1	ALANI SCHLOSSER (State Dat No. 4005		
	ALAN L. SCHLOSSER (State Bar No. 49957) ANN BRICK (State Bar No. 65296)		
2	JORY C. STEÈLE (State Bar No. 206944) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION		
3	FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA		
4	1663 Mission Street, Suite 460 San Francisco, California 04103		
	San Francisco, California 94103 Telephone: (415) 621-2493		
5	Facsimile: (415) 255-8437		
6	Attorneys for Specially Appearing Movants		
7	KEITH EDWARDS, BENJAMIN JUAREZ, JASON SWEARENGIN AND ANGELO VELAZQUEZ		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF YOLO		
10			
11	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. CV04-2085	
12	CALIFORNIA, <i>ex rel</i> . David C. Henderson as the District Attorney for	DECLARATION OF KEITH EDWARDS IN	
13	the County of Yolo,	SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SET ASIDE	
	Plaintiff,	DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT GRANTING PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
14	VS.	Code Civ. Proc. § 473(d)	
15		•	
-16	BRODERICK BOYS aka BRK aka BSK aka NORTENO aka NORTE aka	Date: September 27, 2005 Time: 8:30 a.m.	
17	XIV, an unincorporated association,	Dept.: Dept. 11	
	Defendants.	Judge: Honorable Thomas E. Warriner Complaint Filed: December 30, 2004	
18			
19	I, Keith Edwards, declare as follows:		
20	1. I have personal knowledge of most of the matters set forth herein, and could and		
21	would testify thereto if called upon to do so. All other matters set forth herein are based on		
22	information and belief. I submit this Declaration in support of the Motion to Set Aside the		
23	Judgment.		
24	2. I am 20 years old and I have	ve lived in West Sacramento for my entire life. My wife	
25	and I currently rent an apartment in West	Sacramento, within the "Safety Zone" outlined by the	
26	Permanent Injunction with which I was se	erved in February 2005. Many of my relatives also live	
27	in the same apartment complex and within the "Safety Zone."		
28			
artel LLP In Floor		19946\815102.1	

.

Farella Braun & Martet LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

.

I currently have a full-time job with a building products company in Woodland. I
 am the sole financial provider for my wife and five children.

3 4. I have lived in the same apartment for approximately two years and my California
4 ID card reflects my current address.

On or about February 9, 2005, a number of police and SWAT officers arrived at 5 5. 6 my apartment after my wife and I left to go grocery shopping. When my relatives informed me 7 that the police were at our apartment, my wife and I returned to talk with the police officers. The 8 police searched each room of our apartment and served me with the Permanent Injunction. When 9 I was served with the injunction, I was not given a list of everyone who is subject to the injunction, nor did the police tell me what criteria they were using to determine who is a 10 "Broderick Boy," THE POUCE STATED THAT THEY WERE SERVING THE WHOLE 11 NEWHBORHOOD WITH THE PERMANENT ITTNI In March 2005, I picked my wife up from work after her shift ended at 12 6. approximately midnight. My wife does not have a driver's license so when she was working, I 13 was responsible for picking her up from work every night. On the drive home, we were stopped 14 by the police because the car I recently purchased from the tow yard did not yet have license 15

plates. I did, however, have the required paperwork and I presented it to the officers. At the
time, I had just gotten out of bed, and I was wearing a red sweatshirt, flip-flops, and thin pajama
pants with a Budweiser logo and the number "8" on them. My wife gave me the pajama pants as
a gift because I am a fan of Dale Earnhardt, Jr., a NASCAR driver with the No. 8 car sponsored
by Budweiser. I was planning to go back to sleep before I had to leave for work at approximately
3:00 a.m.

