	Case 2:12-cv-01793-JAM-CMK Document 2	Page 1 of 3 11/07/12 Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP AJAY S. KRISHNAN - # 222476 <u>akrishnan@kvn.com</u> SHILPI AGARWAL - # 270749 <u>sagarwal@kvn.com</u> 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNI LINDA LYE - # 215584 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415 621 2493 Facsimile: 415 255 1478 Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK POTTS	Ą
11		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15		TO DIVISION
	MARK POTTS,	Case No. 2:12-cv-01793-JAM-CMK
161		
16 17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT
17	Plaintiff, v.	<b>STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT</b> Date Filed: July 6, 2012
	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and	
17 18	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
17 18 19	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
17 18 19 20	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
17 18 19 20 21	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County,	Date Filed: July 6, 2012
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	v. COUNTY OF TRINITY; TRINITY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; and BRUCE HANEY, Sheriff of Trinity County, Defendants.	Date Filed: July 6, 2012

## Case 2:12-cv-01793-JAM-CMK Document 27 Filed 11/07/12 Page 2 of 3

1 2 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, and the agreement of the parties, the Court hereby enters final judgment in this matter as follows:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants County of Trinity, Trinity County Sheriff's Office, and Sheriff Bruce Haney ("Defendants" collectively), their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all persons acting by, through, under, or in concert with Defendants, are hereby restrained and enjoined from interfering with the exercise of constitutional free speech rights of employees of the Trinity County Sheriff's Office. Specifically, Defendants County of Trinity, Trinity County Sheriff's Department, and Sheriff Bruce Haney, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all persons acting by, through, under, or in concert with Defendants, are hereby **RESTRAINED AND ENJOINED** as follows:

Defendants shall permanently expunge the Written Reprimand issued to Mark
 Potts on February 6, 2012.

13 2. Defendants shall immediately cease and desist from applying Sections 340.3.5(m) 14 and (aa) and 1058.4(b) and (e) of the Trinity County Sheriff's Office Policy Manual to letters to 15 the editor written by employees of the Trinity County Sheriff's Office, so long as the letters are in 16 the writer's own name; address general policy matters, not specific official actions; and specifically state that the views expressed in the letters are the writer's personal views and not 17 18 those of the Trinity County Sheriff's Office. Letters that "address general policy matters" 19 include, but are not limited to, those that comment on the wisdom or propriety of laws, and those 20 that discuss the general role of various agencies or governmental entities or actors in achieving 21 public policy objectives. Letters that address "specific official actions" include, but are not 22 limited to, those that refer, by name or title, to a particular person employed by the Trinity County 23 Sheriff's Office, and those that identify a particular investigation or enforcement effort in which 24 the Trinity County Sheriff's Office is or has engaged.

3. Defendants shall deliver to Plaintiff's counsel payment in the amount of ONE
 HUNDRED AND TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$120,000.00) for Plaintiffs' reasonable
 attorneys' fees and costs. Payment shall be made to the American Civil Liberties Union
 Foundation of Northern California within 60 days of the Court's entry of judgment. Plaintiff

	Case 2:12-cv-01793-JAM-CMK Document 27 Filed 11/07/12 Page 3 of 3
1	shall make no further demands or claims for attorneys' fees or costs in connection with this
2	matter.
3	4. No bond is necessary in this case because Defendants' compliance with this
4	injunction would not create a risk of monetary loss.
5	
6	DATED this 6 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2012.
7	/s/ John A. Mendez
8	John A. Mendez United States District Court Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT Case No. 2:12-cv-01793-JAM-CMK