2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ANNETTE CARNEGIE (CA SBN 118624) SOMNATH RAJ CHATTERJEE (CA SBN 177019 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522 Email: CPatterson@mofo.com		
10	Email: Satris@earthlink.net.		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff CLARENCE RAY ALLEN		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	,		
16	CLARENCE RAY ALLEN,	Case No.	C 05-5051 JSW
17	Plaintiff,		ECLARATION OF
18	v.	OF PLAI	L SATRIS IN SUPPORT NTIFF'S APPLICATION
19	RODERICK HICKMAN, SECRETARY,	RESTRA	EMPORARY INING ORDER AND AN
20	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION.	ORDER	TO SHOW CAUSE
21	STEVEN ORNOSKI, WARDEN, CALIFORNIA		
22	STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN, ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR OF		
23	CALIFORNIA, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 25, INCLUSIVE,		
24	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			

REPLY DEC. OF MICHAEL SATRIS IN SUPP OF PLTFF'S APP FOR TRO & AN OSC

Case No.: C-05-5051 JSW sf-2049564

- I, Michael Satris, declare as follows:
- 2 1. I am one of the attorneys for plaintiff Clarence Ray Allen in this proceeding and submitted
- 3 a declaration in support of his request for a temporary restraining order. As indicated in that
- 4 declaration, though the California Supreme Court appointed me to represent Mr. Allen in state post-
- 5 appeal proceedings in that court concerning his death judgment, and I also represented Mr. Allen
- 6 under appointment of the federal court in federal habeas corpus proceedings challenging his death
- 7 judgment, the terms of neither appointment provided for my representation of Mr. Allen in clemency
- 8 proceedings before the Governor.

1

- 9 2. I was reluctant to accept any appointment to represent Mr. Allen in clemency proceedings
- because both the demands of my practice, including representation of Mr. Allen in further court
- 11 proceedings pursuant to my existing appointments, and the limited resources the state provides to
- 12 appointed counsel caused me to conclude that my appointment was impractical. I simply would not
- 13 have had the time or the resources to provide meaningful representation to Mr. Allen. Accordingly, I
- deferred any enlargement of my appointment to provide representation to him for clemency purposes.
- 15 Given the failure of the California Supreme Court to appoint other counsel for Mr. Allen for
- 16 clemency purposes, however, I contributed what I could to the effort to secure him counsel and
- outline a clemency strategy. Gradually, I stepped in to the breach in the continuing absence of
- appointment of other counsel, and by midsummer of this year it became clear that no other counsel
- 19 was available to accept appointment by the Supreme Court to represent Mr. Allen for clemency
- 20 purposes. I soon then began informally to represent Mr. Allen for clemency purposes, with the
- 21 understanding that the law firm of Morrison & Foerster would assist me. I formally made myself
- 22 available to the California Supreme Court to accept appointment by letter dated November 7, 2005.
- 23 (A copy of that letter is attached to this declaration as Exhibit A.) As set forth in my prior
- declaration, the California Supreme Court expanded my appointment to include representation of Mr.
- 25 Allen in clemency proceedings before the Governor in an order it filed on November 14, 2005. Thus,
- the clemency investigation on behalf of Mr. Allen began in earnest only shortly before he suffered his
- heart attack on September 2, 2005.

3. Attached as Exhibit B is the elemency application that is being submitted to the Governor today, which is its due date. Among the elemency actions requested is a reprieve for 120 days, in order to permit Ray Allen and his counsel to prepare and submit a full application that sets forth all the bases for commutation of sentence, free of the various impediments to preparation of the petition the subject of this proceeding.

4. As set forth in that clemency application:

Administrative impediments to access to counsel continue to the time of this writing. For example, prison officials have barred any legal visits with Ray Allen from December 10-13, the four days up to and including the due date for this application. This bar is a product of prison policy that generally restricts the weekend to personal visits and for a condemned prisoner reportedly permits such weekend legal visits only when he is within thirty days of execution (December 10 & 11), and an administrative decision to preclude all visitation on Monday and Tuesday (December 12 & 13) due to the prison's plans to execute another prisoner that Tuesday. Counsel intended to schedule in that time an interview of Ray Allen by a consultant (as well as an attorney visit) to further develop the grounds for clemency, which now cannot be included in the application. Counsel had also attempted to schedule this consultant and others for earlier visits but had not received a timely response from the prison administration. Counsel was later advised that the responsible administrator had been busy with other matters, including cancellation of appointments already scheduled for that Monday and Tuesday because of the prison's decision to preclude legal visits on those days.

The consultant referred to in that excerpt from the application is Daniel Vasquez, the former warden at San Quentin. A prison official has since orally advised me that Mr. Vasquez will not be authorized to conduct a legal interview of Mr. Allen as an expert representative of mine because he is not a mental health professional. Thus, instead of permitting Mr. Vasquez to conduct an interview of Mr. Allen under my auspices, prison authorities will permit him access to Mr. Allen only as a personal visitor. That status is subject to various measures more restrictive than legal visits, including the need to obtain a visiting application from the inmate, which then must be filled out and submitted to prison officials for approval of visits. In my experience, that process can take weeks. Moreover, personal visitors may not possess a pen or paper, are not provided confidentiality, and must conduct their visits in a room crowded with other visitors and only on certain designated days of the week, primarily the weekend.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United			
2	States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on December 13,			
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4				
5	MICHARI SATTRIS			
6	MICHAEL SATRIS			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				