7. The police officers arrested me for violating the gang injunction's curfew and took
me to the West Sacramento Police Department and then the Yolo County Jail. I was not released
from jail until approximately 4:00 a.m.; and I had no way to get home and no money to call for a
ride. The jail personnel would not allow me to use their telephone, so I walked down the street to
a service station. The service station agent allowed me to use the service station's phone to call
for a ride. Because of the time I was released and the clothes I was wearing, I could not go to
work. I was nervous to go home, because I would have to enter the "Safety Zone" before the

Farella Braun & Martel LLF Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

19946\815102.1

N TH

l		
1	curfew set forth in the Permanent Injunction would be lifted at dawn. Since I missed work, I	
2	received 3 demerits. If I receive 12 demerits in a year, I will be fired.	
3	8. Due to my arrest I am facing sixty days in the Yolo County Jail as well as one year	
4	probation for violating the gang injunction. I am the sole financial support for my family and I	
5	worry about how I will care for my family during those sixty days.	
6	9. I typically go to work before dawn, so I am nervous that I will be arrested on my	
7	way to work (as I live within the "Safety Zone") for violating the gang injunction's curfew.	
8	10. Prior to being served with the final Permanent Injunction in February 2005, I	
9	received no notice that the District Attorney was seeking an injunction. I also received no notice	
10	of the Preliminary Injunction or the Application for the Preliminary Injunction.	
11	11. Had I received advanced notice of the proceedings, and understood that the	
12	District Attorney believed that the injunction would apply to me, I would have made immediate	
13	efforts to contact an attorney so I could have been represented by counsel during any legal	
14	proceedings.	
15	12. Had I merely received notice of the proceedings, however, without being informed	
16	that the District Attorney believed they would apply to me, I would not have understood that the	
17	injunction sought by the District Attorney applied to me.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
& Martel LLP g. 30th Floor mery Street	- 3 - 19946\815102.1	

Farella Braun & Martel LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Monigomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

-

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this $z \leq \frac{74}{2}$ day of July, 2005, in West Sacramento, California. * THE POLICE OFFICER STATED FAIT BECAUSE BILLY WOLFNUTON))) NOT COME TO COURT TO ACCEPT MIS INJUNCTION; THE JUIGE PUT THE INJUNCTION ON THE ENTITLE NEIGHBORMON! Farella Braun & Martel LLP 19946\815102.1 Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Monigomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 - 4 Declaration Of Keith Edwards In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment (415) 954-4400 Case No. CV04-2085

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ALAN L. SCHLOSSER (State Bar No. 49957 ANN BRICK (State Bar No. 65296) JORY C. STEELE (State Bar No. 206944) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORN 1663 Mission Street, Suite 460 San Francisco, California 94103 Telephone: (415) 621-2493 Facsimile: (415) 255-8437 Attorneys for Specially Appearing Movants KEITH EDWARDS, BENJAMIN JUAREZ, JASON SWEARENGIN AND ANGELO VELAZQUEZ	
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COUL	RT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	IN AND FOR THE C	CITY AND COUNTY OF YOLO
11		
12	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, <i>ex rel</i> . David C.	Case No. CV04-2085
13	Henderson as the District Attorney for the County of Yolo,	DECLARATION OF ANGELO VELAZQUEZ IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO SET
14	Plaintiff,	ASIDE DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT GRANTING PERMANENT
15	VS.	INJUNCTION
16	BRODERICK BOYS aka BRK aka	Code Civ. Proc. § 473(d)
17	BSK aka NORTENO aka NORTE aka XIV, an unincorporated association,	Date: September 27, 2005 Time: 8:30 a.m.
18	Defendant.	Dept.: Dept. 11 Judge: Honorable Thomas E. Warriner
19		Complaint Filed: December 30, 2004
20 21		
21		
22		
23		
25		
26		
20		
28		
Farella Braun & Mariel LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor	· · ·	19946\815102.1
235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400	Declaration Of Angelo Velazqu Ca	ez In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment – ase No. CV04-2085

.

Declaration Of Angelo Velazquez In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment -Case No. CV04-2085

I, Angelo Velazquez, declare as follows:

2 I have personal knowledge of most of the matters set forth herein, and could and 1. would testify thereto if called upon to do so. All other matters set forth herein are based on 4 information and belief. I submit this Declaration in support of the Motion to Set Aside the Judgment.

2. I am 29 years old and I currently rent a house in West Sacramento within the 6 7 "Safety Zone" outlined by the Permanent Injunction. My girlfriend, Maria Gonzalez, and my two 8 children also live with me.

I currently work full-time as a purchaser for Valspar, a paint company. I also 9 3. 10 attend Sacramento City College part-time where I am studying Communications.

11 4. In addition to working full-time and being a part-time student, I also coach my 12 son's t-ball team during t-ball season.

