11 BY PERSONAL DELIVERY: The within document(s) were served by hand in an envelope addressed to the addressee(s) above on this date. The Proof of 12 Service by the process server will be filed within five (5) days. 13 BY MAIL: By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United States mail, at San Francisco, California. 14 BY FACSIMILE: By use of a facsimile machine telephone number 15 415/352-3030, I served a copy of the within document(s) on the above interest parties at the facsimile numbers listed above. The transmission was reported as 16 complete and without error. The transmission report, which is attached to this proof of service, was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine. 17 BY FEDERAL EXPRESS OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I caused each 18 envelope, with delivery fees provided for, to be deposited in a box regularly maintained by Federal Express. 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 20 foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California on March 23, 2006. 21 Lambia Horen Tamora Horen 22 23 24

25

26