13 5 I have lived at my current address since November 2001, and I have been employed at my current job since July 1999. I was on probation in Yolo County until 14 15 approximately January 2002, for an offense committed in 1998. While on probation, I informed my probation officer of my current home address. During my probation, I was also registered as a 16 17 drug offender with the West Sacramento Police Department. As part of this registration, the West 18 Sacramento Police Department had my current home address.

19

6.

1

3

5

I have had no convictions, arrests, or interactions with the police since 1998.

7. At around 10:30 a.m. on February 9, 2005, while I was at work, I understand that 20 several armed police officers wearing "SWAT" vests surrounded my home. I understand that Ms. 21 22 Gonzalez was at home at the time, and the officers asked for me. I understand that Ms. Gonzalez told them that I was at work, and the police told her to tell me to come to the police station, 23 24 although they would not tell her what it was about.

25 After Ms. Gonzalez called me at work on February 9, 2005 to deliver the message, 8. 26 I immediately left work and went to the police station as requested. There, I was served with the 27 Permanent Injunction.

9. Prior to being served with the final Permanent Injunction on February 9, 2005, I
 received no notice that the District Attorney was seeking an injunction. I had also received no
 notice of the Preliminary Injunction or the Application for the Preliminary injunction.

4 10. Had I received advance notice of the proceedings, and understood that the District
5 Attorney believed that the injunction would apply to me, I would have made immediate efforts to
6 contact an attorney so I could have been represented by counsel during any legal proceedings.

7 11. Had I merely received notice of the proceedings, without being informed that the
8 District Attorney believed they would apply to me, I would not have understood that the
9 injunction sought by the District Attorney applied to me.

10 12. Indeed, after I was served with the Permanent Injunction, I made immediate efforts
 11 to seek legal assistance. As a part of these efforts, it is my understanding that Ms. Gonzalez
 12 contacted the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California on my behalf. As a result,
 13 they currently represent me in this matter.

14 13. I am especially concerned because I do not know everyone who is covered by the
15 Permanent Injunction: When I was served, I was not given a list of everyone who is covered, nor
16 did the police tell me what criteria they were using to designate who is a "Broderick Boy."

- 17 ///
- 18 ///
- 19 ///
- 20 ///

///

22 ///

21

23 ///

24

26

27

28

25

Declaration Of Angelo Velazquez In Support Of Motion to Set Aside the Judgment _ Case No. CV04-2085

	1	
	2	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
	3	foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22 day of July, 2005, in West Sacramento, California
	4	A rest i
	5	ANGELO VELAZOUEZ
	6	ANGELO VELAZQUEZ
•	· 7·	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
·	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
i.	24 25	
	25 26	
	26 27	
	27 28	•
	28	3

1	ALAN L. SCHLOSSER (State Bar No. 4995 ANN BRICK (State Bar No. 65296)	57)	
2	JORY C. STEELE (State Bar No. 206944) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 1663 Mission Street, Suite 460 San Francisco, California 94103 Telephone: (415) 621-2493		
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (415) 255-8437		
6	Attorneys for Specially Appearing Movants KEITH EDWARDS, BENJAMIN JUAREZ,		
7	JASON SWEARENGIN AND ANGELO VELAZQUEZ	· · · ·	
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF YOLO		
11		Case No. CV04-2085	
12	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. David C.		
13	Henderson as the District Attorney for the County of Yolo,	DECLARATION OF JASON SWEARENGIN IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO SET	
14	Plaintiff,	ASIDE DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT GRANTING PERMANENT	
15	VS.	INJUNCTION	
16	BRODERICK BOYS aka BRK aka	Code Civ. Proc. § 473(d)	
17	BSK aka NORTENO aka NORTE aka XIV, an unincorporated association,	Date:September 27, 2005Time:8:30 a.m.	
18	Defendant.	Dept.: Dept. 11 Judge: Honorable Thomas E. Warriner	
19		Complaint Filed: December 30, 2004	
20	I, Jason Swearengin, declare as follows:		
21	1. I have personal knowledge of most of the matters set forth herein, and could and		
22	would testify thereto if called upon to do so. All other matters set forth herein are based on		
23	information and belief. I submit this Dec	laration in support of the Motion to Set Aside the	
24	Judgment.		
25	2. I am 25 years old and I cur	rrently rent an apartment in West Sacramento within the	
26	"Safety Zone" outlined by the Permanent Injunction with which I was served in February 2005.		
27	My parents and other relatives also live w	vithin the "Safety Zone."	
28			
artet LLP th Floor / Street		19946\814793.1	
94104 00		ngin In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment - Case No. CV04-2085	

Farella Braun & Martet LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Monigomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

Ţ.

In May/June 2005, I represented myself in a family court action in which I sought)51 3. custody of my three-year old son, Jason. After a number of court appearances and a court-2 15 ordered drug test, on June 3, 2009, this Court granted me sole custody of my son. 55 3 I currently work full-time for Raley's Freezer, where I have worked for Racey's 4. approximately one and a half years. SINCE OCTOBER ZOOS. 555 5. I have been on parole for a drug-related offense since April 2003. I have been on 6 probation since December 2003; and it was reduced to informal probation in August 2004. 7 8 During this time, I have informed my parole officer, Agent Koleda, and the Probation Department 9 of my current home address and where I was working. On the morning of February 9, 2005, it is my understanding that police officers 10 6. 11 contacted my parole officer to confirm my home and work addresses for service of the Permanent Injunction. 12 7. 13 On or about February 9, 2005, a number of police and SWAT officers arrived at 14 my workplace. I was paged over the office's loudspeaker system and directed to go to the 15 conference room. In the conference room, which has glass walls, the police and SWAT officers 16 confronted me. They served me with the Permanent Injunction and directed me to the remove my 17 shirt so they could photograph my tattoos. I did what the officers told me to do. Prior to being served with the final Permanent Injunction on February 9, 2005, I 18 8. received no notice that the District Attorney was seeking an injunction. I had also received no 19 notice of the Preliminary Injunction or the Application for the Preliminary Injunction. 20 9. Had I received advanced notice of the proceedings, and understood that the 21 22 District Attorney believed that the injunction would apply to me, I would have made immediate 23 efforts to contact an attorney so I could have been represented by counsel during any legal proceedings. 24 Had I merely received notice of the proceedings, however, without being informed 25 10. 26 that the District Attorney believed they would apply to me, I would not have understood that the 27 injunction sought by the District Attorney applied to me. 28

Farella Braun & Martel LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Montgomerry Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

19946\814793.1

1 11. Indeed, after I was served with the Permanent Injunction, I made immediate efforts
 to seek legal assistance. The first person I met with was my parole officer. After an extensive
 meeting with her, she told me she could not help me, but that I should seek legal assistance. She
 also told me to stay away from the police, and not give them any reason to arrest me for violating
 the injunction.

6 12. After I met with her, I spoke with a friend who had a lawyer. The lawyer's name
7 was Fidel Martinez. My friend told me that Mr. Martinez had advised him to wait until things
8 died down before pursuing further legal action.

9 13. Subsequently, I learned about the ACLU's concern about the situation from news 10 reports. I finally reached the attorneys at the ACLUNC through a friend of my father-in-law, who 11 had met with ACLU attorneys. She arranged a meeting with the attorneys. As a result, they 12 currently represent me in this matter.

13 14. I am particularly concerned about the Permanent Injunction because it does not list
14 everyone who is subject to it. When I was served, I was not given a list of everyone who is
15 covered, nor did the police tell me what criteria they were using to determine who is a "Broderick
16 Boy.

Farella Braun & Martel LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

19946\814793.1

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this Z day of July, 2005, in West Sacramento, California. WEARENGIN Farella Broun & Martel LLP - 4 -19946\814793.1 Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400 Declaration Of Jason Swearengin In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment Case No. CV04-2085

۹			
1	ALAN L. SCHLOSSER (State Bar No. 49957) ANN BRICK (State Bar No. 65296) JORY C. STEELE (State Bar No. 206944) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 1663 Mission Street, Suite 460		
2			
3			
4	San Francisco, California 94103 Telephone: (415) 621-2493		
5	Facsimile: (415) 255-8437		
6	Attorneys for Specially Appearing Movants		
7	KEITH EDWARDS, BENJAMIN JUAREZ, JASON SWEARENGIN AND ANGELO	· · · · ·	
8	VELAZQUEZ		
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COU	JRT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	IN AND FOR THE	CITY AND COUNTY OF YOLO	
11			
12	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, <i>ex rel</i> . David C.	Case No. CV04-2085	
13	Henderson as the District Attorney for the County of Yolo,	DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN JUAREZ IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO SET ASIDE	
	• · ·	DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT	
14	Plaintiff,	GRANTING PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
15	VS.	Code Civ. Proc. § 473(d)	
16	BRODERICK BOYS aka BRK aka BSK aka NORTENO aka NORTE aka	Date: September 27, 2005 Time: 8:30 a.m.	
17	XIV, an unincorporated association,	Dept.: Dept. 11 Judge: Honorable Thomas E. Warriner	
18	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: December 30, 2004	
19	· ·		
20	I, Benjamin Juarez, declare as follows:		
21	1. I have personal knowledge	e of most of the matters set forth herein, and could and	
22	would testify thereto if called upon to do	so. All other matters set forth herein are based on	
23	information and belief. I submit this Dec	laration in support of the Motion to Set Aside the	
24	Judgment.		
25	2. I am 24 years old, and my	wife and I recently purchased a home in West	
26	Sacramento where we live with our three-	year old son. Our home is within the "Safety Zone"	
27	outlined by the Permanent Injunction with	n which I was served in February 2005. My parents and	
28	other relatives live close by, also within the		
tel LLP i Floor	19946\815099.1		
Street 94104 10		z In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment - Case No. CV04-2085	

Farella Braun & Martel LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

1 3. I am one of two managers at a garage door installation company where I have 2 worked for approximately four years. Prior to that, I was employed as a commercial air filter-3 service person.

4 4. My juvenile probation was terminated successfully in 2003; it was terminated
5 approximately three years early because of my "good behavior." For approximately the first two
6 years I was on probation, I lived at my parents' home. I then moved to my own apartment for
7 approximately the last year. The Probation Office always knew where I was living.

5. On or about February 9, 2005, a number of police and SWAT officers arrived at my parents' home to serve me with the Permanent Injunction. However, I had moved to my new home in December 2004 and was at work when the police arrived. A few days later, the police served me with the Permanent Injunction at my new home.

Although I complied with all conditions of my juvenile probation, and in fact was
 released from probation early for "good behavior," the Permanent Injunction virtually imposes a
 lifetime of probation conditions on me.

7. Prior to being served with the final Permanent Injunction, I received no notice that
the District Attorney was seeking an injunction. I had also received no notice of the Preliminary
Injunction or the Application for the Preliminary Injunction.

8. Had I received advanced notice of the proceedings, and understood that the
 District Attorney believed that the injunction would apply to me, I would have made immediate
 efforts to contact an attorney so I could have been represented by counsel during any legal
 proceedings.

- 9. Had I merely received notice of the proceedings, however, without being informed
 that the District Attorney believed they would apply to me, I would not have understood that the
 injunction sought by the District Attorney applied to me.
- 25 10. After I was served with the Permanent Injunction, I spoke to well-educated family
 26 members to see if they could help me, and to see if I could get a lawyer to help me. However,
 27 because the case was already over, everyone believed that there was nothing I could do.

1	11. After a few months had passed, a friend told me that he was going to meet with the
2	ACLU of Northern California and suggested that I do the same. As a result, they currently
3	represent me in this matter.
4	12. I am particularly concerned about the Permanent Injunction because it does not list
5	everyone who is subject to it. When I was served, I was not given a list of everyone who is
6	covered, nor did the police tell me what criteria they were using to determine who is a "Broderick
7	Boy."
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
. 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 in & Martel LLP ling, 30th Floor	- 3 - 19946\815099.1
gomery Street sco. CA 94104 954-4400	Declaration Of Ben Juarez In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment Case No. CV04-2085

Farella Braun & Martel Ross Building, 30th F 235 Monigomery Str San Francisco. CA 94 (415) 954-4400

¢

•

`.

.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this ____ day of July, 2005, in West Sacramento, California. AREZ Farella Braun & Martel LLP Russ Building, 30th Floor 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400 19946\815099.1 - 4 -Declaration Of Ben Juarez In Support Of Motion To Set Aside Judgment _ Case No. CV04-2085